### Check for updates

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

EDITED BY David Ting, Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, United States

REVIEWED BY Madhurima Das, Symbiosis International University, India Liqiu Ma, China Institute of Atomic Energy, China

\*CORRESPONDENCE Walter Tinganelli, ⊠ w.tinganelli@gsi.de

<sup>1</sup>These authors have contributed equally to this work

RECEIVED 15 May 2023 ACCEPTED 11 October 2023 PUBLISHED 16 November 2023

#### CITATION

Quartieri M, Puspitasari A, Vitacchio T, Durante M and Tinganelli W (2023), The role of hypoxia and radiation in developing a CTCs-like phenotype in murine osteosarcoma cells. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 11:1222809. doi: 10.3389/fcell.2023.1222809

#### COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Quartieri, Puspitasari, Vitacchio, Durante and Tinganelli. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# The role of hypoxia and radiation in developing a CTCs-like phenotype in murine osteosarcoma cells

Martina Quartieri<sup>1†</sup>, Anggraeini Puspitasari<sup>1,2†</sup>, Tamara Vitacchio<sup>1</sup>, Marco Durante<sup>1,3</sup> and Walter Tinganelli<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Biophysics Department, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany, <sup>2</sup>Biology Division, Gunma University Heavy Ion Medical Center, Maebashi, Japan, <sup>3</sup>Institut für Festkörperphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Darmstadt, Germany

**Introduction:** Cancer treatment has evolved significantly, yet concerns about tumor recurrence and metastasis persist. Within the dynamic tumor microenvironment, a subpopulation of mesenchymal tumor cells, known as Circulating Cancer Stem Cells (CCSCs), express markers like CD133, TrkB, and CD47, making them radioresistant and pivotal to metastasis. Hypoxia intensifies their stemness, complicating their identification in the bloodstream. This study investigates the interplay of acute and chronic hypoxia and radiation exposure in selecting and characterizing cells with a CCSC-like phenotype.

**Methods:** LM8 murine osteosarcoma cells were cultured and subjected to normoxic (21% O2) and hypoxic (1% O2) conditions. We employed Sphere Formation and Migration Assays, Western Blot analysis, CD133 Cell Sorting, and CD133+ Fluorescent Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) analysis with a focus on TrkB antibody to assess the effects of acute and chronic hypoxia, along with radiation exposure.

**Results:** Our findings demonstrate that the combination of radiation and acute hypoxia enhances stemness, while chronic hypoxia imparts a cancer stem-like phenotype in murine osteosarcoma cells, marked by increased migration and upregulation of CCSC markers, particularly TrkB and CD47. These insights offer a comprehensive understanding of the interactions between radiation, hypoxia, and cellular responses in the context of cancer treatment.

**Discussion:** This study elucidates the complex interplay among radiation, hypoxia, and cellular responses, offering valuable insights into the intricacies and potential advancements in cancer treatment.

#### KEYWORDS

tumor metastasis, cancer stem cells, circulating tumor cells, tumor hypoxia, radiation



# **1** Introduction

Osteosarcoma is a highly heterogeneous malignant tumor, representing the most common primary tumor in bone (Kashima et al., 2003). Despite improvements in clinical treatment, osteosarcoma remains an aggressive tumor with a poor prognosis in the advanced stages. Metastasis are the primary cause of cancer morbidity and mortality, accounting for about 90% of cancer deaths (Gao et al., 2017). Osteosarcoma occurs in more than 80% of patients and usually metastasizes to the lungs and bones (De Luca et al., 2018), with a high probability of recurrence.

Not all tumor cells can form metastasis; the ones that trigger the process are cells that possess stem-like properties, also known as Cancer Stem Cells (CSCs) (Ayob and Ramasamy, 2018). This subset of cells is the key driver of tumor growth, recurrence, metastasis, and treatment resistance and can be identified by specific markers, like CD133 (Yang et al., 2015). Also known as, prominin-1, CD133 is a transmembrane glycoprotein involved in cell migration and cancer initiation (Tinganelli and Durante, 2020). It is well documented in literature that high protein levels of CD133 are related to high metastatic capability of cancer cells as well as an increased resistance to radiotherapy treatments (Liou, 2019).

Metastasis consists of a multi-step process, also known as "invasion-metastasis cascade" (Giancotti, 2013). First, metastatic cancer cells go through an Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal transition (EMT). The EMT phenotype decreases the cells' adhesion, disaggregating them from the primary tumor, and increases their motility (Guarino et al., 2007). EMT contributes to the production of CSCs, initiating cells that shed from primary tumor sites (He et al., 2018), they migrate and intravasate into the blood or lymph circulation, becoming Circulating Tumor Cells (CTCs) (Park et al., 2020). CTCs can move inside of the blood circulation not only as a single cell but also as cell clusters (Hong et al., 2016; Krol et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2022). The clustering of CTCs shows an increased aggressiveness and metastatic capability, as well as an improved stemness capacity compared to single CTCs (Lin et al., 2021a; Schuster et al., 2021). As a result of the maintenance of cellcell adhesion properties, cluster CTCs can be resistant to apoptosis; furthermore, they gain the ability to evade from the immune system detection (Leone et al., 2018; Amintas et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2022). In general, cancer cells in the bloodstream are subjected to stress and

are destined to die due to a programmed cell death called "*anoikis*" (Guarino et al., 2007). By depriving the cells of adhesion signals necessary for cancer cell progression, *anoikis* acts as a physiological barrier to cancer progression (Geiger and Peeper, 2005). CTCs are able to resist *anoikis*, thus facilitating their spread and secondary tumor formation at distant sites (Douma et al., 2004).

Anoikis resistance might be conferred by specific cellular markers. TrkB is a neurotrophic tyrosine kinase receptor that has an oncogenic effect, according to literature. When overexpressed, it protects cells against programmed cell death, allowing them to survive in foreign environments even without physiologic adhesion signals (Geiger and Peeper, 2005). Inside the blood circulation, tumor cells are targeted and eliminated by the immune system. However, CTCs are able to evade the immune system detection by expressing a high amount of the "do not eat me" protein CD47 (Lian et al., 2019a). Among the cells that can survive in the bloodstream, only a small fraction of them are actually responsible for metastasis formation: these cell bear cancer stem cells characteristics such as stemness capacity and high invasiveness, and are called Circulating Cancer Stem Cells (Kantara et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2015; Luo et al., 2018). These cells are capable of undergoing a new phenotypic shift, known as a Mesenchymal-to-Epithelial transition (MET). This transition eventually allows them to form metastatic deposits at distant sites (Banyard and Bielenberg, 2015). Despite the available knowledge, the mechanisms underlying how certain cells can migrate and later form metastasis are still poorly understood.

