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SUMMARY

In recent years, substantial progress in gene therapy has been made as proofed by several successful clinical trials providing substantial benefit to patients and the first marketing authorization of an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector-based medical product. Especially lentiviral and AAV vectors represent promising tools for gene transfer. They have been further improved to ensure safety and efficiency. One strategy to customize these viral vectors is the generation of receptor-targeted vectors that restrict gene delivery to cells expressing the targeted receptor. The first part of this thesis compares lentiviral and AAV vectors targeted to the receptor Her2/neu which is overexpressed in various tumor cells. This is for the first time a true side by side comparison of this totally different vector types, since here, both use the same receptor for cell entry. The second part investigates the potential of receptor-targeted lentiviral gene transfer into human hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) via the cell surface protein CD105 and evaluates if CD105 is a marker for human long-term repopulating HSCs.

The Her2-targeted lentiviral and AAV vector had been generated and characterized before (Münch et al., 2011; Münch et al., 2013). Both particles display a Her2/neu specific targeting ligand, the designed ankyrin repeat protein 9.29. First, functional, genomic and physical titers of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV vector stocks were determined side by side to allow precise normalization of both vector types. While the Her2-LV vector stocks showed higher genomic titers, Her2-AAV vectors comprised more functional particles per genome containing particles. Accordingly, about 10-fold more genome copies of Her2-LV than Her2-AAV had to be administered systemically in a subcutaneous tumor mouse model for detectable transgene expression. Analysis of the vector distribution short time after systemic administration in vivo revealed that the non-enveloped Her2-AAV vector circulated stably in the blood of mice for a prolonged time compared to Her2-LV. Accumulation of Her2-AAV within the target tissue occurred only after 24 hours.

Lentiviral vectors are currently the preferred vector type for the modification of HSCs due to their capability of integrating the transgene into the host cell’s genome. Thereby the entire hematopoietic system can be reconstituted with cells carrying the corrected gene. True HSCs which are capable of self-renewal and differentiate into
all hematopoietic lineages can be identified by the expression of specific cell surface markers. In mice, CD105 was previously shown to be present on most immature, long-term repopulating HSCs. After confirming that human CD105 is expressed on 30-80% of human CD34$^+$ cells, CD34$^+$ cells were transduced with a lentiviral vector targeted to human CD105 (CD105-LV) and transplanted into NOD-scid IL2R$\gamma^-$ mice. Stable reporter gene expression in engrafted cells was detected long-term in all human hematopoietic lineages in bone marrow, spleen and blood. In addition, competitive repopulation experiments in mice showed a superior engraftment of CD105-LV transduced CD34$^+$ cells in bone marrow and spleen compared to cells transduced with a conventional non-targeted lentiviral vector confirming CD105 as a marker for early HSCs with high repopulating capacity.

The data shown in this thesis highlight the potential of receptor-targeted vectors to trace cell subsets and identify new markers for specific cell populations. In addition, it demonstrates the potential of comparing vectors derived from different virus families once they have been targeted to the same entry receptor.
ZUSAMMENFASSUNG


Stunden nach Vektorgabe konnte keine Akkumulation von Her2-AAV Partikeln im Zielgewebe beobachtet werden.


Die Daten, die in dieser Arbeit gezeigt werden, verdeutlichen das Potential von Vektoren, mittels Rezeptortargeting Zellsubpopulationen zu markieren und zu verfolgen, was eine Identifikation von neuen Markern auf spezifischen Zellpopulationen ermöglicht. Des Weiteren demonstriert diese Arbeit die Möglichkeit, verschiedene virale Vektortypen, die den gleichen Zelleintrittsreceptor verwenden, zu vergleichen.
1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Gene therapy

Gene therapy is expected to cure or prevent human diseases by delivery of a functional, therapeutic gene or by transfer of genes leading to the reduction or elimination of harmful gene products or cells. First clinical gene therapy studies were already conducted 25 years ago. Since then, the therapeutic approaches have been improved continuously. As vehicles for the delivery of transgenes, integrating and non-integrating viral and non-viral vectors have been used. The focus of the first gene therapy studies was on the treatment of primary immune deficiencies since in absence of a suitable bone marrow donor for these, gene therapy represents the only therapeutic option.

