

This document is confidential and is proprietary to the American Chemical Society and its authors. Do not copy or disclose without written permission. If you have received this item in error, notify the sender and delete all copies.

Metallic iron nanocatalysts for the selective acetylene hydrogenation under industrial front end conditions

Journal:	<i>ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering</i>
Manuscript ID	sc-2021-074558.R1
Manuscript Type:	Letter
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Hock, Sebastian; Technische Universitat Darmstadt, Ernst-Berl-Institut, Technische Chemie II Reichel, Christina; Technische Universitat Darmstadt, Eduard-Zintl-Institut Zieschang, Anne-Marie; Technische Universitat Darmstadt, Eduard-Zintl-Institut Albert, Barbara; Technische Universitat Darmstadt, Inorganic Chemistry Rose, Marcus; Technische Universitat Darmstadt, Ernst-Berl-Institut, Technische Chemie II

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Metallic iron nanocatalysts for the selective acetylene hydrogenation under industrial *front end* conditions

Sebastian Hock¹; Christina V. Reichel²; Anne-Marie Zieschang²; Barbara Albert²; Marcus

Rose^{1,}*

AUTHOR ADDRESS

¹Technische Universität Darmstadt, Ernst-Berl-Institut für Technische und Makromolekulare
Chemie, Alarich-Weiss-Str. 8, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

²Technische Universität Darmstadt, Eduard-Zintl-Institut für Anorganische Chemie und
Physikalische Chemie, Alarich-Weiss-Str. 12, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany

* email address of the corresponding author: marcus.rose@tu-darmstadt.de

KEYWORDS: heterogeneous catalysis, acetylene hydrogenation, front end, iron, semi
hydrogenation, iron nanoparticles

1
2
3
4 ABSTRACT: The need of nontoxic, cheap, earth-abundant catalysts, which can be sustainably
5
6
7 produced and implemented, is essential to many processes. In this work we present unsupported
8
9
10 iron nanoparticles as an efficient catalyst for selective acetylene hydrogenation under
11
12
13 industrially relevant *front end* conditions. Additionally, the selectivity and the activity of this
14
15
16 catalyst can be easily moderated by the addition of carbon monoxide. The iron nanoparticles
17
18
19 were prepared in an environment completely free of water or air using condensed ammonia at
20
21
22
23 -78 °C. State-of-the-art X-ray diffraction and scanning electron microscopy were used to
24
25
26 determine the crystal structure, morphology and purity. The catalyst showed stable performance
27
28
29 over several experiments and beside an agglomeration of the unsupported and unstabilized
30
31
32 particles no changes to the catalyst were detected before and after the reactions.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 INTRODUCTION

42
43
44 Ethylene continues to be one of the most important commodity chemicals with a global
45
46
47 consumption of 164 million tons in 2018.^[1] A key step in the primary production method via
48
49
50 steam cracking is the removal of acetylene below 1 ppmv.^[2-5] On an industrial scale, the
51
52
53 selective hydrogenation of acetylene has been an established process for years, with two
54
55
56 common operation methods:^[2-5] *front end* and *tail end* hydrogenation, placing the
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 hydrogenation unit either in front of the de-methanizer or behind the de-ethanizer unit.^[6-8] The
4
5
6
7 main difference between these processes is that under *front end* conditions the feed contains a
8
9
10 higher amount of hydrogen, methane and carbon monoxide rendering the reaction control more
11
12
13 challenging.^[2, 4-9] The disadvantage of the *front end* option is the lower ethylene selectivity due
14
15
16 to the high hydrogen fraction as well as the risk of hot spot formation and hence, a more
17
18
19 challenging process control by means of safety in order to avoid a reactor runaway by an
20
21
22 uncontrolled temperature increase.^[2, 4-9] Therefore the *tail end* process is the most commonly
23
24
25 used and researched process.^[2, 4-9] The benefit of *front end* operations are however, besides a
26
27
28 more efficient process integration, considerably lower green oil (C₄₊ by-products) production
29
30
31 resulting in longer catalyst cycles.^[2, 4, 9]
32
33
34
35
36

37 The state-of-the-art catalysts for both process options are based on palladium nanoparticles
38
39 supported on alumina pellets, which provides unrivalled catalyst activity and selectivity due to
40
41
42 alloying with silver.^[2, 5, 10] The global production of palladium is small however and the
43
44
45 demand, especially for automotive exhaust gas catalytic converters, is high, resulting in soaring
46
47
48 palladium prices.^[11] Therefore a lot of studies have been focused on enhancing catalytic
49
50
51 properties for palladium catalysts.^[2] Effects of morphology,^[12-14] support interaction,^[15-18]
52
53
54 inorganic^[10, 19-21] and organic^[22-24] selectivity modifiers, bi- and trimetallic palladium
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 catalysts^[25-30] have been closely analysed and optimized. Another approach under investigation
4
5
6
7 is the further optimization of more abundantly available alternatives like nickel,^[31-34] copper,^{[7,}
8
9
10 35-36] and gold^[37-39] as catalysts for selective acetylene hydrogenation in order to compete with
11
12
13 the palladium based ones. Over the last decades nanoparticles have been in the focus for both
14
15
16 approaches and many studies in general.^[40-41] Various results can be optimized^[42-43] through
17
18
19 nanoparticle size^[44-45] morphology,^[46-48] surface modifying organic ligands,^[48-50] and support
20
21
22 based interactions.^[51-52] Most recent deployments on acetylene hydrogenation include Pt-Sn
23
24
25 bimetallic nanoparticles confined in mesoporous silica walls,^[53] Pd on fiberglass^[54] or in
26
27
28 microporous carbon tubes,^[55] gold nanoparticles supported on Ce-Zr oxides,^[38] Cu₂O
29
30
31 nanocubes^[56] and many more. The preparation of iron nanoparticles especially has led to vast
32
33
34 improvements in activity and selectivity for many different reactions.^[57-60] For the selective
35
36
37 acetylene hydrogenation iron nanoparticles have been deployed as coating for nanocatalysts^[57],
38
39
40 embedded in metal organic frameworks^[58] and in inter^[59] - and bimetallic^[60] nanocatalysts. In
41
42
43 this work we show for the first time the unprecedented catalytic behaviour of unsupported ultra-
44
45
46 pure iron nanoparticles. Under industrially relevant *front end* conditions of the gas phase
47
48
49 selective acetylene hydrogenation the prepared nanoparticles exhibit high activity and
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57 selectivity.
58
59
60

