# **Progress on** *M*1 **Research**

N Pietralla<sup>1</sup>, G Rainovski<sup>2</sup>, M Reese<sup>1</sup>, C Stahl<sup>1</sup>, T Beck<sup>1</sup>, J Beller<sup>1</sup>, C **Romig**<sup>1</sup>, and **V** Werner<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut für Kernphysik, Technische Universität Darmstadt, 64289 Darmstadt, Germany <sup>2</sup> St. Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia, Sofia, Bulgaria

E-mail: pietralla@ikp.tu-darmstadt.de

Abstract. Magnetic dipole transitions in nuclei can offer crucial information on nuclear structure, e.g., on spin-flip processes or on nuclear charge currents. Driven by the need for more comprehensive or more precise data on M1 transitions in nuclei, we have recently tried to advance pre-existing experimental methods to meet the present needs. This contribution reports on the current status of our efforts on three aspects: (i) access of spin-flip M1 strength in radioactive nuclei, (ii) quadrupole-collectivity of the M1 scissors mode, and (iii) highestprecision measurements for strong ground-state M1 excitations.

#### 1. Introduction

Magnetic dipole (M1) radiation provides crucial information on nuclear physics and insight into nuclear structure phenomena. An important example is the M1 excitation of the nucleon itself to its  $J^{\pi} = 3/2^+ \Delta$ -resonance. It represents an isovector transition from the T = 1/2 isospin doublet of the nucleon to the  $\Delta$ -resonance with isospin T = 3/2. Its matrix element amounts to about 3 nuclear magnetons ( $\mu_N = e\hbar/2m_Nc$ ). This transition corresponds to a quark spin-flip excitation in the schematic static quark model.

Also the simplest nucleus, the deuteron, features an isovector M1 excitation from its  $J_T^{\pi} = 1_0^+$ ground state to its first excited  $J_T^{\pi} = 0_1^+$  resonance. In a simplistic way, this transition can also be considered as a quark spin-flip transition, now flipping the spin of one nucleon versus that of the other. Consequently its M1 strength is comparable to that of the nucleon. This isovector M1 strength manifests itself most notably in the isovector M1 transition between the quasideuteron [1] partner states of <sup>6</sup>Li, its  $J_T^{\pi} = 1_0^+$  ground state and its  $J_T^{\pi} = 0_1^+$  state at 3.56 MeV excitation energy, which again has an *M*1 transition matrix element of about 4  $\mu_N$  in size.

Besides spin-flip dynamics between spin-orbit partner orbitals, M1 transitions are sensitive to changes in charge currents inside the nucleus, too. The electromagnetic charge distinguishes protons from neutrons in the nuclear environment. Hence, the investigation of M1 transitions between nuclear states [2] can provide us with information on the proton/neutron structure of nuclear states and on the local spin-orbit splitting of effective single-particle orbitals. Together these effects yield access to the dominant degrees of freedom in the formation of nuclear structures in the nuclear valence shell. The paramount importance of the evolution of effective single-particle energies as a function of nucleon numbers on the emerging nuclear structure has become apparent through the advent of spectroscopic information on exotic nuclei over the last two decades. The tensor force between nucleons [3] and effective three-body forces [4] have

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence. Any further distribution  $(\mathbf{\hat{H}})$ (ငင် of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI. Published under licence by IOP Publishing Ltd 1

been shown to be essential ingredients for a global understanding of nuclear structure across the nuclear chart.

In this contribution we have addressed our recent progress on the investigation of nuclear structures that dominantly decay by M1 radiation: the new approach of relative  $\gamma$ -ray self-absorption for a precision measurement of the M1 excitation strength of <sup>6</sup>Li, the first attempt to access the electromagnetic quadrupole radiation strength of the proton/neutron mixed-symmetric orbital 1<sup>+</sup> scissors mode in a quadrupole-deformed nucleus, and a new approach for directly measuring the local spin-orbit splitting in neutron-rich radioactive nuclei by the two-target relativistic projectile-Coulomb excitation method. We have performed corresponding experiments at the Superconducting DArmstadt LINear electron ACcelerator (S-DALINAC) at TU Darmstadt, at the High Intensity  $\gamma$ -ray Source (HI $\gamma$ S) at Duke University, and at the FRagment Separator (FRS) at the GSI Helmholtz Center for Heavy Ion Research at Darmstadt in the scope of the PreSPEC/AGATA campaign.

