





The Anxiety of Prestige in Stephen King's Stylistics

Erik Ketzan¹ 
Martin Paul Eve² 

1. Department of Digital Humanities, King's College London , London, United Kingdom.
2. School of Creative Arts Culture and Communication, Birkbeck University of London , London, United Kingdom.

Citation

Erik Ketzan and Martin Paul Eve (2024). "The Anxiety of Prestige in Stephen King's Stylistics". In: *CCLS2024 Conference Preprints* 3 (1). [10.26083/tuprints-00027392](https://doi.org/10.26083/tuprints-00027392)

Date published 2024-05-28

Date accepted 2024-04-03

Date received 2024-01-17

Keywords

Stephen King, prestige, computational literary studies

License

CC BY 4.0 

Note

This paper has been submitted to the conference track of JCLS. It has been peer reviewed and accepted for presentation and discussion at the 3rd Annual Conference of Computational Literary Studies at Vienna, Austria, in June 2024.

Abstract. This paper introduces a term, *the anxiety of prestige*, to examine thematic or stylistic textual commentaries by generally considered "popular" fiction authors on issues of literary prestige, with Stephen King as a case study. While, thematically, an anxiety of prestige has been obvious in many of King's works for decades, we suggest a novel approach: unearthing latent evidence of an anxiety of prestige in King's stylistics, through corpus query of specific stylistic features suggested by King's own writing advice book, namely adverbs, the passive voice, and "Swifties". Through close and distant reading, we interpret these stylistic features as evidence of King's textual responses to perceptions of "low" and "high" literature, and suggest that the anxiety of prestige can be investigated in larger popular fiction corpora in future work.

1. Introduction

Twentieth-century literary history can often seem enmeshed in an oscillating dialectics of "high" and "low" culture. Horkheimer and Adorno's *Culture Industry* (1947) and Pierre Bordieu's *La Distinction* (1984) are only two of many notable works in the "Great Divide", a term popularized by Andreas Huyssen as "discourse which insists on the categorical distinction between high art and mass culture" (1986, vii). Huyssen framed modernism, a paragon of high culture, as displaying an "obsessive hostility to mass culture", but as modernism ceded to (or merged with) postmodernism, the relationship between "modernism, avantgarde, and mass culture" came to be described in terms of "a new set of mutual relations and discursive configurations" (1986, vii, x). Postmodernism is generally described as embracing "popular," "mass," or "kitsch" culture through a variety of ironic strategies, especially pastiche and parody; the "postmodern paradox," as Linda Hutcheon put it, in which "to parody is both to enshrine the past and to question it" (1988, 126). While every aspect of postmodernism, including "its very existence," has "been a matter of fierce controversy," per Brian McHale, the "term and concept 'postmodernism' began to lose traction around the beginning of the new millennium", and by 2015, "postmodernism, it is generally agreed, [was] now 'over'" (2015, 5) as both an active aesthetic movement and a useful discriminative term. Meanwhile, sociologists have devoted extensive study to a new phenomenon which has emerged since at least the 1980's: highbrow "snobbery" being replaced by omnivorousness cultural consumption by elites (Richard A Peterson and Simkus 1992, Richard A. Peterson and Kern 1996,

Ollivier 2008). As de Vries and Reeves (2022) summarize, “The distinction between ‘elite’ and ‘mass’ consumers once dominated theories of cultural consumption [...]. However, over the last quarter century the ‘elite-mass’ hypothesis has fallen out of favour in the sociological literature, largely supplanted by Richard Peterson’s ‘omnivore’ hypothesis”.

Distinctions between “high” and “low” are crumbling not only among readers, but academics, as well. It is now recognized that notions of canonicity and what is considered “literary fiction,” by whom, and when, are highly complex dynamics of social and economic (Bourdieu 1979), gender (Light 2013, 6) and racial (So 2021) concerns. Richard Jean So writes that, “Today, scholars are more interested in studying the porousness and interchangeability of these categories [of high and low], rather than their imagined difference or hierarchy,” and that “The categories of ‘high’ and ‘low’ are still important to cultural scholars; it’s just that the imagined space between them has contracted or at least become altered, shaping the way works of literature are judged and received” (2021, 105).

But a major gap exists in many of our narratives about both the Great Divide — discourse based on a categorical distinction of “high” and “low” literature — and the new omnivorousness in cultural consumption which followed: how did popular fiction authors and texts respond to these discourses? While literary modernism and postmodernism basked in prestige throughout most of the twentieth century, how did the so-called mass, popular, or kitsch authors of thrillers, science fiction, romances, horror, comic books, and pulp fiction — unfairly implied as an undistinguished mass by Horkheimer and Adorno’s term, Culture Industry — respond to the dismissal, exclusion, and derision by literary fiction and its attendant gatekeepers of critical acclaim and the canon? Despite the rise of popular culture and popular fiction studies, this story remains largely fragmentary. Ken Gelder writes that “Literary fiction is ambivalent at best about its industrial connections and likes to see itself as something more than ‘just entertainment’, but popular fiction generally speaking has no such reservations” (2004, 1). We suspect that this is far from the whole story, however; that many popular fictions have responded to issues of The Great Divide and now culture omnivorousness in a variety of textual ways.

We suggest a new term to explore such commentaries in popular fiction: *the anxiety of prestige*. We propose the definition: thematic or stylistic textual, paratextual, and metatextual commentaries by generally considered “popular” fiction authors on issues of literary prestige, which can include critical or parodic portrayals of literary prestige and its gatekeepers, or explicit or implicit attempts by the popular fiction author to attain or achieve higher literary prestige for themselves, either by adopting stylistic features of “high” fiction, or asserting the value of “popular” fiction. This definition, while broad, provides us with a starting point to examine a wide variety of textual responses by generally-considered popular authors to issues of literary prestige, often through ambivalent or sometimes even contradictory means: retorts and responses by popular fiction to The Great Divide or the new cultural omnivorousness, which we suggest remains a largely untold story in literary history.

