

Supporting Information

for Adv. Electron. Mater., DOI 10.1002/aelm.202300533

Sensitive Detection of a Gaseous Analyte with Low-Power Metal–Organic Framework Functionalized Carbon Nanotube Transistors

Sandeep Kumar*, Simone Dehm, Laura Wieland, Abhinav Chandresh, Lars Heinke, Benjamin S. Flavel and Ralph Krupke*

Sensitive Detection of a Gaseous Analyte with Low-Power Metal–Organic Framework Functionalized Carbon Nanotube Transistors

Sandeep Kumar¹, Simone Dehm ¹, Laura Wieland^{1,2}, Abhinav Chandresh³, Lars Heinke ³, Benjamin Flavel ¹, and Ralph Krupke ^{1,2,4}

¹Institute of Nanotechnology, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
²Department of Materials Science, Technical University of Darmstadt, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany
³Institute of Functional Interfaces, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany
⁴Institute of Quantum Materials and Technologies, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, 76021 Karlsruhe, Germany

Supporting Information

- Optical microscopy images of devices (Figure S1)
- Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images from devices (Figure S2)
- X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis of the MOF layer (Figure S3)
- Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis (Figure S4)
- Raman spectroscopy data (Figure S5)
- Scanning electron micrograph of pristine CNTFET (Figure S6)
- Transconductance data of pristine CNTFET (Figure S7)
- Complementary reset data (Figure S8)
- Photography and schematic drawing of the gas sensing setup (Figure S9)



Figure S1: **Optical microscope images of devices**. a) 5x mag. bright field, b) 5x mag. dark field, c) 20x mag. bright field, d) 20x mag. dark field.



Figure S2: **Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images**. Data recorded on the $MOF/Al_2O_3/CNTFET/SiO_2/Si$ stack. a) 75x mag., b) 75x mag., 45° tilt, c) 50x mag., d) 35x mag. The area in d) covers the gap region and the bright areas correlate with the source and drain electrodes.



Figure S3: **X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis**. Out-of-plane XRD data of the $Cu_2(BDC)_2$ -MOF layer grown on $Al_2O_3/SiO_2/Si$.The crystal structure of $Cu_2(BDC)_2$ -MOF is triclinic with lattice constants a = b = 10.803 Å and c = 5.60 Å. (from Redel et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 2013, 103 (9), 091903.) These lattice constants were used for the calculation of the X-ray diffractogram using material studio software.



Figure S4: **Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) analysis**. The layer structure is 100nm-Cu₂(BDC)₂-MOF/5nm-Al₂O₃/300nm-SiO₂/Si.



Figure S5: **Raman spectroscopy data**. The layer structure is $100nm-Cu_2(BDC)_2$ -MOF/5nm-Al₂O₃/300nm-SiO₂/Si. The Raman peaks at 1144, 1450, and 1616 cm⁻¹ are assigned to the ring stretch of benzene-dicarboxylate, asymmetric CO stretch, and C=C stretch in the MOF layer, respectively (see Elder et al., Langmuir 2017, 33, 10153 and Kumar et al., Adv. Mater. 2021, 33, 2103316). The peak at 2331 cm⁻¹ is from N₂(g).



Figure S6: Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image. Data taken on the pristine CNTFET.

The CNTs are bridging the source and drain metal electrodes.



Figure S7: **Transconductance data**. Comparison between pristine CNTFET in air and MOF/C-NTFET in air.



Figure S8: **Complementary reset data**. (Top) Incomplete reset. The device cannot be reset by changing the gate voltage only while keeping the source-drain bias low (0.1V). (Bottom) Effect of reset on the transconductance data. Comparison of MOF/CNTFET data taken initially in N₂, during exposure to ethanol@N₂, and again in N₂ after the reset.





Figure S9: Gas sensing setup. Photography (top) and schematic drawing (bottom).