1.2. Milestones of viral vector-based gene therapy

Primary immune deficiencies (PID) are currently treated either by allogenic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (HSCT) or by ex vivo gene modification. For HSCT, hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) from a matching donor are infused into the patient early after detection of the disease. However, matching donors are not available for each patient. Therefore, genetic modification of autologous HSCs represents an alternative strategy. CD34\(^+\) cells from bone marrow (BM) or cells from granulocyte colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) mobilized peripheral blood are harvested from the patients. Then, the cells are manipulated ex vivo and reinfused into the patients. The first clinical trial for PID gene therapy was conducted in 1990 treating two children suffering from adenosine deaminase (ADA) deficiency by autologous transplantation of ex vivo corrected T-lymphocytes using a gammaretroviral vector. Despite normalization of T-lymphocyte counts in the blood and improvement of ADA enzyme activity in one of the patients, both patients had to remain on enzyme replacement therapy (Blaese et al., 1995). In the approach to treat SCID-X1 patients by gammaretroviral vector mediated gene therapy, the T-cell immune functions were fully restored in 18 out of 20 patients. However, 5 of the patients developed acute T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia (T-ALL) 2-5.5 years after
therapy caused by insertional activation of the proto-oncogene *LMO2* (LIM domain only 2) (Hacein-Bey-Abina et al., 2003; Howe et al., 2008).

Due to the occurrence of additional cases of leukemia in other clinical trials treating patients with Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome (WAS) (Persons and Baum, 2011; Braun et al., 2014), the integration profile of retroviral vectors was investigated intensively. It was found that gammaretroviral vectors tend to integrate into or near to gene regulatory regions such as enhancer, promoter genes or locus control regions. By comparing the integration sites of the affected patients, integration hot spots (especially *LMO2, MDS-EVI1*) were identified (Hacein-Bey-Abina et al., 2003; Ott et al., 2006). Vector integration into these genes led to clonal dominance associated with leukemia. In contrast to gammaretroviral vectors (Wu et al., 2003; Palma et al., 2005; Deichmann et al., 2007), lentiviral vectors (LVs) preferable integrate into active transcriptions units and are able to transduce non-dividing cells; (Schröder et al., 2002; Mitchell et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2009).

By the generation of self-inactivating lentiviral vectors (SIN-LV) (see chapter 1.3) the safety of these vectors was significantly improved. Therefore, SIN-LVs are the preferred tool for the manipulation of HSCs today. So far, several phase I/II clinical trials using SIN-LVs are ongoing including the treatment of WAS (Aiuti et al., 2013), ADA-SCID (NCT01380990), chronic granulomatous disease (CGD) (NCT02234934), X-linked adrenoleukodystrophy (X-ALD) (Cartier et al., 2009; Cartier et al., 2012), metachromatic leukodystrophy (MLD) (Biffi et al., 2013) and β-thalassemia (Cavazzana-Calvo et al., 2010). So far, 10 patients has been enrolled in the current WAS trial. Autologous HSCs were modified with a VSVG-pseudotyped SIN-LV ex vivo. The expression of WAS protein was restored, resulting in increased platelet counts, enhanced immune functions and improvement of clinical disease symptoms (Aiuti et al., 2013). Genetic modifications of HSCs to correct X-ALD (Cartier et al., 2009) and MLD (Biffi et al., 2013) have been resulting in therapeutic effects in two other gene therapy trials. In addition to the clinical benefits, in all of these studies no clonal outgrowth has been observed so far with one clinical trial treating β-thalassemia being the exception. In this study which was initiated in 2007 the LV integrated into the tumor suppressor gene high mobility group AT-hook 2 (HMGA2) leading to benign clonal expansion of cells within the myeloid lineage (Cavazzana-Calvo 2010).
Other promising tools for gene therapy are adeno-associated virus (AAV)-derived vectors. They are highly stable, producible in high titer vector stocks, show low immunogenicity and do not integrate into the host’s genome but are predominantly present episomally (Philpott et al., 2002). Therefore, AAV vectors are used for gene correction of post-mitotic tissues such as the retina, liver, central nervous system, and skeletal and cardiac muscle. In the first gene therapy trials treating patients with inherited eye disease, Leber’s congenital amaurosis (LCA), the retinal pigment epithelium-specific protein 65kDa (RPE65) was expressed after subretinal administration of a AAV2 vector leading to improvements in vision. In addition to the clinical benefit, the immune response against the vector itself or the transgene was very low and the therapy well tolerated (Bainbridge, James W B et al., 2008; Hauswirth et al., 2008; Maguire et al., 2008; Jacobson et al., 2012). Demonstrating proof-of-concept, these first three trials facilitated initiations of other clinical eye studies. Within the first clinical study to treat hemophilia B by AAV vector mediated gene therapy, therapeutic relevant levels of factor IX were not achieved but this trial demonstrated safety and possible application of intramuscular injection of AAV vectors for gene therapy (Kay et al., 2000). In subsequent clinical studies, the focus was on transduction of liver cells that are more prone to secrete factors to the circulating blood (Manno et al., 2006) and are thought to induce immune tolerance against transgenes following vector administration via the portal vein (Mays and Wilson, 2011). The expression of factor IX increased to therapeutic relevant levels, but also dropped in few patients several weeks after gene therapy. This fact was put down to memory T-cells that recognized AAV capsid proteins by some investigators (Mingozzi and High, 2011) and to induction of cytotoxic T-cell response against the transgene by others (Li et al., 2009). AAV vector mediated gene transfer has been shown a notable safety profile and efficacy record in vivo, leading to the granting of the first marketing authorization in the western world for an AAV-based therapeutic medicine in November 2012 by the European Commission. Previously, alipogen tiparvovec (also known as Glybera) was successfully tested in several clinical trials treating the ultra-rare familial lipoprotein lipase deficiency (LPLD). The naturally occurring gain of function mutated gene LPL$^{S447X}$ was administered intramuscular by a serotype 1 AAV vector that demonstrates high muscular tropism (Mingozzi et al., 2009). Treated patients showed clinical improvement up to 2 years after
intramuscular vector administration (Gaudet et al., 2012) while sustained transgene expression was not impaired by immune response (Ferreira et al., 2014).