We suggest that digital humanities can help illuminate the anxiety of prestige, especially through its ability to distant read large corpora; as the term “mass” fiction suggests,

the corpus of popular fiction is certainly massive. Digital humanities can locate textual evidence more easily, through query of, for instance, thematic portrayal of literary prestige's gatekeepers, such as literature professors, literary critics, literary awards, and so on. But corpus query can also unearth less obvious textual evidence of the anxiety or prestige through query and modelling of style and change of style, for instance corpus stylistics (Wynne 2006), which can unearth patterns in latent, formal, quantifiable stylistic features. This inquiry can be aided by, and aspire to add to, a growing body of digital humanities studies on the relations between formal textual features and perceptions of literary quality (Verboord 2003, Hakemulder 2004, Van Peer 2008, Archer and Jockers 2016, Knoop et al. 2016, Piper and Portelance 2016, Underwood and Sellers 2016, Van Cranenburgh et al. 2019, Cranenburgh and Koolen 2019, Underwood 2019, Van Cranenburgh and Ketzan 2021, Van Dalen-Oskam 2023), as well as canon (Algee-Hewitt and McGurl 2015, Porter 2018), genre classification (Rybicki and Eder 2011, Schöch 2017, Underwood 2019), and linguistic criticism of the writing advice genre (e.g. Pullum 2004 and Pullum 2015). We note that while recent work on literary quality is employing sophisticated computational methods that quantify dozens or hundreds of textual features at once (often features which are undefined to the scholar within a "black box" of machine learning), we apply less sophisticated corpus query methods that have the benefit of allowing close reading of definable textual features.

Our term, anxiety of prestige, is coined with a nod to Harold Bloom's *anxiety of influence* (1997), and our choice of term is somewhat tongue-in-cheek, as Bloom himself was a vociferous critic of popular fiction, as well as of popular American author Stephen King (1947-), the subject of this paper. We suggest King as a major figure in inquiries into the anxiety of prestige, as King began his best-selling career (over 350 million copies sold, per Heller 2016) derided and dismissed by high literary critics, but is now firmly established as a critically-acclaimed American author. King exemplifies, and perhaps contributed to, the current cultural omnivorousness. The writer once so dismissed by high literary critics such as Bloom has been contributing to *The New Yorker*, a leading arbiter of literary prestige, since 1994, and King won the National Book Award Medal for Distinguished Contribution to American Letters in 2003.

2. Stephen King's Anxiety of Prestige

King's fiction contains a prodigious amounts of commentary on literary prestige, some of which is too salient to miss, but much of which has so far not been the subject of sustained attention from scholars. Perhaps the most obvious example is *Misery*, in which the writer Paul Sheldon, who "wrote novels of two kinds, good ones and best-sellers", has finished his best-selling "series of romances about sexy, bubbleheaded, unsinkable Misery Chastain" and jubilantly resumed his ambitions to write serious literary fiction, despite his audience's protests: "He could write another [...] *The Sound and the Fury*; it wouldn't matter. They would still want Misery, Misery, Misery." (1987a, 36). Sheldon revels in the completion of his new, ambitiously literary novel, but Sheldon's aspirations of literary prestige are thwarted when he is kidnapped by superfan Annie Wilkes, who literally chains Sheldon to a typewriter and, under threat of death, forces him to write a new genre novel about her beloved character Misery. Many more examples from King's long oeuvre could be named, especially as King made a rather conscious turn to attempt

more “literary fiction” in the early 1990’s, most notably with *Dolores Claiborne* (1992a). 111
 And questions of literary prestige are abundant in King’s fiction to this day. In *Rat* (in *If* 112
It Bleeds, 2020), college English professor Drew Larson, a failed high literary novelist 113
 known to “steer clear of popular fiction,” is suddenly seized by the inspiration to write a 114
 commercial pulp Western novel. In *Fairy Tale*, King lightly parodies academia by having 115
 his teenage narrator reveal that he went on to become an academic: “I am considered 116
 quite the bright spark, mostly because of [...] an essay I wrote as a grad student. It was 117
 published in *The International Journal of Jungian Studies*. The pay was bupkes, but the 118
 critical cred? Priceless” (2022, 591). 119

The issue of King’s literary prestige, or lack of it, also abounds in King reception. Earlier 120
 critics opined on whether King is or is not “literature,” whether he is a “mere” horror 121
 or “genre” writer or somehow more “literary” than this label might suggest. The most 122
 hyperbolic of such statements came from Harold Bloom, who introduced his edited 123
 volume of scholarly essays on King with the sentiment that “King has replaced reading” 124
 and that “King’s books [...] are not literary at all, in my critical judgment” (2007, 2). 125
 Further, a 2012 scholarly monograph on King’s magnum opus is titled *Respecting The* 126
Stand (Paquette 2014, as though 190 pages of literary criticism were required to show 127
 why the novel should be respected. The same volume’s publisher description opens with 128
 the assertion that “[a]cademics dismiss Stephen King as a genre writer who appeals 129
 to the masses but lacks literary merit”. Scholars often cannot approach any topic in 130
 King studies without some discussion of King’s literary quality, which likewise read 131
 as disclaimers or justifications for the scholarly study itself. James Arthur Anderson, 132
 for instance, writes that “[i]t is my hope that my application of these theories will [...] 133
 show that [King] is more than just a horror writer, more than just the creator of ‘popular 134
 fiction’” (2017, 8). This attention to King’s literariness or prestige – or otherwise – can 135
 also stand in the way of other close readings. For instance, King’s early novel, *The* 136
Long Walk (1979), holds up well as an allegory of the Vietnam War, a fact that can be 137
 obscured when appraisals of literary value displace textual attention (see Texter 2007, 138
 47). King’s retorts to these decades of criticism may be read in his paratextual interviews 139
 and prefaces, for instance telling a *Guardian* journalist that “I have outlived most of my 140
 most virulent critics. It gives me great pleasure to say that” (Xan 2019). 141

More clues to King’s anxiety of prestige may be read in *On Writing: A Memoir of the Craft* 142
 (2000), which combines reminiscences of King’s career as a writer with prescriptive 143
 writing advice for would-be authors. According to King, adverbs, passive verbs, and 144
 adverbially modified dialogue attribution should be avoided, for instance. King is hardly 145
 alone in offering such writing advice to aspiring authors, which is arguably a tradition 146
 as old as writing itself; Plato himself discouraged the reader from writing at all (Plato 147
 2005, 63)! And writing advice books today could even be considered its own genre 148
 (Steve Evans 2005). The writing advice in William Strunk Jr. and E. B. White’s *Strunk* 149
and White 1999, a prescriptive style and grammar guide, has sold over 10 million copies 150
 and achieved, per Geoffrey Pullum, “a vice-like grip on educated Americans’ views 151
 about grammar and usage” (2010, 34). The path that King treads in issuing such advice 152
 has been well travelled by other authors and his advice is typical of the genre. 153