2. Relativistic M1 Coulomb excitation experiment on <sup>85</sup>Br with PreSPEC/AGATA Exotic nuclei with ratios of neutron to proton numbers differing considerably from what is encountered near the line of stability have been found to often feature hitherto unexpected structure, *e.g.*, strong collectivity at the conventional "magic numbers" or aspects of closed shell nuclei even when the proton and neutron numbers do not coincide with them. These phenomena are attributed to an evolution of shell structure which itself arises from a systematic dependence of the effective single-particle energies on the nucleon numbers by weakening or strengthening the local spin-orbit splitting as a function of valence nucleons.

It is apparently desirable to experimentally measure the energy splitting of spin-orbit partner orbitals in exotic nuclei. Spin-flip transitions between spin-orbit partners correspond to M1transitions with a size on the order of one Weisskopf unit, *i.e.*, M1 transitions that are comparatively strong. Therefore, the occurence of strong M1 transitions in the range of one nuclear magneton can serve as a unique signature for spin-orbit partner orbitals. The direct measurement of such large M1 transition rates for  $\gamma$ -ray energies of about one MeV or more is a difficult task, in particular, in heavy exotic nuclei. That is because such transition rates lead to half-lives below one hundred femtoseconds where techniques for direct lifetime measurements start to fail. Classical techniques for unique identication of spin-flip excitations, such as direct measurements of M1 radiative widths in photon scattering or electron scattering reactions, or high-resolution charge-exchange reactions, are not yet applicable to the investigation of radioactive isotopes. The measurement of E2/M1 mixing ratios  $\delta$  from the analysis of angular distributions is significantly hindered at relativistic beam energies due to large Lorentz boost and by low statistics in experiments with radioactive ion beams. We have recently proposed that the method of relativistic M1 projectile-Coulomb excitation may offer a viable alternative.

The ratio of cross sections for magnetic and electric Coulomb excitation is in first order proportional to  $(v/c)^2$  times the ratio of the corresponding matrix elements squared. For sufficiently large M1 matrix elements the magnetic contribution to the cross section can be detectable at relativistic velocities, while traditional sub-Coulomb barrier Coulomb excitation is dominated by far by electric excitations. Because of the different energy dependence for electric and magnetic multipoles in the Coulomb excitation process, the ratio of Coulomb-excitation cross-sections at different beam energies allows to extract the ratio of the excitation characters contributing to the respective excitation matrix elements. Exploiting these properties of the Coulomb-excitation process, the straightforward way to extract E2 and M1 matrix elements is to perform two Coulomb-excitation experiments at different beam energies and determine the ratio of measured cross-sections. This approach demands sufficient beam-time and two cross-sections measurements. In  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy experiments with detectors that have a sufficiently good



Figure 1. The relativistic ion beam impinges from the left on two targets that are spatially separated by about 10 cm. The first target is thick enough to slow down the beam such that E2 and M1 contributions to the Coulex cross sections are sufficiently different. Gamma-radiation from the two targets can be distinguished by the different Doppler-shifts due to the considerably different observation angles seen by the granular  $\gamma$ -ray detector array.



Figure 2. Simulation for the separation of  $\gamma$ rays from relativistic ions that were Coulomb excited either in the first or in the second target. The ratio of the peak areas is sensitive to the M1 matrix element to be measured.

energy resolution, like HPGe detectors, the two cross-section measurements can be combined in one single experiment with two spatially separated targets and a sufficiently large energy-loss in the first target [5]. The principle of the two-target relativistic Coulex multipolarity is exemplified in Figs. 1 and 2.

We report here on the first experiment aiming at the measurement of a proton spin-flip M1 transition rate in a relativistic M1 projectile-Coulomb excitation reaction. It was carried out on a secondary ion beam of radioactive neutron-rich  ${}^{85}\text{Br}_{50}$  nuclei at the neutron shell closure N = 50 in the sequence of isotones from stable nuclei towards the neutron-rich doubly magic nucleus  ${}^{78}\text{Ni}$ . Due to the crossing of effective proton single-particle energies of the  $\pi(2p_{3/2})$  orbital



Figure 3. Photograph of the PreSPEC/AGATA set-up at the FRS at GSI. The radioactive-ion beam enters from the right. The secondary double target (inset) is surrounded by scintillators and HPGe-detectors of the Hector and AGATA arrays. The secondary reaction products are mass and charge analyzed event-by-event by the LYCCA device [10] to the left. with the  $\pi(1f_{5/2})$  orbital along the chain of heavy nickel isotopes it is interesting to study the evolution of the spin-orbit splitting between the  $\pi(2p_{3/2})$  and the higher-lying  $\pi(2p_{1/2})$  orbital in this region of the nuclear chart.