1.3 Basics about lentiviral vectors

Lentiviral vectors are derived from lentiviruses which belong to the *Retroviridae* family. Lentiviruses, such as the human immunodeficiency virus 1 (HIV-1), are enveloped viruses with a diploid, positive sense, single stranded RNA genome. The envelope consists of host cell membrane and viral envelope proteins (Env) and encloses the capsid which is composed of approximately 2000 p24 proteins (Wilk et al., 2001). Within the capsid, viral replication enzymes and the two linear RNA molecules surrounded by nucleocapsid proteins are located. The genome, which has a size of about 7 to 13 kb per monomer, encodes for the genes *gag/pol* and *env*. The *gag* gene provides all structural proteins such as matrix protein, capsid protein, nucleocapsid protein as well as SP1, SP2 and p6. The *pol* gene encodes for the reverse transcriptase, protease and integrase, *env* for the Env protein. The protein-encoding regions are flanked at both ends by long terminal repeats (LTR) which consist of 3’ unique elements (U3), repeat elements (R) and 5’ unique elements (U5). The LTRs contain promoter and enhancer sequences, the transactivation response element (TAR), the poly-adenylation signals and the *att* repeats that are necessary for viral integration into the host genome. The packaging signal psi is responsible for the packaging of genomic RNA into the viral particles (Xie et al., 2002; Freed and Martin, 2006; Pluta and Kacprzak, 2009).

Instead of the viral genome, lentiviral vectors contain a transgene. Therefore, LVs are replication deficient, but they can transfer and integrate a gene of interest into the genome of mammalian cells which is termed transduction. Most of the LVs are derived from HIV-1. Similar to lentiviruses which can not only infect mitotically active cells, LV can transduce non-dividing cells. This makes them a promising tool for gene therapy. However, several safety issues have to be considered using LVs. Long-term follow up studies of clinical trials revealed that the risk of abnormal clonal expansion of LVs transduced cells is rather low compared to gammaretroviral vector studies since LV tent to integrate not near promoters and regulatory elements, but into active transcription units (Mitchell et al., 2004). Another safety concern that needs to be
minimized is the potential of development of replication competent lentiviruses within vector preparations. Therefore, transfection protocols were established in which gag/pol and env genes are split onto two separate plasmids that both lack the packaging signal psi. In addition, accessory proteins that are not essential for the production of LVs, namely Vpr, Vif, Vpu, and Nef, are deleted from the packaging plasmid leaving tat and rev besides gag/pol (Zufferey et al., 1997). Only the transfer plasmid which encodes for the gene of interest contains a functional psi signal which is the reason that only the transgene is packaged into the generated vector particles.

