# Identification of low-energy isovector octupole states in ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$ 
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#### Abstract

Recently, first candidates for low-lying isovector states in the octupole sector were suggested. The unambiguous identification of those states will contribute to the decomposition of the octupole-octupole residual interaction in an isoscalar and isovector part. This will help us understand the octupole degree of freedom. In ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$ the $3^{-}$state at 2778 keV is a good candidate for such a "mixed-symmetry" octupole state. In order to clarify the nature of this state, a ${ }^{143} \mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma)$-experiment was conducted with the EXILL-setup. Following neutron capture the $3^{-}$states are populated and EXILL provides the opportunity to determine the multipole-mixing ratios of the $3_{i}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}$transitions. For the transition from the "mixedsymmetry" octupole state to the symmetric $3_{1}^{-}$state we expect a strong $M 1$ component.


## 1. Introduction

Low-energy isovector states like the $1^{+}$scissors mode [1-5] in deformed nuclei or the mixedsymmetric $2^{+}$states $[6,7]$ in near-spherical nuclei are well established in those nuclei. In contrast to quadrupole mixed-symmetry states, octupole mixed-symmetry states are known only sparsely in the present time, but are predicted by the sdf-IBA-2 [8]. First experimental evidence was found through an enhanced $3_{i}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}-M 1$-transition in ${ }^{94} \mathrm{Mo}$ [9]. More recently, candidates for isovector octupole states were suggested from additional ( $n, n^{\prime} \gamma$ ) data on ${ }^{92} \mathrm{Zr},{ }^{96} \mathrm{Mo}$ and ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}[10]$ supported also from charged particle scattering data [11]. The proof of the existence of isovector octupole states in these nuclei will help us to understand the octupole degree of freedom and the interaction of protons and neutrons in atomic nuclei. In the present work the first results for ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$, populated via cold-neutron capture, are presented.


### 1.1. Mixed-symmetry states

The concept of mixed-symmetry states is defined in the framework of the Interacting Boson Model version 2 (IBM-2) [12]. The basic idea of this model is the coupling of the valence protons and neutrons to $s$-, $d$ - and in our case to $f$-bosons (sdf-IBM- $2[8]$ ). The isospin analogue in the IBM formalism is the $F$-spin concept: Protons and neutrons have $F=\frac{1}{2}$ with projections $F_{z}=+\frac{1}{2}$ for protons and $F_{z}=-\frac{1}{2}$ for neutrons. The wavefunction of a state with maximum $F$-spin $F=F_{\text {max }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(N_{\pi}+N_{v}\right)$ behaves symmetric under pairwise exchange of proton and neutron bosons, where $N_{\rho}$ are the numbers of proton and neutron bosons $(\rho \in\{\pi, \nu\})$. This class of states is called fully-symmetric states (FSS).

States with $F$-spin $F<F_{\text {max }}$ are mixed-symmetry states (MSS) and a part of their wavefunction behaves anti-symmetric under proton and neutron boson exchange. Usually the mixed-symmetry states in vibrational nuclei and the scissors mode in deformed nuclei are states with $F=F_{\max }-1$. The IBM M1-Operator is given by [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(M 1)=\sqrt{\frac{3}{4 \pi}}\left[\frac{N_{\pi} g_{\pi}+N_{v} g_{v}}{N} L_{\mathrm{tot}}+\left(g_{\pi}-g_{v}\right) \frac{N_{\pi} N_{v}}{N}\left(\frac{L_{\pi}}{N_{\pi}}-\frac{L_{v}}{N_{v}}\right)\right] \mu_{N} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{\rho}$ are the $g$-factors and $N$ the total number of the constituent bosons. $L_{\rho}$ denotes the angular momentum operator for proton and neutron bosons. It can be shown, that $M 1$ transitions from FSSs to FSSs are forbidden, but allowed for transitions from MSSs to FSSs, which can be used as an experimental signature for these class of states: For a octupole MSS we expect a strong $M 1$ transition with $\left\langle 3_{\mathrm{FSS}}\right| T(M 1)\left|3_{\mathrm{MSS}}\right\rangle \approx 1 \mu_{\mathrm{N}}$ and a weak $E 3$ of a few single particle units for $3_{\mathrm{MSS}}^{-} \rightarrow 0_{\mathrm{gs}}^{+}$.