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

SYNTHESIS AND CHARACTERISATION

The Fe nanoparticles were synthesised via a method by Zieschang et al.^[61] by the reduction of FeBr₂ with sodium in liquid ammonia. After evaporating excess of ammonia, the obtained black powder was annealed at 500 °C. The product was characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements (**Figure 1** and **Table 1**) and confirmed crystalline Fe as product. The particle size was calculated with 86.4(9) nm using the Scherrer equation.^[62]




Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of iron nanoparticles before catalysis after Rietveld refinement with the crystal structure of Fe (*Im-3m*)^[63] (green) (black: observed, red: calculated, blue: difference curve).

Table 1. Rietveld refinement data for Fe nanoparticles before catalysis.^[63]

Space group	<i>Im-3m</i>
Crystallite size (Lorentzian)/nm	86.4(9)
<i>a</i> /Å	2.8685(8)
<i>V</i> /Å ³	23.603(2)
R _{exp}	7.09
R _{wp}	7.73
GOF	1.09

The STEM image (**Figure 2**) shows particles with spherical dimensions and some are elongated. Few of the particles are agglomerated due to their large particle surface and magnetic behaviour. The calculated particle size according to the STEM analysis is between 80 and 100 nm. This is in good agreement with the crystallite size determined by the Scherrer equation (86.4(9) nm).





Figure 2. Oriented-dark-field STEM image of agglomerates of iron nanoparticles before catalysis.

ACETYLENE HYDROGENATION

The prepared iron nanoparticles were tested for their catalytic ability to selectively hydrogenate acetylene in a laboratory scale fixed-bed reactor setup, which was specially designed for the investigation under the industrially relevant *front end* conditions for the acetylene hydrogenation. The groundwork and general idea of the plant is based on the work of Kuhn et al.^[4] and the flow sheet can be found in the supporting information **Figure S1**. For all of the experiments displayed in this work a typical feed stock of an acetylene removal unit (ARU) after Gislason et al.^[64] was used (**Scheme 1**), the only simplification being that no ethane was added to the feed. Instead, the methane content was increased accordingly. Both methane


1
2
3 and ethane are inert in this reaction. If no ethane is added in the feed, the selectivity of the
4
5
6 ethane formation can be more accurately determined. Additionally, a variation of the carbon
7
8
9 monoxide content from 0 to 250 ppm was carried out to investigate if CO can also be used as a
10
11
12 promoter of selectivity and as an inhibitor of oligomer formation for this new kind of catalyst.
13
14
15
16 Propane was added to the acetylene gas cylinder as an internal standard for the GC analytics.
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Scheme 1.** Reaction scheme for the selective acetylene hydrogenation under front end
24
25 conditions, with a typical ARU feedstock composition after Gislason et al.^[64] of 25.00 mol%
26
27 H₂, 0.40 mol% C₂H₂, 39.00 mol% C₂H₄, 35.25 mol% CH₄ and 0.33 mol% propane as internal
28
29
30 standard. The CO concentration is being varied over the experiments from 0 ppm to 250 ppm.
31
32
33
34
35
36




44 The experiments were conducted over a temperature range from 40 °C to 90 °C, which
45
46 represents the industrially relevant range.^[65] Starting at 40 °C in steps of 10 °C, each
47
48 temperature was analysed over the course of 70 minutes reaching steady state behaviour. Then
49
50
51 the temperature was increased by 10 °C at a rate of 1 °C · min⁻¹ and the next temperature was
52
53
54 monitored. The resulting acetylene and ethylene conversion of the experiment with 0 ppm CO
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 is displayed in **Figure 3** (For quicker and better comprehension of the experimental data and
4
5
6 trends, averaged bar graph are used in this work. The original measurement data alongside the
7
8
9 calculation of the conversion and reaction rate is shown in the **SI**). The average acetylene
10
11
12 conversion at 40 °C amounted to about 3.4 % and increased to 14.3 % at 90 °C. The C₄
13
14
15 selectivity based on the acetylene conversion, fluctuated around 2 % over the howl temperature
16
17
18 range. The ethylene conversion increased from 0.2 % at 40 °C to 1.1 % at 90 °C. Taken the 100
19
20
21 times higher partial pressure of ethylene in comparison to acetylene, in absolute sum more
22
23
24 ethylene was converted than acetylene. This can be clearly observed in **Figure 4** where the
25
26
27 reaction rate is displayed. Therefore three experiments with 50, 100 and 250 ppm CO were
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




1
2
3
4 **Figure 3.** Temperature dependent acetylene and ethylene conversion in a temperature range
5
6 from 40 °C to 90 °C using 201.9 mg of Fe nanoparticles with 0 ppm CO. The C₄ selectivity is
7
8 calculated based on the acetylene conversion.
9



10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29 **Figure 4.** Acetylene and ethylene reaction rate in a temperature range from 40 °C to 90 °C using
30
31 201.9 mg of Fe nanoparticles.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 The complete experimental data of the experiments with 0, 50, 100 and 250 ppm CO is given
41
42 in the SI. The comparison of the conversion at a temperature of 90 °C is displayed in **Figure 5**
43
44 the corresponding reaction rate in **Figure 6**. The results show a huge impact of the CO addition
45
46 for the selectivity and activity of the catalyst. Even a relatively small amount of 50 ppm CO
47
48 improves the selectivity by 90 % but also halves the acetylene conversion. At 100 ppm CO in
49
50 the feed no more ethylene conversion can be observed, however the acetylene conversion is
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 slightly reduced as well. An increase to 250 ppm brings no benefit but lowers the acetylene
4
5
6
7 conversion further. Even worse, a very small ethylene conversion is observed again at 250 ppm
8
9
10 CO. In conclusion, the addition of carbon monoxide to the feed leads to a massive improvement
11
12
13 in the selectivity of the Fe nanoparticles towards the acetylene conversion, but also to a decline
14
15
16 in activity. For the investigated settings, 100 ppm CO deliver the most promising results with
17
18
19
20 no observable ethane formation and still a reasonable acetylene conversion.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42



43 **Figure 5.** Comparison of the conversion of acetylene and ethylene at 90 °C reactor temperature
44
45
46 in regard to the feed's carbon monoxide content using 201.9 mg of Fe nanoparticles.
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60




Figure 6. Comparison of the reaction rate of acetylene and ethylene at 90 °C reactor temperature in regard to the (feed's) carbon monoxide content using 201.9 mg of Fe nanoparticles.