A crucial role in the EMT transition, as well as in the enrichment of CSCs and CTCs formation is played by hypoxia (He et al., 2018). The normal level of oxygen in healthy tissues varies between ~4.6% O<sub>2</sub> to 9.4% O<sub>2</sub> (Physoxia) (McKeown, 2014). In contrast, while the oxygen concentration in tumors can drop to 2% or even below (Muz et al., 2015). Hypoxia effects are modulated by Hypoxia-inducible factors (HIFs), composed by 3 different subunits which are important mediators in cellular adaptation to low oxygen (Ziello et al., 2007; Semenza, 2012; Tong et al., 2018). This evidence indicates that HIFs promote the stemness properties of Cancer Stem Cells and contribute to their maintenance in hypoxic niches (Yun and Lin, 2014; Vadde et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2021), by expanding the subpopulation of cells positive for CD133 marker (Heddleston et al., 2010; Maeda et al., 2016) and increasing the expression of other stem cell markers such as Oct4 and Nanog (Lin et al., 2021b).



performed. (C) LM8 cells were cultivated in hypoxia and irradiated under hypoxic conditions before further analysis.

Indeed, oxygen deprivation is not the only stressor that might be involved in metastasis formation. Exogenous stressors such as radiation therapy could also play a role (Sundahl et al., 2018; Kim et al., 2019). Photon radiation could damage the vasculature in the primary tumor, leading to the release of CTCs into circulation (Koonce et al., 2017). This process selects Circulating Cancer Stem Cells due to their increased radioresistance compared to normal tumor cells (Schulz et al., 2019) and enhances the expression of matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), which degrade the extracellular matrix, thereby increasing cell migration and invasion (Lee et al., 2017).

In the current study, we conduct *in vitro* experiments using stressors such as acute and chronic hypoxia, as well as photon

radiation (X-rays), to select cells with Circulating Cancer Stem Cell (CCSCs)-like phenotype.

# 2 Materials and methods

# 2.1 Cell culture and culture condition

We used the highly metastatic murine osteosarcoma cell line LM8 (Cell Bank Riken BioResource Research Center). Cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM 1X + GlutaMAX-I), Gibco, Bleiswijk, Netherlands), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS superior, Sigma, Brazil) and

1% Penicillin-Streptomycin (10,000 U/mL Penicillin, 10 mg/mL Streptomycin, Pan Biotech, Aidenbach, Germany), in a humidified 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C. For the normoxic condition, we used 21%  $O_2$  (95%  $N_2,\ 1L$  of  $H_2O$  for humidification and 5% CO2 composition). For the hypoxic condition, cells were cultured in T75 tissue culture flasks inside of a hypoxic working station In VivO2 400 (Baker Ruskinn, United Kingdom). The incubator setup included 94% N<sub>2</sub>, 1% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub>, with 65% humidity, maintained at 37°C (Figures 1A-C). Cells were exposed to hypoxia for a week (Acute hypoxia) and 2 weeks (Chronic hypoxia), and always handled inside of the hypoxic working station, were washed using Dulbecco's Phosphate Buffered Saline (Sigma Aldrich, United Kingdom), trypsinized with 1 mL of Trypsin-EDTA (0.05%/0.02%, Pan Biotech, Aidenbach, Germany) and reseeded at a 1:20 dilution.

## 2.2 Sphere formation and migration assay

LM8 were initially cultured under normoxic conditions for 1 week. Afterwards, they were irradiated with a dose of 4 Gy X-rays, counted with a cell counter (Beckman Coulter, United States), and then seeded at a density of 30.000 cells per well in ultra-low attachment 6-well plates (Greiner Bio-one, Germany). Following this, the plates were incubated under two different oxygen conditions: 21% O<sub>2</sub> and 1% O<sub>2</sub> (Acute Hypoxia) for an additional week, allowing the cells to form spheres in suspension. In parallel, LM8 were maintained under 1% O<sub>2</sub> condition for 1 week, irradiated, seeded in the plates and kept for an additional week under 1% O<sub>2</sub> condition (Chronic Hypoxia) (Figures 1A–C). Afterwards, sphere formation efficiency was considered according to the equation:

Sphere formation efficiency (%) = 
$$\frac{Number of spheres}{Total number of cells} \times 100$$

In order to investigate the migration capacity, the spheres were embedded in collagen (Collagen I, rat tail, Enzo Life Sciences) and pictures (×4 magnification) were taken after 24 h and 48 h using a Motic AE31 microscope (camera: Moticam 3 + 3.0 MP; ocular lenses: WFPL ×10; objective lenses: ×4/0.10  $\infty$ /- WD 23.5). Analysis was performed according to the following formula:

## Area of migration = External area of migration - Internal area of the sphere

The area and the number of spheres in the clusters have been counted by segmenting the circumference of each sphere using ImageJ 1.53t software (Java 1.8.0\_345 64-bit version).

# 2.3 Western blot

The cells were irradiated with 4 Gy X-ray and subsequently maintained in T75 flasks and ultra-low attachment 6-well plates at 21%  $O_2$  and 1%  $O_2$ , respectively. After 1 week, the cells were

TABLE 1 Details of RIPA.

