2nd International Conference on Negative CO₂ Emissions

Chalmers University of Technology, Gothenburg, Sweden June 14-17, 2022





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Negative CO₂ Emissions in the Lime Production Using an Indirectly Heated Carbonate Looping Process

Martin N. Greco-Coppi, Carina Hofmann, Jochen Ströhle, Bernd Epple

Technical University of Darmstadt, Institute for Energy Systems & Technology, Otto-Berndt-Str. 2, 64287, Germany

The EST Institute Darmstadt, Germany



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT



Agenda





TEL	PIL
1	Motivation
2	Indirectly Heated Carbonate Looping
3	Methodology
4	Results
5	Summary and Outlook

TECHNISCHE Motivation UNIVERSITÄ DARMSTADT 50 million tonnes CO_2 annually [1] 2 billion tonnes of waste annually [2] **From Limestone** CO_2 **Emissions** Limestone **From Combustion** $(CaCO_3)$ l ime Other flue gases Kiln Lime (CaO) Fuel, Air

*Mass flow diagram with data form [1]

[1] Schorcht et al. BAT Reference Document for the Production of Cement, Lime and Magnesium Oxide (2016)[2] Kaza et al. What a waste 2.0. (2018)





condensation zone

i'sothermal zone

evaporation zon

- Oxy-fired CaL: 26.8 €/t CO₂ [3]
 - Indirectly heated CaL: 22.6 €/t CO₂ [4]

[3] Lyngfelt and Leckner, A 1000 MW_{th} Chemical-Looping Combustor for solid fuels – discussion of design and costs (2014) [4] Junk et al., Technical and economical assessment of the indirectly heated carbonate looping process (2015)

3 Methodology System Overview







TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

Dried Lignite

- *LHV_{wet}* = 21.5 MJ/kg
- 96.7 gCO₂/MJ_{LHV}; x_{bio} = 0%

Refuse-Derived Fuel (RDF) Pellets

- *LHV_{wet}* = 19.6 MJ/kg
- 92.8 gCO₂/MJ_{LHV}; $x_{bio} = 51\%$
- Pilot testing

Solid Recovered Fuel (SRF)

- $LHV_{wet} = 15.7 \text{ MJ/kg}; x_{bio} = 65\%$
- 88.7 gCO₂/MJ_{LHV}

Municipal Solid Waste (MSW)

- *LHV_{wet}* = 10.0 MJ/kg; *x_{bio}* = 65%
- 106.0 gCO₂/MJ_{LHV}









3 Methodology Key Performance Indicators





IHCaL Key Performance Indicator

Carbon capture efficiency:

$$E = \frac{F_{CO_2}^{captured}}{F_{CO_2}^{total}} = 90\%$$

Thermodynamic Key Performance Indicators

Net direct CO2 avoided: (influence of biogenic fraction)

$$AC_{net} = 1 - \frac{e_{CO_2} - e_{CO_2, capt, bio}}{e_{CO_2, ref}}$$

Specific primary energy consumption per kg of CO₂ avoided (SPECCA)

$$SPECCA = \frac{q_{eq} - q_{eq,ref}}{e_{CO_2,ref} - e_{CO_2}}$$





TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT





by P_{el}







Influenced [5] M. Voldsund et al. D4.6 CEMCAP comparative techno-economic analysis of CO2 capture in cement plants (2019)

Summary and Outlook



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

- Potential for IHCaL
 - Negative emissions
 - Low SPECCA
 - Sorbent integration



Samples from the pilot testing at 300 kW_{th} scale. ® EST Institute. TU Darmstadt.

- Pilot-testing ongoing [6]
 - Pilot (300 kW_{th}) & Long-term (≈ 15 days)
 - Real flue gas and circulation conditions
 - Different sorbents and fuels (SRF)



Outlook

- Plant size
- CAPEX

300 kW_{th} IHCaL pilot testing facility scale. ® EST Institute, TU Darmstadt.

[6] C. Hofmann et al. Adaption of a 300kWth Pilot Plant for Testing the Indirectly Heated Carbonate Looping Process for CO2 Capture from Lime and Cement Industry (2022)

Acknowledgements











The work leading to these results has received funding through the ACT program (Accelerating CCS Technologies, Horizon2020 Project No. 294766) within the ANICA project. Financial contributions made from the German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Energy based on a resolution of the German Parliament under grant N°03EE5025, are gratefully acknowledged.



Acknowledgements











Indirectly Hofmann

Production Using an

Carina

Greco-Coppi,

© 2022 by Martin

Bernd Epple is licensed under Process"

and

Ströhle,

ochen eat

Carbonate

Emissions in the

Vegative Looping

sentation

Institute for Energy Systems and Technology **Technical University of Darmstadt**

martin.greco@est.tu-darmstadt.de