A reliable comparison of the catalytic performance of the Fe nanoparticles with state-of-the-art catalysts is a challenging task, given the various amounts of possible feed compositions, reaction parameters and reporting preferences. Identical conditions could not be found in literature. However, a qualitative performance comparison proves the rather good performance of the Fe nanoparticle catalyst: One of most recent and largest comparisons by Revanchi et al.^[66] focuses on all of the possible catalyst with palladium as active metal. This represents also the current state of the art in industry, with catalyst achieving 90% and above conversion at 90°C. The comparison of Fe nanoparticles in their current to these catalyst under similar

1
2
3 conditions shows room for improvement until industrial relevance is reached (**Figure 5**).^[66-67]

4
5
6 This was expected of unsupported Fe nanoparticles in a fixed-bed reactor at 90°C but also

7
8
9 shows the potential of the concept of Fe nanoparticles for the selective acetylene

10
11
12 hydrogenation. Further in depth research will be carried out for fitting supports and promoters.

13
14
15 For example compared to Ball et al.^[7] SiO₂ supported AgPd and CuPd bimetallic nanoparticles,

16
17
18 the unsupported Fe nanoparticles showed similar conversion as AgPd_{0.15}/SiO₂ and CuPd_{0.09}/SiO₂

19
20
21 at the same reaction temperature of 40°C.^[7]

22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 CATALYST CHANGE AND STABILITY

31
32
33 The resulting Fe nanoparticles were characterized again after the four described experiments.

34
35
36 After a total reaction time of 32 hours no obvious changes and differences can be seen

37
38
39 comparing the powder diffraction patterns of the Fe nanoparticles before and after catalysis

40
41
42 (**Figure 2** and **Figure 7**). But, the difference in the sizes of the particles with 127.7(2) nm

43
44
45 according to the Scherrer equation after catalysis is remarkable (**Table 2**). The bigger size of

46
47
48 the particles and crystal growth can also be seen in the STEM image (**Figure 8**). Particle growth

49
50
51 might be caused due to thermal treatment at 90 °C during the catalysis and not necessarily by

52
53
54 the reaction itself, which will be further investigated in further research.




Figure 7. X-ray diffraction pattern of the iron nanoparticles after catalysis after Rietveld refinement with the structure of Fe ($Im-3m$)^[63] (green) (black: observed, red: calculated, blue: difference curve).

Table 2. Rietveld refinement data for Fe nanoparticles before and after catalysis

	Pristine	Used
Space group	$Im-3m$	$Im-3m$
Crystallite size (Lorentzian)/nm	86.4(9)	127.7(2)
$a/\text{Å}$	2.8685(8)	2.8684(8)
$V/\text{Å}^3$	23.603(2)	23.601(2)
R_{exp}	7.09	7.25
R_{wp}	7.73	8.40

GOF	1.09	1.16
-----	------	------

The HAADF STEM images show the Fe nanoparticles before and after catalysis experiments (Figure 8). An agglomeration of the Fe nanoparticles can be caused by thermal treatment or ferromagnetic behaviour. For these reasons, the determination of the particle size from the STEM images was difficult. Nevertheless, the images indicate smaller Fe nanoparticles before catalysis and bigger agglomerates afterwards. Additionally, an important information on the feasibility of the formation of Fe carbonyls can be obtained from STEM. In all experiments no quantitative Fe carbonyl formation was observed nor a catalyst mass loss. If this would have been the case then a significant surface restructuring and roughening would occur and be visible in the STEM images. Hence, at least under the applied conditions and experiment durations the catalysts seemed to be sufficiently stable. Of course, future more detailed investigations are required on the Fe carbonyl formation and loss via the gas phase in terms of stability for long periods of time-on-stream.




Figure 8. HAADF-STEM images of representative agglomerates of iron nanoparticles (a) before catalysis and (b) after catalysis.

CONCLUSION

In this work iron nanoparticles were prepared and used as a catalyst for the hydrogenation of acetylene under *front end* conditions. The nanoparticles were highly active and converted both acetylene and ethylene. By the addition of 100 ppm carbon monoxide the selectivity towards acetylene could be increased significantly without observable deactivation or carbonyl formation. These results indicate, that iron nanoparticles can be a suitable alternative catalyst for the selective acetylene hydrogenation even under industrially relevant reaction conditions. Overall it is proven that conventional noble metal catalysts such as in this case Pd/Ag can be

1
2
3 replaced by iron as an abundant, cheap and sustainable alternative. This work provides a solid
4
5
6 foundation for further development and knowledge-based optimization of (bi-) metallic iron-
7
8
9
10 based catalysts for selective gas phase hydrogenation reactions.
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

18 19 20 **MATERIALS**

21
22
23 Hydrogen- (H₂, N50), hydrogen carbon monoxide mixture (0.15 mol% CO, N18; remainder
24
25
26 H₂, N30), methane (CH₄, N25), ethylene (C₂H₄, N35), the acetylene mixture (1.2 mol% C₂H₂,
27
28
29 N26; 1 mol% C₃H₈, N25; remainder CH₄, N25) and Argon (Ar, N50) were obtained from Air
30
31
32
33 Liquid. Ammonia (NH₃, N50) was purchased from Linde, iron(II)bromide (FeBr₂, 98 mol%)
34
35
36 from Sigma-Aldrich and sodium (Na, 99.5 mol%) from Riedel-De-Haën. All chemicals were
37
38
39
40 used as received.
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **SYNTHESIS OF IRON-NANO-PARTICLES**