$1 \times RIPA$
1 × Halt Protease Inhibitor
1 mM Na-Orthovanadat
2 mM Na-Fluorid
ddH <sub>2</sub> O to bring to the volume needed

harvested on ice using 200 uL of RIPA-buffer (see Table 1). Sham controls were prepared for comparison. The scraped cells were transferred to 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes and incubated for 30 min on ice, followed by centrifugation at 4°C for 15 min at 14.000 rpm. The supernatant was collected for protein quantification and further analysis. Protein quantification was performed using the DC protein Assay Kit from BioRad (Bio-Rad DC Protein Assay Kit 2, United States, N° 5000112). Equal amounts of protein (2.5-5 g per lane) were subjected to SDS-PAGE and transferred to Immobilon-P polyvinylidene difluoride membranes (Merck Millipore). The membranes were incubated with the appropriate primary and secondary antibodies, as listed in Tables 2, 3. Peroxidase activity was detected using chemiluminescence reagents (Pierce ECL Western blotting Substrate, Thermo Scientific, Rockford, United States), and visualized with an image analyzer (Fusion FX Vilber Lourmat, Peqlab) equipped with Fusion software.

## 2.4 CD133 cell sorting

LM8 were cultured in T75 flasks for 1 week, in 21% O2 and 1% O2, and subsequently harvested in Accutase (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, United States) and centrifuged at 100g for 5 min (Figure 1B). Supernatant was discarded and cells were resuspended in 1.5 mL of sterile PBS (Sigma Aldrich, United Kingdom) and then incubated with CD133 antibody (abcam ab19898) 1:200 dilution on a rotor for 1 h. Cells were then washed with PBS and incubated with  $3\,\mu\text{L}$  of Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti rabbit IgG for another hour. Cells were then filtered using a 5 mL Polystyrene Tube with Cell-Strainer Cap (Falcon 352235), and then sorted using a cell sorter (S3e Cell Sorter, Bio-Rad, Germany). After sorting, CD133+ cells were then plated in T75 flasks, kept for 5 days in the incubator to grow and then irradiated with 4 Gy X-rays. After irradiation, cells were harvested for Fluorescent Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) analysis.

# 2.5 CD133+ Fluorescent Activated Cell Sorting (FACS) analysis

Sorted cells were harvested with Accutase, washed with PBS, and incubated with antibody (Table 4) for 30 min at room temperature, in the dark. After, cells were washed and resuspended in  $100\,\mu$ L of PBS, and measured using a Flow

#### TABLE 2 List of primary antibodies used.

CD 133, Prominin 1 antibody, 1:1000, Abcam, RRID:AB_470302. CD47 Polyclonal
Antibody, 1:1000, Invitrogen, RRID: AB_2899620

Monoclonal Anti-β-Actin Antibody, 1:10000, Sigma-Aldrich, RRID:AB\_476744

TABLE 3 List of secondary antibodies used.

Goat Anti-Mouse IgG (H L)-HRP Conjugate antibody 1:3000, Bio-Rad, RRID: AB\_11125547

Goat Anti-Rabbit IgG (H L)-HRP Conjugate antibody, 1:3000, Bio-Rad, RRID: AB\_11125142

#### TABLE 4 Antibodies used for FACS analysis.

TrkB antibody, 1:50, Biorbyt, United Kingdom	
Viability Dye VivaFix 649/660, Biorad, US.	

Cytometer (CytoFLEX, Beckman Coulter, United States). Data analysis was performed with the CytExpert v2.5 software. Gating strategy for the analysis was performed using a Viability Dye (VivaFix 649/660, Biorad) to discriminate between living and dead cells, and only viable cells were considered for the analysis. TrkB antibody (CF405M, Biorbyt) was used, and the filter considered was Pacific Blue 450V, with a 405 nm of wavelength.

## 2.6 Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the unpaired *t*-test. Values of p < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed to compare both normoxia and hypoxia, as well as controls and irradiated samples. All analyses were performed using ImageJ 1.53t software (Java 1.8.0\_345 64-bit version), QuPath software (0.3.0 version), and GraphPad Prism 9 software.

# **3** Results

# 3.1 Hypoxia increases CD133 expression in LM8 cells

In our study, we used murine osteosarcoma cell line LM8, a highly metastatic cell line when injected subcutaneously in C3H mice (Tanaka et al., 2013; Tinganelli et al., 2022). To investigate the hypoxic status of the cells, we analysed the expression of the HIF1a protein after 1 week and 2 weeks of hypoxic exposition. In prostate cancer, it has been shown that HIF1a protein levels decrease with prolonged exposure to hypoxia (Ravenna et al., 2014). In our experiments, the cells exposed to 1 week of hypoxia showed a substantial increase of HIF1a, confirming that the



transition to chronic hypoxia and subsequent activation of genes, responsible for the cellular phenotype typical of aggressive and invasive tumors, had not yet occurred, while after 2 weeks of hypoxia, HIF1a level decreased (Supplementary Figure S1A). Therefore, we refer to the 1-week hypoxia as "Acute hypoxia" and the 2-week hypoxia as "Chronic hypoxia". Under normoxia, the LM8 cells express CD133 with a fold change of approximately 0.5. Following hypoxia, CD133 significantly increases (*p*-value: 0.0049) and remains unaffected by photon radiation, with a fold change around 1.5 (Figures 2A, B).

cells.  $\pm$  SEM, p < 0.05, unpaired Student's t-test.

# 3.2 Hypoxia increases the subpopulation of TrkB cells

CD133 is a marker for cell stemness, but CTCs also express other crucial markers, like the TrkB, that allow them to resist *anoikis* (Paoli et al., 2013; Adeshakin et al., 2021). In our study, we found that the TrkB percentage was around 1% in whole



#### FIGURE 3

The TrkB expression on LM8 cells. (A) The expression of TrkB increased in hypoxic cells compared to normoxic cells (B). Representative bar plots show the surface marker expression of TrkB on LM8 cells: the upper panel is from normoxic cells, and the lower panel is from hypoxic cells. (C) The expression of TrkB on CD133-positive cells was not influenced by hypoxia or radiation. A comparison was made between normoxia and hypoxia, considering 0 Gy as the control and 4 Gy as irradiation dose. \* Hypoxic cells vs. normoxic control cells.  $\pm$  SEM, p < 0.01.



#### FIGURE 4

The effects of hypoxia and radiation on sphere formation. (A) Representative images of spheres. A comparison was made between normoxia, acute hypoxia and chronic hypoxia, with 0 Gy as the control and 4 Gy as the irradiation dose. ×4 magnification (B) Graphics of sphere efficiency formation, (C) Size of the sphere area (in pixels). (D-E) The number of events of aggregating spheres. Hypoxic cells vs. normoxic control cells ±SEM, p < 0.05, unpaired Student's t-test.