3. Research Aims and Methods 154

A traditional scholar could easily fill a monograph by close-reading the anxiety of prestige in King's voluminous fiction (over 60 novels and over 200 short stories, as of 2024), paratexts such as author interviews and King's commentaries on style in *On Writing*. But in this paper, we suggest less obvious avenues for unearthing evidence of King's anxiety of prestige, which, while King-specific in method, could inspire future work in larger popular fiction corpora. 155
156
157
158
159
160

We explore how the anxiety of prestige may be interpreted by comparing King's writing advice with his own published fiction. These provide small contributions to, specifically, King studies; how did King's stylistics change over a 50+ year career, and did King actually follow his own advice? But we also hope that our corpus stylistic experiments, applying a mixed-methods approach of close and quantitative or distant reading (Hermann 2017), may provide models for the study of the anxiety of prestige in popular fiction more broadly. 161
162
163
164
165
166
167

We first examine the frequencies of word patterns based on King's advice for writers to avoid: first adverbs, then "Swifties" (adverbially modified dialogue attribution), then the passive voice, all queried in King's own fiction and comparison corpora. The methods are simple corpus query via regular expressions using two widely-used corpus query platforms that pre-process texts by adding part of speech and lemma tags: LancsBox 6.0 (2020) and TXM 0.8.1 (2010). Both have implemented part of speech tagging using TreeTagger (Schmid 1999), while LancsBox was used in the third experiment because it contains a built-in regular expression for passive constructions (as discussed in more detail in Experiment 3, below). Manual inspection and cleanup of all query results was performed, and visualizations of frequencies were created in Google Sheets. 168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177

We note here in the methods section that our query of words and linguistic patterns which King attributes to "good" and "bad" writing cannot necessarily be naively equated with "high" and "low" literary style, but we attempt to interpret these connections. King has been consistently vocal in his advocacy of popular fiction, even if many of his fictions clearly aim for, or achieve, high literary merit; King made a conscious attempt at more literary fiction in the early 90s, especially with *Dolores Claiborne* (1992), but such efforts to write more "literary" novels has never been consistent in King's career, and more straightforwardly entertaining fictions by King have sometimes followed more literary ones, and vice versa. One could certainly interpret King's specific elements of writing advice as genre- or prestige-neutral; advice for writers to simply write better, regardless of literary aim. But we argue below that King's writing advice can sometimes be read as exhortations to write in an implicitly more "high" literary way, or that King's own implementation of his own writing advice can be interpreted as evidence of King's own high literary aspirations. Tracing King's writing advice against his own works, then, can provide evidence for interpretations of the anxiety of prestige in King's texts. If the reader is critical of our comparison of King's notions of "good" and "bad" writing with "high" and "low" literary writing, we agree that the connection is interpretive and far from unambiguous, and return to this question a number of times below. 178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195

4. Corpora 196

We assembled all 73 novels and novellas solely authored by Stephen King up to 2020. 197
 We also separated out “Misery’s Return,” a 9,000 word story-within-a-story pastiche 198
 of intentionally “bad” genre writing from King’s *Misery*, which we treat as a distinct 199
 comparator text. Exploring questions about King’s distinctiveness meant that we also 200
 needed comparison corpora. For these we selected The Brown Corpus of Standard 201
 American English as a snapshot of US English from 1961 (Francis. and Kučera 1979) 202
 and The Freiburg-Brown corpus of American English (FROWN) as a snapshot of 1992 203
 (Mair 1992). We also assembled a Stephen King Fanfiction corpus containing the first 204
 5,000 tokens from all King-inspired stories on Fanfiction.net exceeding 5,000 words 205
 (91 stories in total; 455,000 word tokens); the 5,000 word cut off is arbitrary, and is 206
 intended to separate fanfictions which evidence a serious attempt at fiction from the 207
 short, sometimes free-form fanfictions on the website. While comparing an author to 208
 his/her amateur literary imitators is a useful foil, a second fanfiction comparison corpus 209
 was also desirable for reference (Sigelman and Jacoby 1996). We thus also compiled a 210
 corpus of *Harry Potter* Fanfiction (91 texts, first 5,000 word tokens each), chosen simply 211
 as a well-known popular fiction which has inspired many fanfictions. As a final baseline 212
 comparison, we assembled a corpus of National Book Award-winning novels from 213
 1974–2020 as our high literary fiction corpus (Appendix I). We attempted to control for 214
 diachronic change in English by selecting only American authors of roughly the same 215
 age (within 10 years) as King, nineteen novels total. 216

5. Experiments 217

5.1 Experiment 1: “The Road to Hell is Paved with Adverbs” 218

King emphatically warns his readers to avoid adverbs, which he sees as a sign of timid 219
 writing: “[t]he adverb is not your friend” and “the road to hell is paved with adverbs” 220
 (2000, 138-39). Such prescriptions against adverbs are common in the writing advice 221
 genre, which has drawn the ire of Pullum (2015). Assertions to “avoid adverbs” are 222
 also problematic, as So has shown that one of the core stylistic characteristics shared by 223
 bestselling and prize-winning fiction is a “syntactical preference” for adverbs, when 224
 compared to a corpus of black writing that was excluded from these canons (2021, 129). 225
 Given that King’s work is bestselling, then, we would expect his adverbial prevalence to 226
 be similar to other bestselling and prizewinning works. 227

It turns out that, despite King’s pronouncements, this is indeed the case. Ben Blatt 228
 has already made a first contribution to this question; noting King’s advice about 229
 adverbs, Blatt queried adverbs in a large corpus of contemporary fiction, including a 230
 King corpus of 51 novels, reporting that King scores average in a selection of authors 231
 from Hemingway to E. L. James (2017). We expand this inquiry with a larger King 232
 corpus and present data per King novel, to trace diachronic adverb frequency, and trace 233
 more of the stylistic devices discussed in *On Writing*. As shown in Figures 1 and 2, there 234
 is statistically significant, but not major variation between the reference corpora, King’s 235

Adverbs




Figure 1: Relative frequency of adverbs (per 10,000 word tokens).