48
49
50 The synthesis was carried out after Zieschang et al.^[61] In order to avoid contact with oxygen
51
52
53 or moisture during the reaction, all experiments were carried out under argon atmosphere,
54
55
56
57 including the weighing of the reactants in an argon-filled glove box. Additionally, all glassware
58
59
60

1
2
3 was heated under vacuum and purged three times with argon to remove all traces of oxygen and
4
5
6 water. The day before the reaction, ammonia (Linde; N50) was condensed into a cooling trap
7
8
9
10 at -78 °C and dried over sodium (Riedel-De-Haën; 99.5 %) over the course of 24 hours. FeBr₂
11
12
13 (Sigma-Aldrich; 98 %) was stored in a glove box and used without further purification. FeBr₂
14
15
16 (3.86 g; 1.00 eq.) was placed in a three-necked flask and dispersed in liquid ammonia. After the
17
18
19 addition of sodium (0.82 g; 1.9 eq.) under flowing argon, the reaction mixture immediately
20
21
22
23 turned black. The suspension was kept at -78 °C for one hour and stirred every ten minutes.
24
25
26
27 Afterwards, the reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature to remove remaining
28
29
30 ammonia residues. The powder was then dried in vacuo for eight hours, subsequently annealed
31
32
33 at 500 °C using a defined temperature program (SI), and washed with dried methanol.^[59]
34
35
36
37
38
39

40 SELECTIVE HYDROGENATION OF ACETYLENE

41
42
43 The experimental setup is displayed in the supporting information. The tubular powder
44
45
46 reactor was fitted with a filter frit with 0.5 μm pores as support of the packed powder and an
47
48
49 additional magnet to prevent the contamination with nanoparticles of the reactor setup. For each
50
51
52
53 experiment 201.9 mg of catalyst were used and inserted under argon atmosphere. The feed
54
55
56
57 specified in **Scheme 1** was realized by adding the gas mixtures listed in *MATERIALS* over the
58
59
60

1
2
3 mass flow controller network. The composition of the outlet streams was continuously
4
5
6 measured via gas chromatography (Shimadzu GC 2010 Plus with RT-Alumina BOND/MAPD
7
8
9 column; 30 m; ID 0.53 mm; Film Thickness 10 μm ; carrier gas Helium) every 11 minutes with
10
11
12 a FID analyser. Before each experiment the exact feed composition was analysed over a bypass
13
14
15 measurement for at least 60 minutes. In each experiment the temperatures 40, 50, 60, 70, 80
16
17
18 and 90 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ were measured for 70 minutes. In between the temperature was ramped at
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1 $^{\circ}\text{C} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$.

X-RAY POWDER DIFFRACTION

The X-ray powder diffraction data were obtained at room temperature by using a diffractometer with Debye-Scherrer geometry (STOE Stadi P, position-sensitive detector) with $\text{MoK}\alpha_1$ radiation (Ge(111) monochromator, $\lambda = 0.70930 \text{ \AA}$, glass capillary). The crystallite size was determined based on the Scherrer equation using the program TOPAS.^[64]

ELECTRON MICROSCOPY

1
2
3 A scanning transmission electron microscope (ZEISS CrossBeam 350, EHT 30 kV) was used
4
5
6
7 for characterization of the morphology of the nanoparticles. The system has STEM-in-SEM
8
9
10 capabilities, which can give some basic bright field (BF), dark field (DF) as well some high
11
12
13 angle annular dark field (HAADF) STEM imaging capability. The samples were prepared in a
14
15
16 glove box and carried out on copper grids with perforated carbon films and placed on a STEM
17
18
19 holder.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 ASSOCIATED CONTENT

28
29
30

31 **Supporting Information:** Additional detail on the experimental plant setup and reactor,
32
33
34 detailed experimental insights and results, temperature program used for the synthesis of Fe
35
36
37 nanoparticles (PDF) are available in the Supporting Information.
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 AUTHOR INFORMATION

46
47

48 **Corresponding Author**

49
50

51
52 Marcus Rose^{1,*}
53
54
55

56 *Email corresponding author: marcus.rose@tu-darmstadt.de; Tel: +4961511627290
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 **First author (Letters from emerging scholars):**
5
6

7 Sebastian Hock¹, PhD candidate/ doctoral student
8
9

10
11 **Present Addresses**
12
13

14
15 ¹Technische Universität Darmstadt, Ernst-Berl-Institut für Technische und Makromolekulare
16

17
18 Chemie, Alarich-Weiss-Str. 8, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany
19
20

21
22 ²Technische Universität Darmstadt, Eduard-Zintl-Institut für Anorganische Chemie und
23

24
25 Physikalische Chemie, Alarich-Weiss-Str. 12, 64287 Darmstadt, Germany
26
27
28
29

30 **Author Contributions**
31
32

33
34 The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given
35
36 approval to the final version of the manuscript.
37
38
39
40

41 **Funding Sources**
42
43

44
45 We gratefully acknowledge financial support by the German Research Foundation (Grant No.
46
47 RO 4757/6-1).
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55

56 **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The authors would like to thank Dr. Hubert Schulz (Zeiss Research Microscopy Solutions,
4 Oberkochen) for the STEM images. M. R. and S. H. thank Martin Lucas for support with the
5
6
7 experimental realization and adaption of the catalytic reactor for testing of the unsupported
8
9
10 nanoparticles. The work was inspired by and carried out within the preparation of the recently
11
12
13 granted collaborative research centre “Iron, upgraded!” (CRC 1487).
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 ABBREVIATIONS

25
26
27
28 ARU, Acetylene removal unit; HAADF, High-angle annular dark-field; STEM Scanning
29
30
31 transmission electron microscope; XRD X-ray diffraction.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

48 REFERENCES

1
2
3
4 1. Arapov, D., Optimization of SRT-VI Pyrolysis Furnaces of High-Capacity Ethylene
5
6
7 Plant. *Theor. Found. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *54*, 357-369.