LM8 cells population. Under hypoxia, TrkB expression increased to around 7% (Figures 3A, B). Furthermore, to study the percentage of CD133+ cells that also express the TrkB marker,

we sorted LM8 cells based on CD133+. In the sorted cells, TrkB expression significantly increases up to 40% (p-value: 0.0001) (Figure 3C).



The effects of hypoxia and radiation on sphere formation of CD133 sorted LM8 cells. Comparison was made between normoxia, acute hypoxia and chronic hypoxia, with 0 Gy as the control and 4 Gy as the irradiation dose. (A) Representative images of spheres. (B) Graphs of sphere efficiency formation, (C) Size of the sphere area (in pixels). (D–E) The number of events of aggregating spheres. Hypoxic cells vs. normoxic control cells  $\pm$ SEM, p < 0.05, unpaired Student's t-test.

# 3.3 Hypoxia and radiation changes sphere formation efficiency, sphere size and sphere clusters of LM8 cells

The duration of exposure to hypoxia strongly influences the ability to form spheres, and the size of spheres in the entire population of LM8 cells. Under acute hypoxia, LM8 cells show increased spheres formation efficiency (from 0.12% to 0.22%), whereas chronic hypoxia leads to a decrease in sphere formation's efficiency (0.17%). Radiation seems to play a role only in the acute hypoxic cells, changing the sphere formation efficiency from 0.11% to 0.77% (Figures 4A, B). The size of the spheres decreases under acute and chronic hypoxia, as well as the

number of cells involved in forming spheres (Supplementary Figure S2A), however the hypoxic cells have a higher tendency to form clusters, with 4-7 spheres clumping together (Figure 4D). Furthermore, the combination with radiation further reduces the size of hypoxic but not normoxic spheres (p-value: 0.000105), and their tendency to form clusters together (Figures 4A, C, E). To investigate the role played by CD133 in the sphere formation and size, we further sorted the cells. In contrast to the whole population of cells, chronic hypoxia significantly increases the sphere formation capacity of LM8 CD133+ cells (~0.8%) (Figures 5A, B) alone or in combination with radiation (~0.6%), while for acute hypoxic LM8 CD133+ cells, the exposition to 4 Gy of X-rays is a fundamental condition to increase the sphere formation ability (~0.63%). However, for CD133+ cells, the sphere size decreases with increased exposure time to hypoxia (Figure 5C), as does the number of cells forming spheres (Supplementary Figure S3A). The number of spheres clustering together increases under acute hypoxia, and even more so in the combination with radiation and chronic hypoxia (Figures 5D, E).

# 3.4 Migration ability of the cells after acute and chronic hypoxia

LM8 cells exposed to chronic hypoxia increase the migration ability strongly (from ~16010 pixels to ~60,000 pixels of area, after 24 h) (Figures 6A–C). Normoxic cells increase their migration capacity only after exposure to radiation. No changes have been found after radiation in the cells cultivated in acute or chronic hypoxia (Figures 6B–E). Concerning the migration study of the sorted CD133+ cells, it must be noted that the reoxygenation of the samples during the sorting procedure is not avoidable. We showed that after reoxygenation, there is a substantial decrease in migration ability (Supplementary Figure S4).

## 3.5 CD47 increases under chronic hypoxia

After being able to identify a subpopulation of cells with an increased stemness capacity, aggressiveness and migration capability, we further investigated whether these cells express CD47, needed by Circulating Cancer Stem Cells to evade from the immune system control (Yang et al., 2015). We observed a significant increase in the CD47 expression under chronic hypoxia, particularly in the non-irradiated samples (*p*-value: 0.0205), while normoxia and acute hypoxia did not influence CD47 concentration (Figures 7A, B).

# 4 Discussion

More than 90% of tumor-related deaths are caused by tumor relapse and metastasis (Riggio et al., 2021). Not all tumor cells can form metastasis. Only a subpopulation activates the "invasionmetastasis cascade": these cells detach from the primary tumor and undergo a morphological change known as Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal transition (EMT), allowing them to intravasate into the blood vessels (Martin et al., 2013; Tinganelli and Durante, 2020). In the blood flow, these circulating tumor cells are subjected to stress, and most of them die because of *anoikis* or because the



of migration area analysis (external region–internal region). (**B**, **D**) The area of migrating cells from the sphere 24 h and (**C**, **E**) 48 h after embedding. The sphere images (n = 3) were analyzed after manual and automatic annotation. Hypoxic cells vs. normoxic control cells. <u>+</u> SEM, n. s (p > 0.05). Unpaired Student's t-test.

immune system detects them as not-self and eliminates them (Wang et al., 2018). The CTCs can circulate in the bloodstream as single cells or cell clusters (Hurtado et al., 2023). CTCs clusters are heterogeneous, composed of cells with different phenotypes (Menyailo et al., 2020). The responsible for metastasis formation is a small subset of these cells characterized by the stemness capacity, resistance to *anoikis*, immune evasion ability and higher



invasiveness (Yang et al., 2015; Papaccio, 2020). This subset of the CTCs is called Circulating Cancer Stem Cells (CCSCs) (Shiozawa et al., 2013; Tinganelli and Durante, 2020). These cells undergo to a Mesenchymal-to-Epithelial transition (MET), thus extravasating from the blood vessels, disseminating and forming metastasis at distant sites (Banyard and Bielenberg, 2015). They can also be identified by specific markers like CD133 (associated with migration and stemness), TrkB (related to resistance to anoikis), and CD47 (a "do not eat me" signal for immune escape) (Geiger and Peeper, 2005; Yang et al., 2015; Helm et al., 2019). Given the low quantity of these cells in the bloodstream, the possibility of select them in vitro from the entire population of cancer cells would significantly enhance our understanding of the mechanisms involved in the metastasis formation. In literature is known that hypoxia triggers the expression of CD133 in osteosarcoma cells (Heddleston et al., 2010). However, hypoxia, in these studies, only seems to increase cancer cell stemness (Yun and Lin, 2014; Zhang et al., 2021). There are no studies to verify whether hypoxia can select the subpopulation of cells with a CTCs-like phenotype.