Further improvement of vector safety was achieved by generation of self-inactivating (SIN) vectors. Here, the U3 region of the 5’ LTR is replaced with a heterologous promoter, e.g. the cytomegalovirus (CMV) promoter, resulting in a Tat-independent transcription. In addition, the transcriptional unit is deleted from the LTRs by partially deletion of the U3 region of the 3’ LTR (Miyoshi et al., 1998). LVs have a large cargo capacity which is in general 7-9 kb, but can be exhausted up to 18 kb, although this reduces the functional titers of the vector stocks (Kumar et al., 2001). LVs are produced by transient or stable transfection of packaging cell lines, usually HEK293T cells, since they are highly susceptible to transfection and express the SV40 T-large antigen which allows replication of plasmids containing the SV40 origin of replication (Soneoka et al., 1995). The vector particles bud from the cell membrane of the producer cells and then can be harvested from the cell supernatant. As a result of the budding process the vector envelope consists of the cellular lipid bilayer as well as viral envelope proteins that are expressed on the cell surface.

Therefore, the cell specificity of LV depends on the envelope protein used for transfection. By substitution of the natural Env protein with other viral surface proteins, the tropism of the LV can be altered – a procedure known as pseudotyping. The first reports about successful pseudotyping demonstrated incorporation of murine leukemia virus (MLV) or HTLV-1 Env into HIV-1-based LVs (Page et al., 1990; Landau et al., 1991). Since then, lots of glycoproteins from different viruses were used for pseudotyping (Cronin et al., 2005; Frecha et al., 2008). Nevertheless, the most common pseudotype is the glycoprotein of the vesicular stomatitis virus (VSVG). Its broad tropism enables VSVG pseudotyped LVs (VSVG-LV) to transduce all mammalian cells while maintaining high titers and excellent stability of virions. However, VSVG-LVs are not applicable for in vivo gene therapy, since complement-
and antibody mediated immune response against the glycoprotein will occur (DePolo et al., 2000; Higashikawa and Chang, 2001). In addition, despite the successful application of VSVG-LV in several hematopoietic stem cell-based therapeutic approaches, unstimulated human HSCs lack the entry receptor LDL-R (low-density lipid receptor) for VSV (Amirache et al., 2014). Therefore, the transduction of HSCs requires usually several days of ex vivo pre-stimulation, followed by 1 – 3 rounds of transduction at a very high multiplicity of infection (MOI) for efficient gene transfer (Aiuti et al., 2013; Biffi et al., 2013). This can be circumvented by transduction of the cells using a receptor-targeted LV (see chapter 1.5.1).

1.4 Basics about adeno-associated viral (AAV) vectors

Adeno-associated viruses belong to the family of Paroviridae. One characteristic of AAV is that successful replication is dependent on a helper virus such as vaccinia virus (Schlehofer et al., 1986), herpes simplex virus (Buller et al., 1981), human cytomegalovirus (McPherson et al., 1985) or adenovirus (Casto et al., 1967), leading to the classification to the genus of Dependovirus. Replication of AAV is initiated by transactivation of the AAV promoters by proteins of the helper virus. In the absence of a helper virus, AAV undergoes latent infection by integrating into the chromosome 19 of the host's genome (Kotin et al., 1990; Samulski et al., 1991) or persisting episomally until the presence of a helper virus initiates the replication cycle of AAV. The icosahedral formed capsid consists of 60 subunits that are composed of the three structural proteins VP1, VP2 and VP3 (Xie et al., 2002). The single-stranded DNA genome is flanked by two inverted terminal repeats (ITRs) forming hair-pin structures. The ITRs are involved in genome integration, packaging and regulation of gene expression. They also contain binding elements required for the initiation of replication. The three capsid proteins are translated from one mRNA by alternative splicing resulting in expression of different amounts of proteins (Berns and Parrish, 2006).

So far, several human and non-human primate AAV serotypes have been identified. Genome size and organization are identical among these serotypes, but the capsid protein homology and the target entry receptors differ leading to diverse tissue tropism (Wu et al., 2006). For AAV2, heparin sulfate proteoglycan (HSPG) has been
found to be the primary binding receptor. HSPG is mainly expressed in liver tissue, resulting in a strong liver tropism (Kern et al., 2003; Opie et al., 2003). For gene therapeutic approaches the gene of interest is integrated into the AAV genome. The size of the transgene is limited to approximately 4.4 kb.