8
9
10 <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0040579520010017>

11
12
13
14 2. McCue, A. J.; Anderson, J. A., Recent advances in selective acetylene hydrogenation
15
16
17 using palladium containing catalysts. *Front. Chem. Sci. Eng.* **2015**, *9* (2), 142-153.

18
19
20 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11705-015-1516-4>

21
22
23
24 3. Kuhn, M.; Lucas, M.; Claus, P., Long-time stability vs deactivation of pd-Ag/Al₂O₃
25
26
27 egg-shell catalysts in selective hydrogenation of acetylene. *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *54* (26),

28
29
30
31
32 6683-6691. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.5b01682>

33
34
35
36 4. Kuhn, M.; Lucas, M.; Claus, P., Advanced TEMKIN Reactor: Testing of industrial
37
38
39 eggshell catalysts on the laboratory scale. *Chem. Eng. Technol.* **2015**, *38* (1), 61-67.

40
41
42 <https://doi.org/10.1002/ceat.201400616>

43
44
45
46 5. Pachulski, A.; Schödel, R.; Claus, P., Kinetics and reactor modeling of a Pd-Ag/Al₂O₃
47
48
49 catalyst during selective hydrogenation of ethyne. *Appl. Catal., A* **2012**, *445*, 107-120.

50
51
52 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2012.08.018>

- 1
2
3
4 6. Borodziński, A.; Bond, G. C., Selective hydrogenation of ethyne in ethene-rich streams
5
6
7 on palladium catalysts. Part 1. Effect of changes to the catalyst during reaction. *Catal. Rev.:*
8
9
10 *Sci. Eng.* **2006**, *48*(02), 91-144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01614940500364909>
11
12
13
- 14 7. Ball, M. R.; Rivera-Dones, K. R.; Gilcher, E. B.; Ausman, S. F.; Hullfish, C. W.;
15
16
17 Lebrón, E. A.; Dumesic, J. A., AgPd and CuPd Catalysts for Selective Hydrogenation of
18
19
20 Acetylene. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*(15), 8567-8581. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c01536>
21
22
23
- 24 8. Guo, Z.; Liu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Chu, W., Promising SiC support for Pd catalyst in selective
25
26
27 hydrogenation of acetylene to ethylene. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *442*, 736-741.
28
29
30
31
32 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apsusc.2018.02.145>
33
34
- 35 9. Kuhn, M.; Lucas, M.; Claus, P., Precise recognition of catalyst deactivation during
36
37
38 acetylene hydrogenation studied with the advanced TEMKIN reactor. *Catal. Commun.* **2015**,
39
40
41
42
43 72, 170-173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2015.10.001>
44
45
- 46 10. Kim, W.-J.; Moon, S. H., Modified Pd catalysts for the selective hydrogenation of
47
48
49 acetylene. *Catal. Today* **2012**, *185*(1), 2-16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2011.09.037>
50
51
52
- 53 11. Hageluken, C., Markets for the catalyst metals platinum, palladium and rhodium.
54
55
56
57
58 *METALL-BERLIN-* **2006**, *60*(1), 31. (no DOI available)
59
60

1
2
3
4 12. Yarulin, A.; Crespo-Quesada, R.; Egorova, E.; Kiwi-Minsker, L., Structure sensitivity
5
6
7 of selective acetylene hydrogenation over the catalysts with shape-controlled palladium
8
9
10 nanoparticles. *Kinet. Catal.* **2012**, *53* (2), 253-261.

11
12
13 <https://doi.org/10.1134/S0023158412020152>
14
15

16
17
18 13. Crespo-Quesada, M.; Andanson, J.-M.; Yarulin, A.; Lim, B.; Xia, Y.; Kiwi-Minsker,
19
20
21 L., UV–ozone cleaning of supported poly (vinylpyrrolidone)-stabilized palladium nanocubes:
22
23
24 effect of stabilizer removal on morphology and catalytic behavior. *Langmuir* **2011**, *27* (12),
25
26
27 7909-7916. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la201007m>
28
29
30

31
32 14. Kim, S. K.; Kim, C.; Lee, J. H.; Kim, J.; Lee, H.; Moon, S. H., Performance of shape-
33
34
35 controlled Pd nanoparticles in the selective hydrogenation of acetylene. *J. Catal.* **2013**, *306*,
36
37
38 146-154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2013.06.018>
39
40
41

42
43 15. Benavidez, A. D.; Burton, P. D.; Nogales, J. L.; Jenkins, A. R.; Ivanov, S. A.; Miller, J.
44
45
46 T.; Karim, A. M.; Datye, A. K., Improved selectivity of carbon-supported palladium catalysts
47
48
49 for the hydrogenation of acetylene in excess ethylene. *Appl. Catal., A* **2014**, *482*, 108-115.

50
51
52 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2014.05.027>
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 16. Burton, P. D.; Boyle, T. J.; Datye, A. K., Facile, surfactant-free synthesis of Pd
5
6 nanoparticles for heterogeneous catalysts. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *280* (2), 145-149.

7
8
9
10 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2011.03.022>
11
12

13
14 17. Riyapan, S.; Boonyongmaneerat, Y.; Mekasuwandumrong, O.; Yoshida, H.; Fujita, S.-
15
16 I.; Arai, M.; Panpranot, J., Improved catalytic performance of Pd/TiO₂ in the selective
17
18 hydrogenation of acetylene by using H₂-treated sol-gel TiO₂. *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.* **2014**,
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

383, 182-187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molcata.2013.12.003>

18. Riyapan, S.; Boonyongmaneerat, Y.; Mekasuwandumrong, O.; Praserttham, P.;
Panpranot, J., Effect of surface Ti³⁺ on the sol-gel derived TiO₂ in the selective acetylene
hydrogenation on Pd/TiO₂ catalysts. *Catal. Today* **2015**, *245*, 134-138.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cattod.2014.07.017>

19. Shin, E. W.; Kang, J. H.; Kim, W. J.; Park, J. D.; Moon, S. H., Performance of Si-
modified Pd catalyst in acetylene hydrogenation: the origin of the ethylene selectivity
improvement. *Appl. Catal., A* **2002**, *223* (1-2), 161-172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926->

[860X\(01\)00758-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0926-860X(01)00758-X)

1
2
3
4 20. Shin, E. W.; Choi, C. H.; Chang, K. S.; Na, Y. H.; Moon, S. H., Properties of Si-
5
6
7 modified Pd catalyst for selective hydrogenation of acetylene. *Catal. Today* **1998**, *44*(1-4), 137-

8
9
10 143. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861\(98\)00184-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0920-5861(98)00184-9)

11
12
13
14 21. Ahn, I. Y.; Kim, W. J.; Moon, S. H., Performance of La₂O₃-or Nb₂O₅-added Pd/SiO₂
15
16
17 catalysts in acetylene hydrogenation. *Appl. Catal., A* **2006**, *308*, 75-81.