Figure 2: Relative frequency of adverbs in King's texts chronologically (per 10,000 word tokens).

texts, high literary, and, surprisingly, fanfiction,¹ and little variation in adverb usage 236 throughout King's career. Perhaps ironically, King's lowest frequency of adverbs is in 237 his first published novel, *Carrie* (1974), while the highest use of adverbs is King 1999, 238 published just one year before *On Writing*. This seems inconsistent with King's opinion 239 that "the road to hell is paved with adverbs". 240

However, these initial results are misleading. As noted by Blatt, when King proscribes 241 adverbs, King actually means adverbs ending in <-ly>, e.g. *totally*, *completely*, and 242 *modestly*. This then excludes temporal adverbs and various locative forms. The number 243 of adverbs that are excluded in such filtering vary by author, but Blatt proposes that 244 approximately 10% to 30% of all adverbs are of the <-ly> type (2017, 12-12). In Figures 245 3 and 4 we show the same query confined to <-ly> adverbs. 246

The data for Figure 3 confirm one of Blatt's findings: that <-ly> adverbs are significantly 247

1. King's fiction compared with Brown: 128.16 LL, $p < 0.0001$. King's fiction compared with Frown: 7.44 LL $p < 0.01$. King's fiction compared with high literary: 1210.58 LL, $p < 0.0001$. Calculated using Rayson's Log Likelihood calculator.

<-ly> adverbs




Figure 3: Relative frequency of <-ly> adverbs (per 10,000 word tokens).

<-ly> adverbs in King's fiction




Figure 4: Relative frequency of <-ly> adverbs in King's texts chronologically (per 10,000 word tokens).

more frequent in fanfiction (2017, 27), suggesting that King's and others' distaste for <-ly> adverbs can be distinctions of "good" vs. "amateur" (or "bad") writing. Consistent with this, <-ly> adverbs are lowest in our "high literary" corpus. Although van Cranenburgh and others cast doubt on the correlation of single stylistic features with literariness measures, this is some evidence that <-ly> adverbs may be a textual marker of low literariness.

Figure 4 also yields new insights into diachronic changes in King's style: <-ly> adverbs significantly decline over the course of his career, consistent with his advice. It is possible that the changes exhibited over King's style reflect a broader shift in American fiction or the generic movements with which King is associated. Jack Elliott (2015), for instance, has documented declining adverb usage within a corpus of romance novels over time. However, rather than moving outwards to entire genre study, these results instead also allow us to delve more closely into King's own anxiety of prestige, specifically in his intentional parody of bad writing: "Misery's Return."

In King's *Misery*, the violent kidnapper character Annie Wilkes forces author Paul Sheldon to write a new genre story starring her beloved character, Misery, and Sheldon produces "Misery's Return," selections of which are spread throughout *Misery*. Even a cursory first reading of these sections shows a marked increase of egregiously florid or unnecessary <-ly> adverbs: a "stuporously warm West Country kitchen", "[s]he stood lightly poised," and "[h]e honked mightily into [the handkerchief]" (132, 161, emphasis ours). Thus, when King parodies bad writing, he augments a great many verbs with an adverbial modifier. King parodying genre writing in this way expresses an anxiety of prestige, with King implicitly placing Sheldon's true potential as a writer, and King's own, as above badly written mass fiction.

Hypothesizing why some texts are outliers in adverbial usage should be approached with caution. But it is notable that King 1992a, King's nineteenth novel, is the text with the lowest number of <-ly> adverbs. This novel was a serious stylistic departure for King and a significant attempt at more literary writing, as discussed below. *Dolores Claiborne*, the bestselling US novel of 1992, deploys a great deal of phonetic dialect and is written from a single narrative perspective, an unusual feature for King (Smythe 2015). We suggest that here, again, is a marker of King's anxiety of prestige. Having associated the <-ly> adverb with low, King's eschews it most in one of his most intentionally literary works.

5.2 Experiment 2: "Swifties," he dismissed quickly

Related to <-ly> adverbs, King urges would-be writers to avoid the "Tom Swiftie": dialogue attribution with an excessive, absurd, or "purple" (meaning excessive or extravagant) adverb, which eventually took the form of a pun or parody of bad writing. An example of a true, punning Tom Swiftie might be: "'Pass me the fish,' Tom whispered, crabbily". King broadens the purview, though, to include all adverbially modified dialogue attribution: "I can be a good sport about adverbs, though. Yes I can. With one exception: dialogue attribution. I insist that you use the adverb in dialogue attribution only in the rarest and most special of occasions" (2012, 140). King illustrates this with:

"Put it down!" she *shouted menacingly*.

Direct discourse attribution with <-ly> adverb, e.g. "said quietly"




Figure 5: Relative frequency (per 100,000 word tokens) of the Swiftie construction.

"Give it back," he *pleaded abjectly*, "it's mine." 291

"Don't be such a fool, Jekyll," Utterson *said contemptuously*. (2000, 140-41, 292
emphasis added) 293

Query reveals that King has avoided these specific phrases almost entirely in his own 294
writing.² Having decried such adverbial modification under most circumstances, King 295
nonetheless admits that he still occasionally uses the form: 296

And here's one I didn't cut . . . not just an adverb but a Swiftie: "Well," 297
Mike *said heartily* . . . But I stand behind my choice not to cut in this case, 298
would argue that it's the exception which proves the rule. "Heartily" has 299
been allowed to stand because I want the reader to understand that Mike is 300
making fun of poor Mr. Olin. Just a little, but yes, he's making fun. (2000, 301
344, emphasis in original) 302

As a next step, we wished to query Swifties in King's texts, which could be opera- 303
tionalized in a number of ways. Lessard 1992 designed a Swiftie-generating computer 304
program. `litovkina_swifties` writes that more recent examples of Swifties do not strictly 305
require an adverb. While canonical Swifties contain an element of humor, we simply 306
query the basic adverbial construction that King decries. All of King's examples follow 307
a precise word order: Direct Speech → Noun/Pronoun of the speaker → Attribution 308
Verb → <-ly> adverb. The frequency of this form is shown in Figure 5. 309

These results are consistent with King's perception of the Swiftie — adverbially modified 310
direct discourse attribution — as a marker of bad writing: King's fiction and Brown 311
score similarly, the high literary texts use the construction far less frequently, while 312
fan fiction displays a high prevalence. As with adverbs, "Misery's Return" scores the 313
highest. Certainly, in King's case, the use or avoidance of the Swiftie construction can 314

2. The phrase "said contemptuously" appears in King's second novel, King 1975, as well as the 2010 novella *Big Driver*.




Figure 6: Relative frequency (per 10,000 word tokens), of the Swiftie construction in King's texts.

conference version

be considered a marker of the anxiety of prestige. 315

A closer inspection of this Swiftie construction in the comparison corpora underscores 316
 its association with prestigious, high literature. A number of the National Book Award 317
 winners eschew the construction entirely, perhaps an indication that these writers 318
 have absorbed the collective (if questionable) stylistic wisdom of the writing guide 319
 genre. While examples from fanfiction would raise the ire of many a writing teacher — 320
 “Vernon boomed happily,” “Carlos yammered ecstatically” — the majority of Swiftie 321
 constructions are mostly, by themselves, aesthetically inoffensive and found in many 322
 professional comparison texts; it is rather the high frequency of them in fanfiction that 323
 correlates with low prestige. 324