Our study demonstrates that the exposure time to hypoxia influences the cells' phenotype. Several studies demonstrate that acute hypoxia induces a strong increase of the protein HIF1a (Lin et al., 2011; Masoud and Li, 2015). In contrast, cells exposed to prolonged hypoxia begin to reduce the production of HIF1a protein, and increase the production of HIF2a. The transition from HIF1a to HIF2a marks the shift from acute hypoxia to chronic hypoxia, as these proteins activate distinct gene pathways (Saxena and Jolly, 2019). Furthermore, HIF1a is highly expressed in hematopoietic stem cells, where it activates genes responsible for maintaining a dormant state with low metabolism level (Takubo et al., 2010). In contrast, HIF2a protein activates genes responsible for tumor aggressiveness and invasion (Zhang et al., 2017; Davis et al., 2022).

In this study, we cultivate murine osteosarcoma cells (LM8) in hypoxia. Differently from what is reported in literature, the originality of our study is that we maintained the cells in 1% O<sub>2</sub> for prolonged time (1 week and 2 weeks), to mimic the hypoxic environment that occurs in tumor. Although we anticipated a decrease in HIF1a after 1 week, our results show that this hypoxic marker not only is still present but also increases after 1 week of hypoxia and it decreases only at 2 weeks. As a result, we categorized the 1-week exposure as "acute hypoxia" and the 2-week exposure as "chronic hypoxia". Furthermore, we studied the effects of these prolonged hypoxic exposure on the cell's phenotype.

demonstrated that hypoxia We acute increases CD133 expression and the percentage of cells expressing TrkB in the entire LM8 cell population. However, the overall expression levels of these markers remained low. Interestingly, we expected an increase in the CD133+ sorted cell population but we observed an overall increase in TrkB in CD133+ cells, particularly under hypoxia, where the expression of TrkB shifted from approximately 7% of cells to 40%-50% of cells. These results not only suggest a correlation between CD133 and TrkB markers, but also indicate that hypoxia augments the subpopulation of cells possessing stem-like properties and resistance to anoikis. We also found that acute hypoxia enhances the sphere formation capacity of the cells, a characteristic typically associated with cancer stem cells, especially when combined with radiation. In contrast, we observed similar sphere-forming capacities between normoxia and chronic hypoxia. Additionally, with prolonged exposure to hypoxia, we observed a reduction in the size of the spheres, especially when combined with radiation. These findings suggest that hypoxia may induces changes in cell size, enabling them to infiltrate blood vessels and reach distant sites from primary tumor. These characteristics are reminiscent of CTC cells (Douma et al., 2004; Lin et al., 2021a; Chen et al., 2022).

In our study, prolonged exposure to hypoxia decreases the proliferation rate of the cells. We observed smaller spheres in size, but with a tendency to form more clusters, indicating that hypoxia contributes to selecting cells with an aggressive phenotype. However, in the LM8 whole population, we observed that radiation influences the clumping capacity of the spheres, causing them to form fewer clusters. Moreover, in the CD133+ spheres, even though the area of the spheres decreases with an increased exposure to hypoxia, we observed an increased capacity to form clusters under acute hypoxia. This capability is even more enhanced in the combination of chronic hypoxia and radiation. This might indicate that irradiation and prolonged exposure to hypoxia select aggressive cells with a CTC-like phenotype (Li et al., 2016; Ishiguro et al., 2017).

Interestingly, our current results show an increase in cell migration in chronic hypoxia cells but not in acute hypoxia. This phenomenon might be attributed to the cells being in a quiescent state when exposed to acute hypoxia, and their migration capacity is increased after prolonged hypoxia. This might be a hallmark of aggressiveness in circulating cancer stem cells (Fares et al., 2020). As already known, the subpopulation of cells that circulate in bloodstream, known as Circulating Tumor Cells, express CD47, the "do not eat me" signal that allows them to evade detection by the immune system (Lian et al., 2018b; Chen et al., 2022). It is also reported that hypoxia influences the behavior of cells in terms of stemness capacity, migration ability and clustering (Donato et al., 2020). However, there are no studies that investigate whether the duration of hypoxia (1 week or 2 weeks) influences the behavior and aggressiveness of this subpopulation of cells. We investigated whether this subset of aggressive and highly migratory cells expresses CD47 under prolonged hypoxic exposure. Our study demonstrates a significant increase in CD47 expression under chronic hypoxia, indicating that this stressor not only increases cell mobility, ability to form clusters, and decreases sphere size, but also increases the expression of the "do not eat me" signal marker, which is fundamental for the formation of CTC-like cells.

In conclusion, photon irradiation is more likely to be involved in the initial stages of the process, and it becomes particularly significant when combined with acute hypoxia. This combination enhances the cells' capacity to create spherical structures and clusters, which, in turn, boosts their stem-like characteristics, ultimately giving them a more aggressive phenotype. Moreover, chronic hypoxia becomes relevant in later stages of the metastatic process, conferring to murine osteosarcoma cells, LM8, a Circulating Cancer Stem Cell-like phenotype. This phenotype includes increased migration ability, resistance to *anoikis*, TrkB expression, and immune escape through the expression of the marker CD47. To gain a deeper understanding of the phenotype and the molecular pathways involved in the formation of CCSCs, further *in vivo* studies should be conducted based on this *in vitro* model.

# Data availability statement

The raw data supporting the conclusions of this article will be made available by the authors, without undue reservation.

# Author contributions

MQ, WT, and AP participated in the conception, design, interpretation, and elaboration of the study's findings, as well as in drafting and revising the final version. MQ, AP, and TV conducted the experiments and analysis. MD contributed to revising the final version. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

# Acknowledgments

The findings presented in this report were conducted at the GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung in Darmstadt, Germany, as part of the FAIR Phase-0 program. We extend our gratitude to all the individuals who contributed to this research, including Dr. Olga Sokol, and we appreciate the technical assistance provided by Julius Oppermann and Henrieke Förster from the Biophysics department at GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung GmbH in Darmstadt, Germany.

# Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

# Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

# Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fcell.2023.1222809/ full#supplementary-material

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S1

(A) Representative experiment of western blot comparing normoxia, 1-week hypoxia and 2-weeks hypoxia.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S2

Comparison of the total number of cells forming spheres in LM8 full population. **(A)** Bar plot of the comparison between normoxia, acute hypoxia and chronic hypoxia, with 0 Gy as the control and 4 Gy as the

irradiation dose used. \*hypoxic vs normoxic conditions  $\pm$  SEM, P < 0.05. •Sham controls vs. irradiated  $\pm$  SEM, P < 0.05, unpaired Student's t-test.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S3

Comparison of the total number of cells forming spheres in LM8 CD133positive subpopulation (A) Bar plot of the comparison between normoxia, acute hypoxia and chronic hypoxia, with 0 Gy as the control and 4 Gy as the irradiation dose used. \*hypoxic vs normoxic conditions  $\pm$  SEM, P< 0.05. •Sham controls vs. irradiated  $\pm$  SEM, P < 0.05. unpaired Student's t-test.

# References

Adeshakin, F. O., Adeshakin, A. O., Afolabi, L. O., Yan, D., Zhang, G., and Wan, X. (2021). Mechanisms for modulating anoikis resistance in cancer and the relevance of metabolic reprogramming. *Front. Oncol.* 11, 626577. doi:10.3389/fonc.2021.626577

Amintas, S., Bedel, A., Moreau-Gaudry, F., Boutin, J., Buscail, L., Merlio, J. P., et al. (2020). Circulating tumor cell clusters: united we stand divided we fall. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 2653. doi:10.3390/ijms21072653

Ayob, A. Z., and Ramasamy, T. S. (2018). Cancer stem cells as key drivers of tumour progression. J. Biomed. Sci. 25, 20. doi:10.1186/s12929-018-0426-4

Banyard, J., and Bielenberg, D. R. (2015). The role of EMT and MET in cancer dissemination. *Connect. Tissue Res.* 56, 403-413. doi:10.3109/03008207.2015.1060970

Chen, Q., Zou, J., He, Y., Pan, Y., Yang, G., Zhao, H., et al. (2022). A narrative review of circulating tumor cells clusters: a key morphology of cancer cells in circulation promote hematogenous metastasis. *Front. Oncol.* 12, 944487. doi:10.3389/fonc.2022. 944487

Davis, L., Recktenwald, M., Hutt, E., Fuller, S., Briggs, M., Goel, A., et al. (2022). Targeting HIF-2a in the tumor microenvironment: redefining the role of HIF-2a for solid cancer therapy. *Cancers (Basel)* 14, 1259. doi:10.3390/cancers14051259

De Luca, A., Raimondi, L., Salamanna, F., Carina, V., Costa, V., Bellavia, D., et al. (2018). Relevance of 3d culture systems to study osteosarcoma environment. *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 37, 2. doi:10.1186/s13046-017-0663-5

Donato, C., Kunz, L., Castro-Giner, F., Paasinen-Sohns, A., Strittmatter, K., Szczerba, B. M., et al. (2020). Hypoxia triggers the intravasation of clustered circulating tumor cells. *Cell Rep.* 32, 108105. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2020.108105

Douma, S., Van Laar, T., Zevenhoven, J., Meuwissen, R., Van Garderen, E., and Peeper, D. S. (2004). Suppression of anoikis and induction of metastasis by the neurotrophic receptor TrkB. *Nature* 430, 1034–1039. doi:10.1038/nature02765

Fares, J., Fares, M. Y., Khachfe, H. H., Salhab, H. A., and Fares, Y. (2020). Molecular principles of metastasis: a hallmark of cancer revisited. *Signal Transduct. Target. Ther.* 5, 28. doi:10.1038/s41392-020-0134-x

Gao, X. L., Zhang, M., Tang, Y. L., and Liang, X. H. (2017). Cancer cell dormancy: mechanisms and implications of cancer recurrence and metastasis. *Onco Targets Ther.* 10, 5219–5228. doi:10.2147/OTT.S140854

Geiger, T. R., and Peeper, D. S. (2005). The neurotrophic receptor TrkB in anoikis resistance and metastasis: a perspective. *Cancer Res.* 65, 7033–7036. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-05-0709

Giancotti, F. G. (2013). Mechanisms governing metastatic dormancy and reactivation. *Cell* 155, 750–764. doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.10.029

Guarino, M., Rubino, B., and Ballabio, G. (2007). The role of epithelial-mesenchymal transition in cancer pathology. *Pathology* 39, 305–318. doi:10.1080/00313020701329914

He, J., Xiong, L., Li, Q., Lin, L., Miao, X., Yan, S., et al. (2018). 3D modeling of cancer stem cell niche. Available at: www.impactjournals.com/oncotarget/.

Heddleston, J. M., Li, Z., Lathia, J. D., Bao, S., Hjelmeland, A. B., and Rich, J. N. (2010). Hypoxia inducible factors in cancer stem cells. *Br. J. Cancer* 102, 789–795. doi:10.1038/ sj.bjc.6605551

Helm, A., Ebner, D. K., Tinganelli, W., Simoniello, P., Bisio, A., Marchesano, V., et al. (2019). Combining heavy-ion therapy with immunotherapy: an update on recent developments. *Int. J. Part Ther.* 5, 84–93. doi:10.14338/IJPT-18-00024.1

Hong, Y., Fang, F., and Zhang, Q. (2016). Circulating tumor cell clusters: what we know and what we expect (Review). Int. J. Oncol. 49, 2206–2216. doi:10.3892/ijo.2016.3747

Hurtado, P., Martínez-Pena, I., Yepes-Rodríguez, S., Bascoy-Otero, M., Abuín, C., Fernández-Santiago, C., et al. (2023). Modelling metastasis in zebrafish unveilsregulatory interactions of cancer-associated fibroblasts with circulating tumour cells. *Front. Cell Dev. Biol.* 11, 1076432. doi:10.3389/fcell.2023.1076432

Ishiguro, T., Ohata, H., Sato, A., Yamawaki, K., Enomoto, T., and Okamoto, K. (2017). Tumor-derived spheroids: relevance to cancer stem cells and clinical applications. *Cancer Sci.* 108, 283–289. doi:10.1111/cas.13155