In addition to the single-stranded AAV (ssAAV) vectors, self-complementary AAV (scAAV) vectors were developed (McCarty et al., 2001). In one of the ITRs the terminal resolution site is removed resulting in primarily dimeric inverted repeat forms of the AAV genome (McCarty et al., 2003). Thereby, the host cell-mediated synthesis of a double-stranded DNA from the single stranded AAV vector DNA is circumvented and the synthesis of the transgene occurs faster and transduction efficiency is enhanced (McCarty et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003). Although, compared to ssAAV, the cargo capacity of scAAV is limited to about half the size, less vector particles are required for comparable transduction efficiencies (McCarty, 2008).

AAV vectors have been engineered to alter the natural tropism. On the one hand, this can be achieved by a rational design of the AAV capsid such as generation of mosaic (Hauck et al., 2003) or chimeric capsids (Hauck and Xiao, 2003). On the other hand, modifications of the capsid can be introduced in a library-based high throughput format. By error-prone PCR, DNA shuffling or insertion of random peptides with subsequent directed evolution, AAV vector mutants highly efficient for the cell type applied for selection were identified (Müller et al., 2003; Perabo et al., 2003; Maheshri et al., 2006; Perabo et al., 2006; Michelfelder et al., 2007; Grimm et al., 2008). Indeed, the tropism of the AAV vectors is altered by these methods, but gene delivery is not restricted to specific cell types, but usually expanded. Therefore, a flexible receptor targeted gene transfer approach was established by Münch et al. that will be described in chapter 1.5.2 in detail (Münch et al., 2013).

1.5 Receptor targeting

For therapeutic applications gene transfer into all cells is not desirable but should be restricted to cells affected by the dysfunctional gene. The expression of the transgene in healthy cells is especially of concern, if a suicide gene for example encoding the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase (HSV-TK) is delivered. Therefore, viral vectors have been generated that allow directed gene delivery.
1.5.1 Receptor-targeted lentiviral vectors

The normal tropism of lentiviral vectors can be altered by pseudotyping of the vector particles. Here, the natural glycoprotein of HIV-1 is replaced with glycoproteins of another virus species (Cronin et al., 2005; Frecha et al., 2008). Thereby, the tropism can either be broadened as in the case for VSVG pseudotyped LV or restricted to the normal tropism of the expressed glycoprotein. Efficient incorporation of these glycoproteins into vector particles often requires modification of the cytoplasmatic tail such as truncations or construction of chimeric glycoproteins (Sandrin et al., 2002). The glycoproteins can be further engineered by mutation of the natural receptor recognition site or by presentation of a specific targeting ligand.

The principal of receptor targeted lentiviral vectors used in this thesis was established by Funke et al. HIV-1 particles were pseudotyped with the measles virus hemagglutinin (H) and fusion (F) proteins. The cytoplasmatic tail of the H protein was truncated by 18 amino acids and that of the F protein by 30 amino acids to allow efficient incorporation into the vector particle. In addition, the natural binding site was mutated preventing transduction of cells expressing the measles virus recognition receptors CD46, SLAM and nectin-4. Originally, a single-chain antibody against the surface protein CD20 was fused to the ectodomain of the H protein restricting gene transfer to CD20$^+$ cells (Funke et al., 2008). The flexibility of this targeting system was demonstrated by exchanging the single-chain antibody against CD20 with further single-chain antibodies, but also with designed ankyrin repeat proteins (DARPins). Thereby, LVs targeted to a broad variety of cell surface receptors and the corresponding cell types including CD105$^+$, CD4$^+$, CD8$^+$, CD30$^+$ or Her2/neu$^+$ cells were obtained (Anliker et al., 2010; Münch et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2012; Abel et al., 2013; Friedel et al., 2015).
INTRODUCTION

1.5.2 Receptor targeted AAV vectors

Incorporation of receptor ligands into the capsid of AAV vectors is not well-tolerated. Therefore, the identification of suitable ligands with respect to length and sequence are critical for proper capsid assembly. In addition, only the fusion of a targeting ligand to the N-terminus of the VP2 protein allows the production of functional vector particles (Yang et al., 1998; Wu et al., 2000). A universal targeting approach was investigated by Ried et al. They inserted a truncated immunoglobulin-binding domain into AAV2 particles that allows loading of the vector particle with various antibodies. These vectors transduced target cells specifically, but the titers remained very low (Ried et al., 2002). Therefore, retargeting of AAV2 vectors with small high binding affinity molecules, namely DARPins was established by Münch et al. A DARPin against Her2/neu was fused to the N-terminus of the AAV2 VP2 capsid protein resulting in successful incorporation of the receptor targeting domain into the vector particles. In addition, by mutation of the native binding site HSPG the generated AAV vector particles were blinded for the natural receptor leading to restricted gene transfer into Her2/neu+ cells. Furthermore, by de-targeting of the Her2-AAV vector from the natural liver tropism, severe liver damage was prevented compared to the