Within King’s oeuvre, this Swiftie construction clearly decreases over the course of his 325
 career (Figure 6). King’s earlier, journeyman works employed this Swiftie construction 326
 far more frequently, but this decreased over time as he developed the stylistic aesthetics 327
 eventually expressed in *On Writing*. Interestingly, the highest result, *The Long Walk*, 328
 was King’s fifth published novel but first written novel, begun in 1966–67 during his 329
 freshman year at the University of Maine (King 2000, 428–32), bolstering the impression 330
 that King as a younger man dabbled in the Swiftie, but quickly decreased its usage. 331
 The next highest result, *The Running Man* (1982), was also written before King’s first 332
 published novel, *Carrie*. The Swifties in these early works are, for the most part, not 333
 purple prose — e.g. “said casually”, “said cheerfully”, “thought bitterly” — it is again the 334
 frequency which is notable. Some of the Swifties do, however, read as what many would 335
 consider bad prose. Twice in *The Long Walk*, direct speech is introduced by “shrewishly”: 336
 “Barkovitch screamed shrewishly” and “Garraty said shrewishly”. Similarly, in *The Long* 337
Walk, King broke his own rule against the use of pretentious vocabulary, writing that 338
 “McVries said sententiously”; a word that query reveals King never used again. All of 339
 this suggests that King formed his disdain for this kind of Swiftie (adverbially modified 340
 discourse attribution) very early in his career. 341

For the use of Swiftie constructions, Figure 6 shows that there is a distinct point of 342
 division in his works. The break occurs in 1992 with the publication of *Gerald’s Game* 343
 (May 1992b) and the aforementioned *Dolores Claiborne* (November 1992a). These novels, 344

importantly, were attempts by King to move away from the (inaccurate) label of horror 345
 genre writer and write more prestigious, literary works. Although King had previously 346
 written works that were narrated in omniscient third-person and that followed a number 347
 of characters' thoughts in each novel via free indirect discourse (with occasional first- 348
 person narration for stories within stories, diary entries, etc.), *Gerald's Game* and *Dolores* 349
Claiborne were attempts by King to follow a single character's voice. *Gerald's Game* 350
 features a woman who is handcuffed to a bed and must escape, alone with her thoughts, 351
 narrated in the third person and eventually first person. *Dolores Claiborne* goes a step 352
 further, with the entire novel narrated in the first-person voice of the eponymous Dolores, 353
 a 65-year old widow. In this text, King phoneticizes the speech of the narrator throughout 354
 (e.g. "he ast me" for "he asked me"), uses frequent contractions (dropped 'g's in <-ing> 355
 words: "'lookin'", "'givin'"), and vernacular exclamations of "Gorry!". This "single 356
 point of view is a huge change for King," observes James Smythe, who notes "the semi- 357
 phonetic nature of the text" (Smythe 2015). These novels from 1992 also mark a turning 358
 point in King's characterization and portrayals of women. Carol Senf (1998), for instance, 359
 has praised the realist psychological portraits of female characters in these novels. Heidi 360
 Strengell further writes that "since the publication of *Carrie* (1974), King has been 361
 blamed for depicting women characters as stereotypes," but notes that, "especially since 362
Gerald's Game (1992), he has more consciously concentrated on women, the emphasis 363
 shifting from child characters to women characters" (2005, 16). Senf, in a feminist 364
 analysis of the two novels, writes that she finds herself "applauding King for the risks 365
 he has taken in *Gerald's Game* and *Dolores Claiborne*" and praises his "shift in perspective 366
 and his ability to create strong, plausible women characters" (Senf 1998, 105). 367

The low prevalence of the Swiftie construction in *Gerald's Game* and *Dolores Claiborne* 368
 and the subsequent decline in this form over the remainder of King's career can be read 369
 as an indication of King's intensified literary ambitions in these particular novels, and 370
 the anxiety of prestige. On the other hand, it could be hypothesized that *Gerald's Game* 371
 and *Dolores Claiborne* feature a lowered number of Swiftie constructions because, being 372
 single-character studies, they have only a small quantity of direct speech. If there is 373
 little quoted dialogue, it would follow that fewer Swifties would emerge. But this is not 374
 necessarily the case. We estimated the quantity of direct speech in King's fiction via a 375
 simple query: word tokens between left and right quotation marks (Figure 7).³ By this 376
 estimate, *Gerald's Game* does indeed have the lowest volume of direct speech (4.23%) 377
 of any of King's novels, which makes sense, as much of the dialogue in this novel is 378
 presented indirectly in the memories, fantasies, and hallucinations of its protagonist, 379
 who is trapped alone in a bedroom. *Dolores Claiborne*, however, while on the low end 380
 of dialogue by volume (10.86%), is slightly higher than a number of other earlier King 381
 novels — *The Eyes of the Dragon* (1984), *The Tommyknockers* (1987b) — and is only 1% 382
 lower than *Cujo* (1981). This suggests that the number of Swiftie constructions in a text 383
 by King cannot necessarily be directly correlated merely with lower quantities of direct 384
 speech. 385

This new evidence — low Swifties in novels aiming to be high and literary, and the low 386

3. The limitation of this query is that quoted word tokens may also indicate not only direct speech, but direct thought and direct writing, as well. This method also captures single words and phrases that are quoted for emphasis, rather than attribution (e.g. "the Democrat had stopped doing its yearly 'oldest resident' interview with him three years previous"; so-called "scare quotes"). For more on such direct speech query see e.g. Liberman 2017.