18
19
20
21 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2006.04.027>

22
23
24
25 22. McKenna, F.-M.; Anderson, J. A., Selectivity enhancement in acetylene hydrogenation
26
27
28 over diphenyl sulphide-modified Pd/TiO₂ catalysts. *J. Catal.* **2011**, *281* (2), 231-240.

29
30
31
32 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2011.05.003>

33
34
35
36 23. McCue, A. J.; Anderson, J. A., Sulfur as a catalyst promoter or selectivity modifier in
37
38
39 heterogeneous catalysis. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4* (2), 272-294.

40
41
42
43 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CY00754E>

44
45
46
47 24. McCue, A. J.; McKenna, F.-M.; Anderson, J. A., Triphenylphosphine: a ligand for
48
49
50 heterogeneous catalysis too? Selectivity enhancement in acetylene hydrogenation over
51
52
53 modified Pd/TiO₂ catalyst. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *5* (4), 2449-2459.

54
55
56
57 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CY00065C>

1
2
3
4 25. Khan, N. A.; Shaikhutdinov, S.; Freund, H.-J., Acetylene and ethylene hydrogenation
5
6
7 on alumina supported Pd-Ag model catalysts. *Catal. Lett.* **2006**, *108* (3), 159-164.

8
9
10 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10562-006-0041-y>
11
12

13
14 26. Han, Y.; Peng, D.; Xu, Z.; Wan, H.; Zheng, S.; Zhu, D., TiO₂ supported Pd@ Ag as
15
16
17 highly selective catalysts for hydrogenation of acetylene in excess ethylene. *Chem. Commun.*
18
19
20 **2013**, *49*(75), 8350-8352. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CC43511C>
21
22
23

24
25 27. Boucher, M. B.; Zugic, B.; Cladaras, G.; Kammert, J.; Marcinkowski, M. D.; Lawton,
26
27
28 T. J.; Sykes, E. C. H.; Flytzani-Stephanopoulos, M., Single atom alloy surface analogs in Pd
29
30
31 0.18 Cu 15 nanoparticles for selective hydrogenation reactions. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2013**,
32
33
34 *15*(29), 12187-12196. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C3CP51538A>
35
36
37

38
39 28. McCue, A. J.; Shepherd, A. M.; Anderson, J. A., Optimisation of preparation method
40
41
42 for Pd doped Cu/Al₂O₃ catalysts for selective acetylene hydrogenation. *Catal. Sci. Technol.*
43
44
45 **2015**, *5*(5), 2880-2890. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CY00253B>
46
47
48

49
50 29. Kim, S. K.; Lee, J. H.; Ahn, I. Y.; Kim, W.-J.; Moon, S. H., Performance of Cu-
51
52
53 promoted Pd catalysts prepared by adding Cu using a surface redox method in acetylene
54
55
56

hydrogenation. *Appl. Catal., A* **2011**, *401* (1-2), 12-19.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcata.2011.04.048>

30. Osswald, J.; Kovnir, K.; Armbrüster, M.; Giedigkeit, R.; Jentoft, R. E.; Wild, U.; Grin, Y.; Schlögl, R., Palladium–gallium intermetallic compounds for the selective hydrogenation of acetylene: Part II: Surface characterization and catalytic performance. *J. Catal.* **2008**, *258* (1), 219-227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcat.2008.06.014>

31. Xu, Z.; Zhou, S.; Zhu, M., Ni catalyst supported on nitrogen-doped activated carbon for selective hydrogenation of acetylene with high concentration. *Catal. Commun.* **2021**, *149*, 106241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.catcom.2020.106241>

32. Zhuo, H.-Y.; Yu, X.; Yu, Q.; Xiao, H.; Zhang, X.; Li, J., Selective hydrogenation of acetylene on graphene-supported non-noble metal single-atom catalysts. *Sci. China Mater.* **2020**, *63* (9), 1741-1749. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40843-020-1426-0>

33. Niu, Y.; Huang, X.; Wang, Y.; Xu, M.; Chen, J.; Xu, S.; Willinger, M.-G.; Zhang, W.; Wei, M.; Zhang, B., Manipulating interstitial carbon atoms in the nickel octahedral site for highly efficient hydrogenation of alkyne. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11* (1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-17188-3>

1
2
3
4 34. Cao, Y.; Zhang, H.; Ji, S.; Sui, Z.; Jiang, Z.; Wang, D.; Zaera, F.; Zhou, X.; Duan, X.;
5
6
7 Li, Y., Adsorption Site Regulation to Guide Atomic Design of Ni–Ga Catalysts for Acetylene
8
9
10 Semi-Hydrogenation. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2020**, *132* (28), 11744-11749.

11
12
13 <https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202004966>
14
15

16
17
18 35. Lu, C.; Zeng, A.; Wang, Y.; Wang, A., Copper-Based Catalysts for Selective
19
20
21 Hydrogenation of Acetylene Derived from Cu(OH)₂. *ACS Omega* **2021**, *6* (4), 3363-3371.

22
23
24 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega.0c05759>
25
26

27
28
29 36. Shi, X.; Lin, Y.; Huang, L.; Sun, Z.; Yang, Y.; Zhou, X.; Vovk, E.; Liu, X.; Huang, X.;
30
31
32 Sun, M., Copper catalysts in semihydrogenation of acetylene: from single atoms to
33
34
35 nanoparticles. *ACS Catal.* **2020**, *10*(5), 3495-3504. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acscatal.9b05321>
36
37

38
39
40 37. Wang, J.; Xu, H.; Ao, C.; Pan, X.; Luo, X.; Wei, S.; Li, Z.; Zhang, L.; Xu, Z.-l.; Li, Y.,
41
42
43 Au@Pt Nanotubes within CoZn-Based Metal-Organic Framework for Highly Efficient Semi-
44
45
46 hydrogenation of Acetylene. *iScience* **2020**, *23* (6), 101233.