## 2. Experiment

The EXOGAM Ge detector array was placed at the cold-neutron beam line PF1B at the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL) in Grenoble, France. The cold neutrons generated in a high-flux reactor were collimated so that the beam had a diameter of 12 mm and a flux of $\Phi=10^{8} \frac{1}{\mathrm{scm}^{2}}$ at the target position. The target was positioned in the center of a frame, where eight EXOGAM clover HPGe-detectors were mounted at $90^{\circ}$ with respect to the beam axis. The capsules of the EXOGAM detectors were surrounded with an active anti-Compton shield (BGO) to reduce the Compton background. A detailed description of the experimental setup can be found in Refs. [13, 14] and references therein.

In our case the target ( 0.8 mg ) was enriched to $91 \%$ in ${ }^{143} \mathrm{Nd}$, which has a ( $\mathrm{n}, \gamma$ ) cross section of 330 b for thermal neutrons. The combination of high thermal neutron-capture cross section and the enrichment of the target made it possible to conduct the experiment in one day with sufficient statistics. For calibration a ${ }^{152}$ Eu source was placed at the target position before and after the Nd-runs. The daughters of ${ }^{152} \mathrm{Eu},{ }^{152} \mathrm{Gd}$ and ${ }^{152} \mathrm{Sm}$, have well known $\gamma$-ray intensities and energies, as well as multipole-mixing ratios.

The data acquisition system was run in triggerless timestamped mode so that every hit of a $\gamma$ ray was written as an event to the data stream. This required the implementation of a new eventbuilder which can read and sort the $\gamma$ events. The eventbuilder is able to identify $\gamma$ rays which were in coincidence with each other and, if there were any, with the corresponding BGO events. On top of this eventbuilder an addback-algorithm for the clover-detectors was implemented to reduce the loss of full-energy events due to the Compton effect.

## 3. Analysis

### 3.1. Angular correlations

The eight EXOGAM-clover-HPGe detectors can be used for angular-correlation measurements. The octagonal construction of the frame gives us the opportunity to measure correlations between


Figure 1. EXOGAM setup at the cold-neutron beam line PF1B at ILL. The neutrons enter from the right side impinging on a target (here ${ }^{143} \mathrm{Nd}$ ) in the center of the frame. One can see the eight EXOGAM-clover-HPGe detectors perpendicular to the beam axis which are used for the angular-correlation measurement in this work.
four angular groups: $45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 135^{\circ}$ and $180^{\circ}$. From the angular correlation measurement the transmitted angular momentum $l$ of the $\gamma$ radiation and the multipole-mixing ratio $\delta$ can be extracted. A detailed description of angular correlation techniques can be found in Ref. [15-17].

In experiments with thermal- or cold-neutrons the neutron beam doesn't characterize a $z$-axis of the quantum system like it would be in Coulomb excitation or $\left(\gamma, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ reactions. The angular distribution of $\gamma$ rays after thermal- and cold-neutron capture is isotropic with respect to the neutron beam axis. To obtain an non-isotropic distribution of magnetic substates, $\gamma$ - $\gamma$-matrices have to be sorted and a gate is set on the populating or depopulating transition. Subsequently the peak volumes of the depopulating or populating transition can be determined, which shows now a non-isotropic distribution with respect to the direction of the emitted (de)populating $\gamma$ ray.