Kantara, C., O'Connell, M. R., Luthra, G., Gajjar, A., Sarkar, S., Ullrich, R. L., et al. (2015). Methods for detecting circulating cancer stem cells (CCSCs) as a novel approach for diagnosis of colon cancer relapse/metastasis. *Lab. Investig.* 95, 100–112. doi:10.1038/labinvest.2014.133

#### SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURE S4

Migration assay of CD133 sorted LM8 sphere in collagen. Comparison was made between normoxia, acute hypoxia and reoxygenated hypoxia, with 0 Gy as the control, 4 Gy as the irradiation dose used. (A,C) The area of migrating cells from the sphere 24 h and (D, E) 48 hours after embedding. The sphere images (n = 6) were analyzed after manual and automatic annotation. Reoxygenated cells vs normoxic cells.  $\pm$  SEM, P<0.05; Acute hypoxic cells vs reoxygenated cells.  $\pm$  SEM, P < 0.05. unpaired Student's *t*-test.

Kashima, T., Nakamura, K., Kawaguchi, J., Takanashi, M., Ishida, T., Aburatani, H., et al. (2003). Overexpression of cadherins suppresses pulmonary metastasis of osteosarcoma *in vivo. Int. J. Cancer* 104, 147–154. doi:10.1002/ijc.10931

Kim, W., Lee, S., Seo, D., Kim, D., Kim, K., Kim, E., et al. (2019). Cellular stress responses in radiotherapy. *Cells* 8, 1105. doi:10.3390/cells8091105

Koonce, N. A., Juratli, M. A., Cai, C., Sarimollaoglu, M., Menyaev, Y. A., Dent, J., et al. (2017). Real-time monitoring of circulating tumor cell (CTC) release after nanodrug or tumor radiotherapy using *in vivo* flow cytometry. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 492, 507–512. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2017.08.053

Krol, I., Schwab, F. D., Carbone, R., Ritter, M., Picocci, S., De Marni, M. L., et al. (2021). Detection of clustered circulating tumour cells in early breast cancer. *Br. J. Cancer* 125, 23–27. doi:10.1038/s41416-021-01327-8

Lee, S. Y., Jeong, E. K., Ju, M. K., Jeon, H. M., Kim, M. Y., Kim, C. H., et al. (2017). Induction of metastasis, cancer stem cell phenotype, and oncogenic metabolism in cancer cells by ionizing radiation. *Mol. Cancer* 16, 10. doi:10.1186/s12943-016-0577-4

Leone, K., Poggiana, C., and Zamarchi, R. (2018). The interplay between circulating tumor cells and the immune system: from immune escape to cancer immunotherapy. *Diagnostics* 8, 59. doi:10.3390/diagnostics8030059

Li, F., Zhou, K., Gao, L., Zhang, B., Li, W., Yan, W., et al. (2016). Radiation induces the generation of cancer stem cells: a novel mechanism for cancer radioresistance. *Oncol. Lett.* 12, 3059–3065. doi:10.3892/ol.2016.5124

Lian, S., Xie, R., Ye, Y., Lu, Y., Cheng, Y., Xie, X., et al. (2019a). Dual blockage of both PD-L1 and CD47 enhances immunotherapy against circulating tumor cells. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 4532. doi:10.1038/s41598-019-40241-1

Lian, S., Xie, X., Lu, Y., and Jia, L. (2019b). Checkpoint CD47 function on tumor metastasis and immune therapy. *Onco Targets Ther.* 12, 9105–9114. doi:10.2147/OTT. S220196

Lin, D., Shen, L., Luo, M., Zhang, K., Li, J., Yang, Q., et al. (2021b). Circulating tumor cells: biology and clinical significance. *Signal Transduct. Target Ther.* 6, 404. doi:10. 1038/s41392-021-00817-8

Lin, J., Wang, X., Wang, X., Wang, S., Shen, R., Yang, Y., et al. (2021a). Hypoxia increases the expression of stem cell markers in human osteosarcoma cells. *Oncol. Lett.* 21, 217. doi:10.3892/ol.2021.12478

Lin, Q., Cong, X., and Yun, Z. (2011). Differential hypoxic regulation of hypoxiainducible factors 1alpha and 2alpha. *Mol. Cancer Res.* 9, 757–765. doi:10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-11-0053

Liou, G. Y. (2019). CD133 as a regulator of cancer metastasis through the cancer stem cells. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol.* 106, 1–7. doi:10.1016/j.biocel.2018.10.013

Luo, Y. T., Cheng, J., Feng, X., He, S. J., Wang, Y. W., and Huang, Q. (2018). The viable circulating tumor cells with cancer stem cells feature, where is the way out? *J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res.* 37, 38. doi:10.1186/s13046-018-0685-7

Masoud, G. N., and Li, W. (2015). HIF-1a pathway: role, regulation and intervention for cancer therapy. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* 5, 378–389. doi:10.1016/j. apsb.2015.05.007

Maeda, K., Ding, Q., Yoshimitsu, M., Kuwahata, T., Miyazaki, Y., Tsukasa, K., et al. (2016). CD133 modulate HIF-1α expression under hypoxia in EMT phenotype pancreatic cancer stem-like cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17, 1025. doi:10.3390/ijms17071025

Martin, T., Ye, L., Aj, S., Lane, J., and Jiang, W. (2013). Cancer invasion and Metastasis: molecular and cellular perspective.