Estimate of direct discourse as % of novel




Figure 7: Estimate of direct discourse word tokens as percentage of novel, using regular expressions and quotation marks.

conference version

Swiftie query not explainable by low amount of direct speech alone — underscores the 387
 close reading impression that Swifties in “Misery’s Return” appear stark and deliberate. 388
 The overbaked adverbially modified speech attributions in “Misery’s Return” — e.g. “he 389
 whispered strengthlessly” — also do not appear anywhere else in King’s writing. 390

The question remains, though, as to the extent that King associates such “bad” writing 391
 with genre fiction, whether the two are separable, and thus, whether our queries truly 392
 reveal an anxiety of prestige, or merely an anxiety of King’s notions of good and bad 393
 writing, that are distinguishable from the style of high, prestigious literature. First, 394
 in *On Writing*, King frames his disdain of Swifties by noting their historical origin in 395
 juvenile genre fiction and dime novels (2000, 125-26). Second, it is at a point where 396
 King veers away from his own generic stylings that the Swiftie construction declines, 397
 giving evidence of a conjunction of high prose style with new high literary genre modes. 398
 This is complicated, though, by the fact that even when King later returns on occasion 399
 to generic horror writing after 1992, the Swiftie construction is nonetheless used less 400
 and less often. The conclusion that we draw is that while King initially and historically 401
 associates Swifties with “bad” writing within generic moods, after 1992, even when 402
 returning to various genres, King aims for a higher literary prose style. 403

5.3 Experiment 3: The Passive Voice Should Be Avoided 404

In *On Writing*, King exhorts the would-be writer to avoid passive verbs, which he 405
 contends are “weak”, “circuitous”, and “frequently tortuous, as well” (2000, 122). As 406
 with his warning against adverbs, King hedges this advice, specifying that he “won’t say 407
 there’s no place for the passive tense. Suppose, for instance, a fellow dies in the kitchen 408
 but ends up somewhere else. The body was carried from the kitchen and placed on 409
 the parlor sofa is a fair way to put this, although ‘was carried’ and ‘was placed’ still irk 410
 the shit out of me” (Ibid.). Nonetheless, King’s opinion is clear: overuse of the passive 411
 voice is characteristic of bad writing. 412

Such warnings against passive verbs are a staple of 20th-century writing advice, from 413
 Edwin Woolley in 1907 via George Orwell through William Strunk (Zwicky 2006). 414

However, as Pullum notes, “there is rampant confusion about what ‘passive’ means linguistically”, as “contrary to popular belief, passives do not always contain be and do not always contain a past participle” (2014). Pullum sternly admonishes writing advice authors for their “extraordinary level of ignorance of simple facts” and laments that “the state of the general public’s education regarding the notion ‘passive voice’ is nothing short of disastrous” (2014, 64, 67). King at least provides correct examples of passive verbal phrases, unlike many of the writing advice offenders castigated by Pullum. But King, like most of his writing advice forebears, means *be verbal phrases* when stating “avoid the passive”, and his examples of bad passive phrases in *On Writing* fall into two categories: future tense (e.g. “the meeting *will be held* at seven o’clock”) and past simple (e.g. “the body *was carried* from the kitchen”). Querying and classifying the tense of passive verb forms in the Brown Fiction corpus suggests that past simple passive verbs make up the large majority of passive verbs found in fiction, and that future tense passive verbal phrases are rare (Table 1).⁴

Passive verb forms	Brown Fiction
Present Simple	63
Present Continuous	0
Present Perfect	34
Past Simple	700
Past Continuous	1
Past Perfect	154
Future	0
Future Perfect	0
Total	952

Table 1: Passive Verb Forms in Brown Fiction corpus

As a next step in investigating whether the types of passive verbal phrases that King warns against display variance in King’s fiction and are observably higher elsewhere, we queried passive *be*-verb constructions in the corpora (Figure 8) and the trend over the course of King’s writing career (Figure 9).

These results show a low variance in use of *be* passive phrases in texts as disparate as National Book Award winners and *Harry Potter* fanfiction, suggesting that despite the common advice to “avoid passives”, they remain a widespread feature of English writing, as Pullum suggests, and a poor indicator of differential literariness. Furthermore, although there is a steady and marked decline in *be* passive use over the course of King’s career, it is hardly substantial, and some of the later texts feature significantly more passives than a number of the earlier books. This is all to say that passives, in general, do not seem to serve as good indicators of high and low literary language.

6. Conclusion and Future Work

This paper has introduced a term, the anxiety of prestige, along with a proposed definition, above, to serve as a starting point in the analysis of a still largely unexamined

4. These data were derived from the 1,093 passive verb forms detected by the LancBox query `PASSIVES — or _VB. (R.*){0,3}V.N/` — sorted by simple regular expressions to detect the canonical forms of passive verbs: present simple (am/are/is + past participle); present continuous (am/are/is being + past participle); present perfect (have/has been + past participle); past simple.

Passive verbal phrases with word forms of "be"




Figure 8: Passive verbal phrases (with word forms of be), per 10k tokens.

Passive verbal phrases with word forms of "be"




Figure 9: Passive verb forms in King corpus, per 10,000 word tokens.

phenomenon in literary history: textual responses by widely-considered “popular” fiction authors to issues of literary prestige. Our experiments provide contributions to King studies in particular, but also hope to contribute to future investigations of the anxiety of prestige in popular fiction broadly. Digital humanities may be well suited to this task, most simply in the location of textual thematic evidence in larger corpora, but also, as we have attempted to show, through corpus stylistics. Future work could also attempt to locate veiled or explicit antagonism to the act of criticism itself (Eve 2016) within popular fiction, perhaps through suggestions by narrators or characters that books should not be “dissected” through critical theory, but merely enjoyed.

7. Data Availability

Due to copyright restrictions, the full corpus cannot be made available publicly. Frequencies and results of queries can be accessed at https://github.com/erikannotatio/ns/King_data.

8. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the editors and peer reviewers for their many insightful comments.

9. Author Contributions

Erik Ketzan: Conceptualization, Writing

Martin Paul Eve: Writing

References

- Algee-Hewitt, Mark and Mark McGurl (2015). *Between canon and corpus: six perspectives on 20th-century novels*. 2164-1757. Stanford Literary Lab.
- Anderson, James Arthur (2017). *The Linguistics of Stephen King: Layered Language and Meaning in the Fiction*. McFarland.
- Archer, Jodie and Matthew L Jockers (2016). *The bestseller code: Anatomy of the blockbuster novel*. St. Martin's Press.
- Blatt, Ben (2017). *Nabokov's Favorite Word is Mauve: What the Numbers Reveal about the Classics, Bestsellers, and Our Own Writing*. Simon and Schuster.
- Bloom, Harold (1997). *The anxiety of influence : a theory of poetry*. Second edition. New York: Oxford University Press.
- (2007). “Introduction”. In: *Stephen King*. Ed. by Harold Bloom. Updated ed. 1 online resource (vii, 228 pages). Vols. Bloom's modern critical views. New York: Chelsea House Publishers, 1–3. <https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&scope=site&db=nlebk&db=nlabk&AN=302687>.
- Bourdieu, Pierre (1984). *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgment of Taste*. Harvard University Press.