Relativistic beams of <sup>85</sup>Br with velocities of up to 70% of the speed of light have been abundantly provided by the FRS at GSI [6] following the primary one-proton knock-out reaction of a beam of fully stripped <sup>86</sup>Kr ions in a beryllium production target in March 2014. Highresolution in-flight  $\gamma$ -ray spectroscopy at relativistic energies requires precise Doppler-shift correction capabilities. Gamma-rays were measured in-beam with the highly-segmented novel PreSPEC/AGATA device [7, 8, 9]. We report on this new experimental technique, on our experiences with it, and on the status of the data analysis. Figure 3 shows a photograph of the set-up.

The two targets for secondary Coulomb excitation consisted of massive gold plates of about 1 mm thickness, each. The data analysis is currently underway. Calibrations for proper Doppler correction have not been completed, yet. Figure 4 shows a  $\gamma$ -ray spectrum from 5% of the data taken without Doppler correction. A  $\gamma$ -ray line at 547.5(3) keV corresponding to the Coulomb excitation of the <sup>197</sup>Au target nuclei is clearly visible. Its yield implies that the sought-after  $\gamma$ -ray line from relativistic E2/M1-Coulomb excitation of the  $J^{\pi} = 1/2^{-}$  level of <sup>85</sup>Br at 1.191 MeV excitation energy should be detectable after Doppler corrections if that state would sufficiently purely correspond to the spin-flip excitation of the  $\pi(2p_{3/2})$ ,  $J^{\pi} = 3/2^{-}$  ground state.



Figure 4. Gamma-ray spectrum without Doppler correction from a part of the data taken spring 2014 with the PreSPEC/AGATA array on the relativistic Coulomb excitation reaction of a beam of radioactive <sup>85</sup>Br ions on two gold targets. Coulomb excitation of gold is clearly visible and can serve for the determination of absolute E2 and M1 relativistic Coulomb-excitation cross sections for <sup>85</sup>Br. The data analysis is underway.

# 3. Quadrupole decay strength of the M1 scissors mode of ${}^{156}$ Gd

Orbital charge currents can be another source of nuclear M1 radiation besides spin-M1 modes as discussed above. The most prominent example of orbital M1 strength is given by the  $J^{\pi} = 1^+$ nuclear scissors mode discovered in the deformed nucleus <sup>156</sup>Gd by Richter and collaborators at Darmstadt in the 1980s [11]. The orbital M1 excitation strength is proportional to the square of the quadrupole deformation of the ground state. While the M1 strength of the scissors mode has been studied in detail over the last 30 years, the electric quadrupole matrix element between the rotational band of the scissors mode and the ground band is still unknown. It can be obtained from the measurement of the E2/M1 multipole mixing ratio of the  $1^+_{sc} \rightarrow 2^+_1$  transition. We have measured this multipole mixing ratio for the first time in a deformed nucleus.



IOP Publishing doi:10.1088/1742-6596/580/1/012015

Figure 5. Gamma-ray spectroscopy of the scissors mode of <sup>156</sup>Gd excited by the quasi-monoenergetic linearly-polarized photon beam of the HI $\gamma$ S facility at Duke University, Durham, NC. The beam's energyprofile is indicated by the dashed curve. The  $1_{sc}^+ \rightarrow 0_1^+ M1$  transition to the ground state at 3070 keV and the E2/M1 mixed transition to the  $2_1^+$  state of the ground state rotational band are dominantly observed. The relative azimuthal distribution about the (horizontal) polarization plane of the incident  $\gamma$ -ray beam is sensitive to the E2 contribution of the  $1_{sc}^+ \rightarrow 2_1^+$  transition.

The experiment has been performed on the nuclide <sup>156</sup>Gd using the quasi-monoenergetic linearly-polarized photon beam of the High-Intensity  $\gamma$ -ray Source (HI $\gamma$ S) at Duke University, Durham, NC [12]. Figure 5 shows a relevant part of the data. The *E*2 matrix element between the scissors mode and the ground state band of <sup>156</sup>Gd is appreciably smaller than 1 W.u. The data and results are currently being prepared for publication.