Figure 1: Receptor targeted LV. The cytoplasmatic domains of measles virus F and H proteins are truncated. The natural binding site within the H protein is mutated and blinded for the natural receptors of measles virus. In addition, a targeting ligand, here a single-chain antibody, is fused to the ectodomain of the H protein allowing transduction of receptor positive targeting cells only.
AAV2 wild-type vector after systemical administration in vivo. The therapeutic relevance of the newly generated targeting vector was demonstrated by delivery of the HSV-TK gene into Her2/neu positive tumor tissue after i.v. injection. In combination with injection of the prodrug ganciclovir, growth of the tumor was reduced resulting in prolonged survival of the mice (Münch et al., 2013). This retargeting approach was further optimized by separation of DARPin containing AAV particles from DARPin-deficient particles by immobilized metal ion affinity chromatography resulting in tissue specific transgene expression without detectable off-targeting effects in a mouse model. The flexibility of the system was shown by generation of two other receptor targeted vectors, namely CD4-AAV and EpCAM-AAV (Münch et al., 2015).
Figure 2: Generation of receptor targeted AAV vectors. By transient transfection of producer cells AAV particles are generated. The VP2 start codon as well as the HSPG-binding site of the AAV2 capsid gene were mutated resulting in expression of VP1 and VP2 proteins. The DARPin-VP2 capsid protein is expressed from a separate plasmid. The transfer vector contains ITRs, resulting in packaging of the transgene into the assembled particle.

1.6 Cell surface markers

Using receptor targeted viral vectors, transgenes can be delivered selectively into specific cell types in vitro as well as in vivo. Target cells can be defined by the expression of surface molecules and depending on the target cell population the receptor of choice can be selected. In tumor therapy, progression of tumor or response to treatment and risk of recurrence is often determined by monitoring of
established surface markers that are overexpressed in diverse tumor types. Among these markers is the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 (Her2/neu) a type 1 receptor tyrosine kinase belonging to the epidermal growth factor family. It is overexpressed on various cancer cells such as pancreatic, ovarian and breast cancer cells (Slamon et al., 1987; Slamon et al., 1989; Hall et al., 1990). Other common tumor markers include but are not limited to the epithelial cell adhesion molecule (EpCAM), the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) or CD20.

As described in chapter 1.2 gene modification of HSPCs is often achieved by ex vivo transduction with lentiviral vectors pseudotyped with VSVG due to their broad tropism (Cartier et al., 2009; Aiuti et al., 2013; Biffi et al., 2013). A receptor targeted transduction approach would allow gene modification of specific subsets of HSPC including very primitive cells. In bone marrow, cord and mobilized peripheral blood the frequency of true HSCs capable of self-renewal is limited to estimated 0.01-0.1% of total nucleated cells (Baum CM et al., 1992; Hao QL et al., 1995). During the differentiation process of HSCs into more committed progenitor cells and finally into mature cells of a defined lineage, the ability of self-renewal is lost. Over the last years, lots of effort was put into the identification of HSC surface markers in mouse and human that would allow a highly purified enrichment of HSCs. So far, the expression pattern of human HSCs includes CD34+ CD133\textsuperscript{high}, CD90\textsuperscript{+}, CD38\textsuperscript{-}/low and CD45RA\textsuperscript{-} (Civin CI et al., 1984; Terstappen et al., 1991; Baum CM et al., 1992; Miraglia et al., 1997; Yin et al., 1997; Majeti et al., 2007). The expression of these surface proteins changes during the differentiation process (Figure 3) and facilitates the distinction of different hematopoietic cell subsets. The surface expression pattern defining HSC in mouse and human differs. For murine HSCs CD105 is a well-established marker (Chen et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Roques et al., 2012). There are few reports that CD105 is also present on a subset of human HSCs demonstrating that CD34\textsuperscript{+}CD105\textsuperscript{+} cells show higher long-term culture-initiating cell frequency than the CD105\textsuperscript{-} population (Pierelli et al., 2000; Pierelli et al., 2001). CD105 or endoglin is a component of the transforming growth factor-\( \beta \) (TGF-\( \beta \)) complex (St-Jacques et al., 1994; Warrington et al., 2005) and is involved in the TGF-\( \beta \) signaling pathway, but it is suggested that it has further functions such as being involved in angiogenesis (Li et al., 1999). CD105 is mainly expressed on activated endothelial cells, but is also expressed on other cell types such as activated
macrophages, mesenchymal stem cells (Lin et al., 2013) or progenitor endothelial cells (Nassiri et al., 2011). 72% of the sequence and expression profile between mouse and human is conserved (Letamendía et al., 1998) suggesting that CD105 plays an underrated role in the definition of human HSCs.