Angular correlations $\tilde{W}(\theta)$ can be parameterized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}(\theta)=1+A_{2} P_{2}(\cos \theta)+A_{4} P_{4}(\cos \theta) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{\{2,4\}}$ are the correlation coefficients and $P_{\{2,4\}}(x)$ the Legendre polynomials of $2^{\text {nd }}$ and $4^{\text {th }}$ order. Usually the normalization of the experimental data is done with respect to the $90^{\circ}$ angular group:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(\theta)=\frac{\tilde{W}(\theta)}{\tilde{W}\left(90^{\circ}\right)}=\frac{1+A_{2} P_{2}(\cos \theta)+A_{4} P_{4}(\cos \theta)}{1-\frac{1}{2} A_{2}+\frac{3}{8} A_{4}} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $\gamma$-ray cascade the $A_{k}$ can be calculated analytically depending on the spin of each state in the cascade and the $\delta$ of the first or the second transition. The experimental values of $A_{k}$ have to be corrected by attenuation factors $Q_{k}$, which can be determined from a well-known cascade e.g. $0-2-0$ cascades, because here we have a strong angular correlation $\left(A_{2}^{\text {theo. }}=0.3571\right.$, $\left.A_{4}^{\text {theo. }}=1.1429\right)$ and no $\delta$ is involved.

In general, the number of detector combinations is not the same for each angular group. In our case we have eight pairs in the $45^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}$ and $135^{\circ}$ groups, but only four pairs in the $180^{\circ}$ group. To correct for this varying number and consider eventual differences in the absolute detection efficiency a $2-0-2$ cascade was used for normalization. Owing to the reaction mechanism of


Figure 2. Partial level scheme of ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$. The red and blue colored transitions are the transitions of interest for this work.
the ${ }^{143} \mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma)$ reaction $0^{+,-}$states are populated only weakly and cascades involving them are unsuitable. Consequently a calibration source had to be employed.

### 3.2. Multipole-mixing ratio

In this work the definition of the multipole-mixing ratio from Ref. [18] and the references therein is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{10} \frac{E_{\gamma}}{\hbar c} \frac{\left\langle J_{f}\|\hat{M}(E 2)\| J_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle J_{f} \| \hat{M}(M 1)\right|\left|J_{i}\right\rangle}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{M}$ is the electro-magnetic multipole operator for $E 2$ and $M 1$ radiation. For the phase convention the definition of Krane and Steffen [17] is used. Determining multipole-mixing ratios via angular correlations is a necessity to identify mixed-symmetric states. For cascades with competing $M 1$ and $E 2$ transitions the $A_{k}$ coefficients become functions of $\delta$.

In ${ }^{152} \mathrm{Sm}$ the $3_{1}^{+}$state is populated via the electron capture of ${ }^{152} \mathrm{Eu}$. This $3^{+}$state decays predominantly via $M 1$ or $E 2$ transitions to the $4_{1}^{+}$and the multipole-mixing ratio is well known from literature $\left(\delta\left(3_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 4_{1}^{+}\right)=-6.5(3)[19]\right)$. Placing a gate on the $868-\mathrm{keV}$ transition $4_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$ and fitting the angular correlation function with $\delta$ as a free parameter leads to a $\chi^{2}$-minimum at $\delta=-6.2$ which is in agreement with the literature value.

### 3.3. Octupole transitions in ${ }^{144} N d$

Hicks et al. [20] conducted a ( $\mathrm{n}, \mathrm{n}^{\prime} \gamma$ ) experiment at the University of Kentucky Accelerator Laboratory in Lexington, USA, in order to clarify spectroscopic uncertainties in ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$. The original assignment of the $3_{3}^{-}$state as a candidate for a mixed-symmetric octupole state is based on this dataset [10]. The major problem with this experiment was that the $1268-\mathrm{keV}$ peak is a doublet consisting of transitions from $\left(2_{x}^{+}\right) \rightarrow 2_{2}^{+}\left(E\left(2_{x}^{+}\right)=2829 \mathrm{keV}\right)$ and $3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}$which cannot be separated in single $\gamma$-ray spectroscopy (see level scheme Fig. 2). To clarify the nature of the $3_{3}^{-}$state the expirement at ILL was performed.