4. Relative self-absorption experiment on the quasi-deuteron M1 excitation of <sup>6</sup>Li The first excited 0<sup>+</sup> state of <sup>6</sup>Li with isospin T = 1 is the first nuclear state in the chart of nuclides that predominantly decays electromagnetically. Its M1 decay width provides a sensitive test for



Figure 6. Nuclear resonance fluorescence off <sup>6</sup>Li in a nuclear self-absorption experiment at the Darmstadt bremsstrahlung site at the S-DALINAC. The 3563-keV  $\gamma$ -ray line from the  $J_T^{\pi} = 0_1^+ \rightarrow 1_0^+$  isovector M1 decay transition in <sup>6</sup>Li is very pronounced. The lithium target was surrounded by <sup>11</sup>B for normalization purposes. The figure shows two  $\gamma$ -ray spectra from one and the same target, one of them taken with a bremsstrahlung beam modified by an upstream lithium absorber (and shifted by +100 keV for better visibility). The absorption of 3563-keV photons by the absorber is proportional to the natural line-width of this state and leads to a precisely measurable reduction of NRF intensity relative to a normalization standard.

modern nuclear theory. It has recently been shown that chiral currents can contribute up to 10% to B(M1) values [13]. A precision measurement of the M1 decay strength in <sup>6</sup>Li to better then 1% accuracy is desirable. We have recently demonstrated that this goal can be achieved in nuclear self-absorption [14] experiments. Figure 6 shows the  $\gamma$ -ray spectra that were obtained. The self absorption of the M1 excitation of <sup>6</sup>Li has been determined to sub-% level.

# 5. Summary

In our contribution we have discussed our recent progress on the experimental investigation of magnetic dipole phenomena in nuclei. While our data are currently under analysis it is obvious that new instruments or the advances of traditional experimental techniques have the potential for new approaches to and deeper insight into nuclear M1 phenomena.

### Acknowledgments

We have reported progress on three different experiments addressing M1 observables in some ways and we thank all those who have contributed to these experiments. In particular, we thank D. Bazzaco, P. Boutachkov, L. Cortes, C. Domingo Pardo, J. Gerl, M. Gorska, I. Kojouharov, W. Korten, N. Lalovic, M. Lettmann, S. Pietri, D. Ralet, and D. Rudolph, for their contributions to the PreSPEC/AGATA experimental campaign at the FRagment Separator at GSI, and the entire PreSPEC collaboration for support. We thank all those who have contributed to the photon-scattering experiments at TU Darmstadt and at Duke Univ., in particular, M. Arnold, J. Conrad, V. Derya, F. Hug, B. Löher, T. Kürzeder, H. Pai, D. Savran, M. Scheck, W. Tornow, H.R. Weller, and M. Zweidinger, for their support. We gratefully acknowledge the financial support by the German Research Council (DFG) under grant No. SFB 634, by the Helmholtz International Center for FAIR (HIC for FAIR) funded in the scope of the LOEWE program by the State of Hesse, by the German Ministry for Education and Research (BMBF) under grant No. 05P12RDFN8, by the EU through the ENSAR grant, and by the Bulgarian NSF under grant No. DDVU0269.

### References

- [1] Lisetskiy A F, Jolos R V, Pietralla N, and von Brentano P 1999 Phys. Rev. C 60 064310
- [2] Heyde K, von Neumann-Cosel P, and Richter A 2010 Rev. Mod. Phys. 82 2365
- [3] Otsuka T 2013 Phys. Scr. T 152 014007
- [4] Otsuka T, Suzuki T, Holt J D, Schwenk A, and Akaishi Y 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 032501
- [5] Stahl C, Pietralla N, Rainovski G, Reese M 2014 Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res. A, subm. for publ.
- [6] Geissel H et al. 1992 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. B 70 286
- [7] Akkoyun S et al. 2012 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. A 668 26
- [8] Domingo-Pardo C et al. 2012 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. A 694 297
- [9] Pietralla N et al. 2014 EPJ Web of Conferences 66 02083
- [10] Golubev P et al. 2013 Nucl. Instr. and Meth. Phys. Res. A 723 55
- [11] Bohle D, Richter A, Steffen W, Dieperink A E L, Lo Iudice N, Palumbo F, and Scholten O 1984 *Phys. Lett.* B **137** 27
- [12] Weller H R, Ahmed M W, Gao H, Tornow W, Wu Y K, Gai M, and Miskimen R 2009 Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 62 257
- [13] Pastore S, Pieper S, Schiavilla R, and Wiringa R 2013 Phys. Rev. C 87 035503
- [14] Vodhanel R, Brussel M K, Moreh R, Sellyey W C, and Chapuran T E 1984 Phys. Rev. C 29 409