Figure 3: Hematopoietic hierarchy and phenotypic markers associated with HSCs. HSCs are defined by the ability of self-renewal and differentiation capacity. During the differentiation process into multipotent and oligopotent progenitor cells, HSCs lose their potential for self-renewal. The various subpopulations are defined by the expression of the indicated surface proteins. HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; MPP, multipotent progenitor; CLP, common lymphoid progenitor; CMP, common myeloid progenitor; MEP, megakaryocyte/erythrocyte progenitor; GMP, granulocyte/macrophage progenitor; EP, erythrocyte progenitor; MkP, megakaryocyte progenitor; GP, granulocyte progenitor; MacP, macrophage progenitor; Pro-DC, dendritic cell progenitor; Pro-B, B-cell progenitor; Pro-T, T-cell progenitor and Pro-NK, natural killer cell progenitor.
1.7 Objective

Restricting gene delivery to therapeutic relevant cells will take in vivo gene therapy one step further. One aim of this thesis was the side by side comparison of LVs and AAV vectors using an identical receptor for cell entry. LV and AAV targeting Her2/neu were chosen, since both vectors present the same targeting ligand on the particle surface, namely the DARPin 9.29, and were well characterized before (Münch et al., 2011; Münch et al., 2013). First, functional and genomic titers of both vector types had to be determined. While functional titers were assessed by transduction of Her2+ cells, a qPCR method that allows quantification of genomic titers of both vector types in parallel was established. In a next step, transduction efficiencies of Her2-AAV and Her2-LV were compared in vitro and in vivo. For the latter, luciferase expression was monitored in a subcutaneous tumor mouse model after systemic administration of either Her2-LV\textsuperscript{luc} or Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{luc} by in vivo imaging. In addition, distribution of the targeted vector particles was analyzed in a mouse model at early time points after vector injection. This first side by side comparison between lentiviral and AAV vectors using the identical cell entry receptor provides insight into performance, distribution and applicability of receptor-targeted lentiviral and AAV vectors.

For genetic modification of HSPCs, LVs are mainly used due to their ability to integrate into the host’s genome ensuring long-term transgene expression. The second part of this thesis investigated the option to transduce a very primitive long-term repopulating subpopulation of human CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells. Few studies suggested that CD105 is expressed on human HSCs (Pierelli et al., 2000; Pierelli et al., 2001). Therefore, the applicability of CD105 as a potential HSC marker was investigated. Expression levels of CD105 on HSCs were analyzed and the subpopulation expressing CD105 was defined in more detail. With the receptor-targeted CD105-LV that was previously shown to transduce specifically CD105 expressing endothelial cells the ability for gene transfer into unstimulated and stimulated CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells was investigated. Long-term transgene expression and differentiation capacity of CD105-LV transduced cells was determined in vitro as well as in vivo and eventually compared to the commonly used VSVG-LV in a competitive setting.

In summary, this thesis demonstrates the potential and possible applications of receptor-targeted gene transfer.
2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1 Material