McKeown, S. R. (2014). Defining normoxia, physoxia and hypoxia in tumours - implications for treatment response. Br. J. Radiology 87, 20130676. doi:10.1259/bjr.20130676

Menyailo, M. E., Tretyakova, M. S., and Denisov, E. V. (2020). Heterogeneity of circulating tumor cells in breast cancer: identifying metastatic seeds. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 1696. doi:10.3390/ijms21051696

Muz, B., de la Puente, P., Azab, F., and Azab, A. K. (2015). The role of hypoxia in cancer progression, angiogenesis, metastasis, and resistance to therapy. *Hypoxia* 83, 83–92. doi:10.2147/hp.s93413

Paoli, P., Giannoni, E., and Chiarugi, P. (2013). Anoikis molecular pathways and its role in cancer progression. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* 1833, 3481–3498. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2013.06.026

Papaccio, F. (2020). Circulating cancer stem cells: an interesting niche to explore. *Explor Target Antitumor Ther.* 1, 253–258. doi:10.37349/etat.2020.00016

Park, H. A., Brown, S. R., and Kim, Y. (2020). Cellular mechanisms of circulating tumor cells during breast cancer metastasis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 21, 5040–5119. doi:10.3390/ ijms21145040

Ravenna, L., Principessa, L., Verdina, A., Salvatori, L., Russo, M. A., and Petrangeli, E. (2014). Distinct phenotypes of human prostate cancer cells associate with different adaptation to hypoxia and pro-inflammatory gene expression. *PLoS One* 9, e96250. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0096250

Riggio, A. I., Varley, K. E., and Welm, A. L. (2021). The lingering mysteries of metastatic recurrence in breast cancer. Br. J. Cancer 124, 13–26. doi:10.1038/s41416-020-01161-4

Saxena, K., and Jolly, M. K. (2019). Acute vs. Chronic vs. cyclic hypoxia: their differential dynamics, molecular mechanisms, and effects on tumor progression. *Biomolecules* 9, 339. doi:10.3390/biom9080339

Schulz, A., Meyer, F., Dubrovska, A., and Borgmann, K. (2019). Cancer stem cells and radioresistance: DNA repair and beyond. *Cancers (Basel)* 11, 862. doi:10.3390/cancers11060862

Schuster, E., Taftaf, R., Reduzzi, C., Albert, M. K., Romero-Calvo, I., and Liu, H. (2021). Better together: circulating tumor cell clustering in metastatic cancer. *Trends Cancer* 7, 1020–1032. doi:10.1016/j.trecan.2021.07.001

Semenza, G. L. (2012). Hypoxia-inducible factors: mediators of cancer progression and targets for cancer therapy. *Trends Pharmacol. Sci.* 33, 207–214. doi:10.1016/j.tips.2012.01.005

Shiozawa, Y., Nie, B., Pienta, K. J., Morgan, T. M., and Taichman, R. S. (2013). Cancer stem cells and their role in metastasis. *Pharmacol. Ther.* 138, 285–293. doi:10.1016/j. pharmthera.2013.01.014

Sun, N., Yang, Y., Miao, H., Redublo, P., Liu, H., Liu, W., et al. (2022). Discovery and characterization of circulating tumor cell clusters in neuroendocrine tumor patients using nanosubstrate-embedded microchips. *Biosens. Bioelectron.* 199, 113854. doi:10.1016/j.bios.2021.113854

Sundahl, N., Duprez, F., Ost, P., De Neve, W., and Mareel, M. (2018). Effects of radiation on the metastatic process. *Mol. Med.* 24, 16. doi:10.1186/s10020-018-0015-8

Takubo, K., Goda, N., Yamada, W., Iriuchishima, H., Ikeda, E., Kubota, Y., et al. (2010). Regulation of the HIF-1alpha level is essential for hematopoietic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 7, 391–402. doi:10.1016/j.stem.2010.06.020

Tanaka, T., Yui, Y., Naka, N., Wakamatsu, T., Yoshioka, K., Araki, N., et al. (2013). Dynamic analysis of lung metastasis by mouse osteosarcoma LM8: VEGF is a candidate for anti-metastasis therapy. *Clin. Exp. Metastasis* 30, 369–379. doi:10.1007/s10585-012-9543-8

Tinganelli, W., and Durante, M. (2020). Tumor hypoxia and circulating tumor cells. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 21, 9592–9615. doi:10.3390/ijms21249592

Tinganelli, W., Weber, U., Puspitasari, A., Simoniello, P., Abdollahi, A., Oppermann, J., et al. (2022). FLASH with carbon ions: tumor control, normal tissue sparing, and distal metastasis in a mouse osteosarcoma model. *Radiotherapy Oncol.* 175, 185–190. doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2022.05.003

Tong, W. W., Tong, G. H., and Liu, Y. (2018). Cancer stem cells and hypoxiainducible factors (Review). Int. J. Oncol. 53, 469–476. doi:10.3892/ijo.2018.4417

Vadde, R., Vemula, S., Jinka, R., Merchant, N., Bramhachari, P. V., and Nagaraju, G. P. (2017). Role of hypoxia-inducible factors (HIF) in the maintenance of stemness and malignancy of colorectal cancer. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 113, 22–27. doi:10.1016/j. critrevonc.2017.02.025

Wang, W. C., Zhang, X. F., Peng, J., Li, X. F., Wang, A. L., Bie, Y. Q., et al. (2018). Survival mechanisms and influence factors of circulating tumor cells. *Biomed. Res. Int.* 2018, 6304701. doi:10.1155/2018/6304701

Yang, M. H., Imrali, A., and Heeschen, C. (2015). Circulating cancer stem cells: the importance to select. *Chin. J. Cancer Res.* 27, 437–449. doi:10.3978/j.issn.1000-9604. 2015.04.08

Yun, Z., and Lin, Q. (2014). "Hypoxia and regulation of cancer cell stemness," in *Advances in experimental medicine and Biology* (New York: Springer New York LLC), 41–53. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-5915-6\_2

Zhang, Q., Han, Z., Zhu, Y., Chen, J., and Li, W. (2021). Role of hypoxia inducible factor-1 in cancerstem cells (Review). *Mol. Med. Rep.* 23, 17. doi:10.3892/mmr.2020. 11655

Zhang, Q., Lou, Y., Zhang, J., Fu, Q., Wei, T., Sun, X., et al. (2017). Hypoxia-inducible factor-2 $\alpha$  promotes tumor progression and has crosstalk with Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling in pancreatic cancer. *Mol. Cancer* 16, 119. doi:10.1186/s12943-017-0689-5

Ziello, J. E., Jovin, I. S., and Huang, Y. (2007). Hypoxia-inducible factor (HIF)-1 regulatory pathway and its potential for therapeutic intervention in malignancy and ischemia. *Yale J. Biol. Med.* 80, 51–60.