47
48
49 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.isci.2020.101233>
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 38. Hua, X.; Zheng, Y.; Yang, Z.; Sun, L.; Su, H.; Murayama, T.; Qi, C., Gold Nanoparticles
5
6 Supported on Ce–Zr Oxides for Selective Hydrogenation of Acetylene. *Top. Catal.* **2020**, *64*
7
8 (3-4), 206-214. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11244-020-01379-9>
9
10
11
12
13
14 39. Chen, H.; Li, Z.; Qin, Z.; Kim, H. J.; Abroshan, H.; Li, G., Silica-Encapsulated Gold
15
16 Nanoclusters for Efficient Acetylene Hydrogenation to Ethylene. *ACS Appl. Nano Mater.*
17
18 **2019**, *2* (5), 2999-3006. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsanm.9b00384>
19
20
21
22
23
24
25 40. Witham, C. A.; Huang, W.; Tsung, C.-K.; Kuhn, J. N.; Somorjai, G. A.; Toste, F. D.,
26
27 Converting homogeneous to heterogeneous in electrophilic catalysis using monodisperse metal
28
29 nanoparticles. *Nat. Chem.* **2010**, *2* (1), 36-41. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nchem.468>
30
31
32
33
34
35
36 41. Climent, M. J.; Corma, A.; Iborra, S., Homogeneous and heterogeneous catalysts for
37
38 multicomponent reactions. *RSC Adv.* **2012**, *2* (1), 16-58. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C1RA00807B>
39
40
41
42
43 42. Tao, F. F.; Zhang, S.; Nguyen, L.; Zhang, X., Action of bimetallic nanocatalysts under
44
45 reaction conditions and during catalysis: evolution of chemistry from high vacuum conditions
46
47 to reaction conditions. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41* (24), 7980-7993.
48
49
50
51 <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35185D>
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 43. Sankar, M.; Dimitratos, N.; Miedziak, P. J.; Wells, P. P.; Kiely, C. J.; Hutchings, G. J.,
5
6
7 Designing bimetallic catalysts for a green and sustainable future. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*
8
9
10 (24), 8099-8139. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C2CS35296F>
11
12
13
14 44. Joo, S. H.; Park, J. Y.; Renzas, J. R.; Butcher, D. R.; Huang, W.; Somorjai, G. A., Size
15
16
17 effect of ruthenium nanoparticles in catalytic carbon monoxide oxidation. *Nano Lett.* **2010**, *10*
18
19
20 (7), 2709-2713. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl101700j>
21
22
23
24
25 45. Nesselberger, M.; Ashton, S.; Meier, J. C.; Katsounaros, I.; Mayrhofer, K. J.; Arenz,
26
27
28 M., The particle size effect on the oxygen reduction reaction activity of Pt catalysts: influence
29
30
31 of electrolyte and relation to single crystal models. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133* (43), 17428-
32
33
34 17433. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja207016u>
35
36
37
38
39 46. Burda, C.; Chen, X.; Narayanan, R.; El-Sayed, M. A., Chemistry and properties of
40
41
42 nanocrystals of different shapes. *Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *105* (4), 1025-1102.
43
44
45
46 <https://doi.org/10.1021/cr030063a>
47
48
49
50 47. Bratlie, K. M.; Lee, H.; Komvopoulos, K.; Yang, P.; Somorjai, G. A., Platinum
51
52
53 nanoparticle shape effects on benzene hydrogenation selectivity. *Nano Lett.* **2007**, *7*(10), 3097-
54
55
56 3101. <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl0716000>
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 48. Wang, J.; Tan, H.; Yu, S.; Zhou, K., Morphological effects of gold clusters on the
5
6 reactivity of ceria surface oxygen. *ACS Catalysis* **2015**, *5* (5), 2873-2881.

7
8
9
10 <https://doi.org/10.1021/cs502055r>

11
12
13
14 49. Marshall, S. T.; O'Brien, M.; Oetter, B.; Corpuz, A.; Richards, R. M.; Schwartz, D. K.;
15
16 Medlin, J. W., Controlled selectivity for palladium catalysts using self-assembled monolayers.

17
18
19
20
21 *Nat. Mater.* **2010**, *9*(10), 853-858. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2849>

22
23
24
25 50. Kwon, S. G.; Krylova, G.; Sumer, A.; Schwartz, M. M.; Bunel, E. E.; Marshall, C. L.;
26
27 Chattopadhyay, S.; Lee, B.; Jellinek, J.; Shevchenko, E. V., Capping ligands as selectivity
28
29 switchers in hydrogenation reactions. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12* (10), 5382-5388.

30
31
32
33
34
35 <https://doi.org/10.1021/nl3027636>

36
37
38
39 51. Deng, L.; Miura, H.; Shishido, T.; Hosokawa, S.; Teramura, K.; Tanaka, T.,
40
41 Dehydrogenation of Propane over Silica-Supported Platinum–Tin Catalysts Prepared by Direct
42
43 Reduction: Effects of Tin/Platinum Ratio and Reduction Temperature. *ChemCatChem* **2014**, *6*
44
45
46
47
48
49 (9), 2680-2691. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201402306>

50
51
52
53 52. Singh, A. K.; Xu, Q., Synergistic catalysis over bimetallic alloy nanoparticles.
54
55
56
57 *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*(3), 652-676. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.201200591>

1
2
3
4 53. Maligal-Ganesh, R. V.; Pei, Y.; Xiao, C.; Chen, M.; Goh, T. W.; Sun, W.; Wu, J.;
5
6
7 Huang, W., Sub-5 nm Intermetallic Nanoparticles Confined in Mesoporous Silica Wells for
8
9
10 Selective Hydrogenation of Acetylene to Ethylene. *ChemCatChem* **2020**, *12* (11), 3022-3029.