- Brezina, Vaclav, Pierre Weill-Tessier, and Anthony McEnergy (2020). *LancsBox v. 5.1.2*. 480
<http://corpora.lancs.ac.uk/lancsbox>. 481
- Cranenburgh, Andreas van and Corina Koolen (2019). "The Literary Pepsi Challenge: 482
 intrinsic and extrinsic factors in judging literary quality". In: Digital Humanities 483
 2019 Conference. Utrecht University. 484
- De Vries, Robert and Aaron Reeves (June 2022). "What Does it Mean to be a Cultural 485
 Omnivore? Conflicting Visions of Omnivorousness in Empirical Research". In: *Socio-* 486
logical Research Online 27.2, 292–312. 10.1177/13607804211006109. 487
- Elliott, Jack (Sept. 3, 2015). "Whole Genre Sequencing". In: *Digital Scholarship in the* 488
Humanities, fqv034. 10.1093/lc/fqv034. (Visited on 05/15/2024). 489
- Francis, W. N. and H. Kučera (1979). *A Standard Corpus of Present-Day Edited American* 490
English, for Use with Digital Computers. Providence, RI. 491
- Gelder, Ken (Dec. 17, 2004). *Popular Fiction: The Logics and Practices of a Literary Field*. 492
 2nd ed. Routledge. 10.4324/9780203023365. 493
- Hakemulder, Jemeljan F. (Sept. 2004). "Foregrounding and Its Effect on Readers' Per- 494
 ception". In: *Discourse Processes* 38.2, 193–218. 10.1207/s15326950dp3802_3. 495
- Heiden, Serge (2010). "The TXM platform: Building open-source textual analysis soft- 496
 ware compatible with the TEI encoding scheme". In: 24th Pacific Asia conference 497
 on language, information and computation. Vol. 2. Issue: 3. Institute for Digital 498
 Enhancement of Cognitive Development, Waseda University, 389–398. 499
- Heller, Karen (2016). "Meet the Writers Who Still Sell Millions of Books. Actually, 500
 Hundreds of Millions". In: *The Washington Post*. [https://www.washingtonpost.com](https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/meet-the-elite-group-of-authors-who-sell-100-million-books-or-350-million/2016/12/20/db3c6a66-bb0f-11e6-94ac-3d324840106c_story.html) 501
[/lifestyle/style/meet-the-elite-group-of-authors-who-sell-100-million-b](https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/meet-the-elite-group-of-authors-who-sell-100-million-books-or-350-million/2016/12/20/db3c6a66-bb0f-11e6-94ac-3d324840106c_story.html) 502
[ooks-or-350-million/2016/12/20/db3c6a66-bb0f-11e6-94ac-3d324840106c_sto](https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/meet-the-elite-group-of-authors-who-sell-100-million-books-or-350-million/2016/12/20/db3c6a66-bb0f-11e6-94ac-3d324840106c_story.html) 503
[ry.html](https://www.washingtonpost.com/lifestyle/style/meet-the-elite-group-of-authors-who-sell-100-million-books-or-350-million/2016/12/20/db3c6a66-bb0f-11e6-94ac-3d324840106c_story.html). 504
- Herrmann, J Berenike (2017). "In a test bed with Kafka. Introducing a mixed-method 505
 approach to digital stylistics". In: *Digital Humanities Quarterly* 11.4. [https://www.di](https://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/11/4/000341/000341.html) 506
[gitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/11/4/000341/000341.html](https://www.digitalhumanities.org/dhq/vol/11/4/000341/000341.html). 507
- Horkheimer, Max and Theodor W Adorno (1947). "Dialektik der Aufklärung: Philosophis- 508
 che Fragmente [Dialectic of Enlightenment: Philosophical Fragments]". In: *Amster-* 509
dam, the Netherland: Querido. 510
- Hutcheon, Linda (1988). *A Poetics of Postmodernism: History, Theory, Fiction*. New York: 511
 Routledge. 512
- Huyssen, Andreas (1986). *After the Great Divide*. London: Palgrave Macmillan UK. 10.1 513
 007/978-1-349-18995-3. 514
- King, Stephen (1974). *Carrie*. New York: Doubleday. 515
 — (1975). *Salem's Lot*. New York: Doubleday. 516
 — (1979). *The Long Walk*. New York: Pocket Books. 517
 — (1981). *Cujo*. New York: Viking Press. 518
 — (1982). *The Running Man*. New York: Signet Books. 519
 — (1984). *The Eyes of the Dragon*. New York: Viking. 520
 — (1987a). *Misery*. New York: Viking. 521
 — (1987b). *The Tommyknockers*. New York: Putnam. 522
 — (1992a). *Dolores Claiborne*. New York: Viking. 523
 — (1992b). *Gerald's Game*. New York: Viking. 524
 — (1999). *The Girl Who Loved Tom Gordon*. New York: Scribner. 525
 — (2000). *Stephen King on Writing: A Memoir on the Craft*. Simon and Schuster. 526