It was shown by Robinson et al. that the $3_{2}^{-}$state at 2606 keV is not a mixed-symmetry state but member of the quadrupole-octupole-quintuplet $\left[\left|2_{1}^{+}\right\rangle \otimes\left|3_{1}^{-}\right\rangle\right]_{3^{-}}[21]$. This fact was confirmed by Hicks et al. as well. Here a strong $B(E 2)$ strength of $23(4)$ W.u. and a weak $B(M 1)$ of $7.0(13) \times 10^{-3} \mu_{\mathrm{N}}^{2}$ for the $3_{2}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}$transition was measured.


Figure 3. The upper figure shows the fitted angular correlation function to the data points of the $3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}$cascade in ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$. The lower picture shows the reduced $\chi^{2}$ distribution of this fit with a pronounced minimum at $\delta=-0.55$.

In this work we apply angular-correlation techniques to precisely determine the multipolemixing ratio $\delta\left(3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}\right)$. The capture state $\left(\frac{7}{2}\left(f_{7 / 2}^{-}\right) \pm \frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{n})\right)$ of ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$ is a $3^{-}$or $4^{-}$state and the $3_{3}^{-}$is populated either directly by a $M 1 / E 2$ transition with an unknown multipole-mixing ratio or via unknown intermediate states. Therefore, as a gate to separate the $3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}$transition from the contaminating transition the $3_{1}^{-} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}-E 1$-transition at 814 keV is favourable. This transition is of pure $E 1$ character. Consequently, for each value of $\delta\left(3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}\right)$in this cascade, $A_{4}$ equals to zero, and the ellipse in the $A_{2}-A_{4}$-space corresponding to the different $\delta$ becomes a string. This results in two minima in the $\chi^{2}$ plot with comparable $\chi^{2}$ values.

In Fig. 3 the fitted angular correlation function to the data points and the $\chi^{2}$ distribution is shown. The $\chi^{2}$ distribution shows a minimum at $\delta=-0.55$ which is a strong indicator for the $3_{3}^{-}$ state to be a mixed-symmetric octupole state. Table 1 shows an overview of all multipole-mixing ratios determined so far with the current ( $\mathrm{n}, \gamma$ ) dataset.

## 4. Outlook

In order to get the absolute $B\left(M 1 ; 3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}\right)$strengths in ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$ the collaboration intends to measure the lifetime of the $3_{3}^{-}$state. The lifetime of states which decay via strong dipole transitions is settled in the femtosecond range. A suitable setup for such a lifetime measurement especially with non-Yrast-states is the high-resolution flat-crystal $\gamma$-ray facility, GAMS, at ILL,

Table 1. Overview of preliminary multipole-mixing ratios $\delta$ determined with the current ${ }^{143} \mathrm{Nd}(\mathrm{n}, \gamma \gamma)$ dataset.

|  | This work | D.M. Snelling et al. | S.F. Hicks et al. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\delta\left(2_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}\right)$ | -0.94 | $-1.13_{-2}^{+15}$ | $-0.93_{-523}^{+30}$ |
| $\delta\left(2_{3}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}\right)$ | 0.19 | $0.31_{-9}^{+11}$ | $0.6_{-3}^{+4}$ |
| $\delta\left(4_{2}^{+} \rightarrow 4_{1}^{+}\right)$ | -0.3 |  | $-0.5_{-5}^{+8}$ |
| $\delta\left(3_{1}^{+} \rightarrow 2_{1}^{+}\right)$ | 0.45 |  | $0.8_{-4}^{+9}$ |
| $\delta\left(3_{2}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}\right)$ | -2.0 | $-3_{-13}^{+3}$ |  |
| $\delta\left(3_{3}^{-} \rightarrow 3_{1}^{-}\right)$ | -0.55 | $-0.37_{-11}^{+18}$ |  |

where it will be possible to separate also the energy doublet at 1268 keV in ${ }^{144} \mathrm{Nd}$.
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