11
12
13 <https://doi.org/10.1002/cctc.202000155>
14
15

16
17
18 54. Bal'zhinimaev, B.; Paukshtis, E.; Kovalev, E., Selective Hydrogenation of Acetylene
19
20
21 on Pd Fiberglass Catalysts. *Catal. Ind.* **2020**, *12*, 56-65.

22
23
24 <https://doi.org/10.1134/S207005042001002X>
25
26

27
28
29 55. Guan, Q.; Zhang, J.; He, L.; Miao, R.; Shi, Y.; Ning, P., Selective Hydrogenation of
30
31
32 Acetylene to Ethylene over the Surface of Sub-2 nm Pd Nanoparticles in Miscanthus sinensis-
33
34
35 Derived Microporous Carbon Tubes. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2020**, *8* (31), 11638-11648.

36
37
38 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.0c03043>
39
40

41
42
43 56. Lu, C.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, R.; Wang, B.; Wang, A., Preparation of an Unsupported
44
45
46 Copper-Based Catalyst for Selective Hydrogenation of Acetylene from Cu₂O Nanocubes. *ACS*
47
48
49 *Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2020**, *12* (41), 46027-46036. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c12522>

50
51
52
53 57. Shesterkina, A. A.; Kustov, L. M.; Strekalova, A. A.; Kazansky, V. B., Heterogeneous
54
55
56
57 iron-containing nanocatalysts – promising systems for selective hydrogenation and
58
59

hydrogenolysis. *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2020**, *10* (10), 3160-3174.

<https://doi.org/10.1039/D0CY00086H>

58. Tejeda-Serrano, M. a.; Mon, M.; Ross, B.; Gonell, F.; Ferrando-Soria, J. s.; Corma, A.; Leyva-Pérez, A.; Armentano, D.; Pardo, E., Isolated Fe (iii)-o sites catalyze the hydrogenation of acetylene in ethylene flows under front-end industrial conditions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140* (28), 8827-8832. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.8b04669>

59. Armbruster, M.; Kovnir, K.; Grin, J.; Schlogl, R.; Gille, P.; Heggen, M.; Feuerbacher, M., Ordered cobalt-aluminum and iron-aluminum intermetallic compounds as hydrogenation catalysts. US8822746B2, 2014.

60. Huang, B.; Wang, T.; Yang, Z.; Qian, W.; Long, J.; Zeng, G.; Lei, C., Iron-based bimetallic nanocatalysts for highly selective hydrogenation of acetylene in N, N-dimethylformamide at room temperature. *ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng.* **2017**, *5* (2), 1668-1674.

<https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.6b02413>

61. Zieschang, A.-M.; Bocarsly, J. D.; Dürschnabel, M.; Kleebe, H.-J.; Seshadri, R.; Albert, B., Low-temperature synthesis and magnetostructural transition in antiferromagnetic,

1
2
3 refractory nanoparticles: chromium nitride, CrN. *Chem. Mater.* **2018**, *30* (5), 1610-1616.

4
5
6
7 <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.chemmater.7b04815>

8
9
10
11 62. Scherrer, P., Bestimmung der Größe und der inneren Struktur von Kolloidteilchen
12
13 mittels Röntgenstrahlen. *Nachrichten von der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen.*

14
15
16
17
18 *Abh. Akad. Wiss. Gött., Math.-Phys. Kl.* **1918**, *2*, 98-100. <http://eudml.org/doc/59018>

19
20
21
22 63. Owen, E.; Yates, E., XLI. Precision measurements of crystal parameters. *London*
23
24
25 *Edinburgh Philos. Mag. J. Sci.* **1933**, *15* (98), 472-488.

26
27
28
29 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786443309462199>

30
31
32
33 64. Gislason, J.; Xia, W.; Sellers, H., Selective hydrogenation of acetylene in an ethylene
34
35
36 rich flow: results of kinetic simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2002**, *106* (5), 767-774.

37
38
39
40 <https://doi.org/10.1021/jp011238s>

41
42
43
44 65. Mostoufi, N.; Ghoorchian, A.; Sotudeh-Gharebagh, R., Hydrogenation of acetylene:
45
46
47 Kinetic studies and reactor modeling. *Int. J. Chem. React. Eng.* **2005**, *3* (1), A14.

48
49
50
51 <https://doi.org/10.2202/1542-6580.1215>


1
2
3
4 66. Ravanchi, M. T.; Sahebdehfar, S.; Komeili, S., Acetylene selective hydrogenation: a
5
6
7 technical review on catalytic aspects. *Rev. Chem. Eng.* **2018**, *34* (2), 215-237.

8
9
10 <https://doi.org/10.1515/revce-2016-0036>

11
12
13
14 67. Dehghani, O.; Rahimpour, M. R.; Shariati, A., An experimental approach on industrial
15
16
17 Pd-Ag supported α -Al₂O₃ catalyst used in acetylene hydrogenation process: Mechanism,
18
19
20 kinetic and catalyst decay. *Processes* 2019, *7* (3), 136. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pr7030136>

21
22
23
24
25 68. *Programm TOPAS 4.2*, Bruker AXS: Karlsruhe; Germany, 2009.
26
27
28 [https://www.bruker.com/de/products-and-solutions/diffractometers-and-scattering-systems/x-](https://www.bruker.com/de/products-and-solutions/diffractometers-and-scattering-systems/x-ray-diffractometers/diffrac-suite-software/diffrac-topas.html)
29
30
31
32 [ray-diffractometers/diffrac-suite-software/diffrac-topas.html](https://www.bruker.com/de/products-and-solutions/diffractometers-and-scattering-systems/x-ray-diffractometers/diffrac-suite-software/diffrac-topas.html) (last date of access: 24.11.2021)

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53 FOR TABLE OF CONTENTS ONLY (TOC)



21 SYNOPSIS

22
23
24 Substituting a Pd/Ag catalyst by abundant, cheap and environmentally benign iron with a
25
26
27 comparable catalytic performance for an industrial reaction is an essential element for
28
29
30
31 sustainable chemical process development.
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60