- King, Stephen (2020). *If It Bleeds*. New York: Scribner. 527
- (2022). *Fairy tale*. New York: Scribner. 528
- Knoop, Christine A., Valentin Wagner, Thomas Jacobsen, and Winfried Menninghaus (June 2016). "Mapping the aesthetic space of literature "from below"". In: *Poetics* 56, 35–49. [10.1016/j.poetic.2016.02.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2016.02.001). 531
- Lessard, Greg (1992). "Computational modelling of linguistic humour: Tom Swifities". In: Selected Papers from the 1992 Association for Literary and Linguistic Computing (ALLC) and the Association for Computers and the Humanities (ACH) Joint Annual Conference. Oxford University Press, 175–178. 532
- Liberman, Mark (Dec. 29, 2017). *Proportion of Dialogue in Novels*. <http://languagelog.ldc.upenn.edu/nll/?p=35968>. 533
- Light, Alison (Aug. 21, 2013). *Forever England*. oth ed. Routledge. [10.4324/9780203713518](https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203713518). 534
- Mair, Christian (1992). *The Freiburg-Brown Corpus*. Freiburg im Breisgau. 535
- McHale, Brian (June 25, 2015). *The Cambridge Introduction to Postmodernism*. Cambridge University Press. [10.1017/CB09781139108706](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139108706). 536
- Ollivier, Michèle (Apr. 2008). "Modes of openness to cultural diversity: Humanist, populist, practical, and indifferent". In: *Poetics* 36.2, 120–147. [10.1016/j.poetic.2008.02.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.poetic.2008.02.005). 537
- Paquette, Jenifer (2014). *Respecting The Stand: A critical analysis of Stephen King's apocalyptic novel*. McFarland. 538
- Peterson, Richard A and Albert Simkus (1992). "How musical tastes mark occupational status groups". In: *Cultivating differences: Symbolic boundaries and the making of inequality* 152. 539
- Peterson, Richard A. and Roger M. Kern (Oct. 1996). "Changing Highbrow Taste: From Snob to Omnivore". In: *American Sociological Review* 61.5, 900. [10.2307/2096460](https://doi.org/10.2307/2096460). 540
- Piper, Andrew and Eva Portelance (2016). "How cultural capital works: Prizewinning novels, bestsellers, and the time of reading". In: *Post45* 10. 541
- Plato (2005). *Phaedrus*. Translated by Christopher Rowe. London: Penguin. 542
- Porter, J. D. (2018). *Popularity/Prestige*. 17. Stanford Literary Lab. 543
- Pullum, Geoffrey K. (Feb. 18, 2004). *Those Who Take the Adjectives from the Table*. <http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/000469.html>. 544
- (June 2010). "The Land of the Free and *The Elements of Style*". In: *English Today* 26.2, 34–44. [10.1017/S0266078410000076](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0266078410000076). 545
- (July 2014). "Fear and loathing of the English passive". In: *Language & Communication* 37, 60–74. [10.1016/j.langcom.2013.08.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.langcom.2013.08.009). 546
- (Mar. 21, 2015). *Awful Book, so I Bought It*. <https://languagelog.ldc.upenn.edu/nll/?p=18345>. 547
- Rybicki, J. and M. Eder (Sept. 1, 2011). "Deeper Delta across genres and languages: do we really need the most frequent words?" In: *Literary and Linguistic Computing* 26.3, 315–321. [10.1093/llic/fqr031](https://doi.org/10.1093/llic/fqr031). 548
- Schmid, Helmut (1999). "Improvements in part-of-speech tagging with an application to German". In: *Natural language processing using very large corpora*. Springer, 13–25. 549
- Schöch, Christof (2017). "Topic Modeling Genre: An Exploration of French Classical and Enlightenment Drama." In: *DHQ: Digital Humanities Quarterly* 11.2. 550

- Senf, Carol A (1998). "Gerald's Game and Dolores Claiborne: Stephen King and the Evolution of An Authentic Female Narrative Voice". In: *CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE STUDY OF POPULAR CULTURE* 67, 91–110. 572 573 574
- Sigelman, Lee and William Jacoby (1996). "The not-so-simple art of imitation: Pastiche, literary style, and Raymond Chandler". In: *Computers and the Humanities* 30.1, 11–28. 575 576 577
10.1007/BF00054025.
- Smythe, James (Feb. 5, 2015). "Rereading Stephen King, Chapter 31: Dolores Claiborne". In: *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/books/2015/feb/05/rereading-stephen-king-chapter-31-dolores-claiborne>. 578 579 580
- So, Richard Jean (Dec. 31, 2021). *Redlining Culture: A Data History of Racial Inequality and Postwar Fiction*. Columbia University Press. 10.7312/so--19772. 581 582
- Steve Evans, Jeri Kroll (Apr. 28, 2005). "How to Write a 'How to Write' Book: The Writer as Entrepreneur". In: *TEXT* 9.1. 10.52086/001c.31884. 583 584 585
- Strengell, Heidi (2005). *Dissecting Stephen King: from the Gothic to literary naturalism*. Popular Press. 586
- Strunk Jr., William and E. B. White (1999). *The Elements of Style*. 4th. London: Pearson. 587
- Texter, Douglas W. (Jan. 1, 2007). "A Funny Thing Happened on the Way to the Dystopia: The Culture Industry's Neutralization of Stephen King's *The Running Man*". In: *Utopian Studies* 18.1, 43–72. 10.2307/20719846. 588 589 590
- Underwood, Ted (2019). *Distant Horizons: Digital Evidence and Literary Change*. University of Chicago Press. 10.7208/chicago/9780226612973.001.0001. 591 592
- Underwood, Ted and Jordan Sellers (Sept. 2016). "The *Longue Durée* of Literary Prestige". In: *Modern Language Quarterly* 77.3, 321–344. 10.1215/00267929-3570634. 593 594 595
- Van Cranenburgh, Andreas and Erik Ketzan (2021). "Stylometric Literariness Classification: the Case of Stephen King". In: *Proceedings of the 5th Joint SIGHUM Workshop on Computational Linguistics for Cultural Heritage, Social Sciences, Humanities and Literature*. Proceedings of the 5th Joint SIGHUM Workshop on Computational Linguistics for Cultural Heritage, Social Sciences, Humanities and Literature. Punta Cana, Dominican Republic (online): Association for Computational Linguistics, 189–197. 596 597 598 599 600 601
10.18653/v1/2021.latechclfl-1.21.
- Van Cranenburgh, Andreas, Karina Van Dalen-Oskam, and Joris Van Zundert (Dec. 2019). "Vector space explorations of literary language". In: *Language Resources and Evaluation* 53.4, 625–650. 10.1007/s10579-018-09442-4. 602 603 604
- Van Dalen-Oskam, Karina (June 26, 2023). *The Riddle of Literary Quality: A Computational Approach*. Amsterdam University Press. 10.2307/jj.4470331. 605 606
- Van Peer, Willie (2008). *The quality of literature: Linguistic studies in literary evaluation*. Vol. 4. John Benjamins Publishing. 607 608
- Verboord, Marc (June 2003). "Classification of authors by literary prestige". In: *Poetics* 31.3, 259–281. 10.1016/S0304-422X(03)00037-8. 609 610
- Wynne, M. (2006). "Stylistics: Corpus Approaches". In: *Encyclopedia of Language Linguistics (Second Edition)*. Ed. by Keith Brown. Second Edition. Oxford: Elsevier, 223–226. 611 612 613
10.1016/B0-08-044854-2/00553-8.
- Xan, Brooks (Sept. 7, 2019). "Stephen King: 'I Have Outlived Most of My Critics. It Gives Me Great Pleasure.'" In: <http://www.theguardian.com/books/2019/sep/07/stephen-king-interview-the-institute>. 614 615 616

Zwicky, Arnold (July 22, 2006). *How Long Have We Been Avoiding the Passive, and Why?* 617
Language Log. [http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/003380](http://itre.cis.upenn.edu/~myl/languagelog/archives/003380.html) 618
.html. 619