2.1.1 Equipment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bacteria incubator shaker</td>
<td>Innova™ 4200</td>
<td>New Brunswick Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell incubator</td>
<td>BBD6220</td>
<td>Heraeus, Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell seperator autoMACS® Pro Separator</td>
<td></td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell sorter</td>
<td>BD FACSARia™ III</td>
<td>Becton Dickinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centrifuge</td>
<td>Multifuge X3, Multifuge 3</td>
<td>Heraeus, Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowcytometer</td>
<td>MACSQuant Analyzer</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flowcytometer</td>
<td>LSR II</td>
<td>Becton Dickinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescence microscope</td>
<td>Axiovert 200</td>
<td>Zeiss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel electrophoresis system</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Bio-Rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gel electrophoresis system</td>
<td>Model B3</td>
<td>Owl Separation Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homogenizer</td>
<td>FastPrep®-24 Instrument</td>
<td>MP Biomedicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPLC system</td>
<td>Smartline</td>
<td>Knauer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>in vivo</em> imaging system</td>
<td>IVIS Spectrum</td>
<td>PerkinElmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminar Flow Cabinet Class II</td>
<td>SterilGARD® III</td>
<td>The Baker Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light microscope</td>
<td>Axiovert 25</td>
<td>Zeiss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LightCycler</td>
<td>LightCycler®</td>
<td>Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropipettes</td>
<td>Research plus®</td>
<td>Eppendorf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microplate reader</td>
<td>THERMOMax</td>
<td>Molecular Devices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multichannel pipettes</td>
<td>Finnpipette F2</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.1.2 Kits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name</strong></th>
<th><strong>Supplier</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96-DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNeasy Mini Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAXIscript Kit</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit</td>
<td>Roche</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34 MicroBead Kit, human</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD105 MicroBead Kit, human</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34 MultiSort Kit, human</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FastStart DNA Master(^{\text{PLUS}}) SYBR Green I</td>
<td>Roche Diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABsolute QPCR ROX Mix</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EndoFree Plasmid Maxi Kit</td>
<td>Qiagen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jetstar 2.0 Maxi Kit</td>
<td>Genomed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jetstar 2.0 Giga Kit</td>
<td>Genomed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JETStar NoEndo Jetfilter Giga Kit</td>
<td>Genomed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RETROtek HIV-1 p24 Antigen ELISA</td>
<td>ZeptoMetrix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM(^{\text{T}})-T Easy Vector System</td>
<td>Promega</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# 2.1.3 Buffers and chemicals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Composition/Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAV lysis buffer</td>
<td>50 mM Tris-HCl, 150 mM NaCl in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BTPE</td>
<td>10 mM PIPES, 30 mM Bis-Tris, 10 mM EDTA, pH 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEPC H₂O</td>
<td>0.1 % DEPC in H₂O, Paul-Ehrlich-Institun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Luciferin</td>
<td>Perkin Elmer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA loading buffer 6x</td>
<td>Thermo Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA blocking buffer</td>
<td>PBS, 0.05% Tween-20, 3% BSA, 5% sucrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA washing buffer</td>
<td>PBS, 0.05% Tween-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACS washing buffer</td>
<td>2% FCS in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACS fix buffer</td>
<td>1% formaldehyde in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACS sorting buffer</td>
<td>10 mM HEPES, 2% FCS, 0.5 mM EDTA in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing medium</td>
<td>90% FCS, 10% DMSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glyoxal mix</td>
<td>6 ml DMSO, 2 ml 6 M glyoxal, 1.2 ml 10x BTPE, 0.6 ml 80% glycerine, 0.2 ml ethidium bromide (10 mg/ml)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histopaque®-1077</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OptiPrep®</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS M/K</td>
<td>2.5 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ in PBS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>18 mM polyethyleneimine in H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS running buffer</td>
<td>25 mM Tris, 192 mM Glycine, 1% SDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StemSpan™ CC100</td>
<td>Stem cell technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAE</td>
<td>40 mM Tris, 20 mM Acetic acid, 1 mM EDTA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBS-T</td>
<td>10 mM Tris pH 8.0, 150 mM NaCl, 0.1% Tween-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombopoietin (TPO)</td>
<td>Peptrotech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMB liquid substrate</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MATERIAL

TNE  25 mM Tris pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA
Transfer buffer  48 mM Tris, 39 mM Glycine, 20% Methanol
Triton X-100  Sigma-Aldrich
Trypsin solution  PBS, 2 mM EDTA, 0.25% Trypsin-Melnick
Tween-20  Sigma-Aldrich

2.1.4 Antibodies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>α-AAV intact particle, mouse (A20)</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>1:4</td>
<td>Progen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-mouse-biotin, donkey</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>1:25,000</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptavidin-HRP</td>
<td>ELISA</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Jackson ImmunoResearch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-Her2/neu-PE, mouse</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:20</td>
<td>BD Pharmingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD105-APC</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD34-FITC</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD34-PE</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD133-PE</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD90-PEVio770</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-mCD45-PE</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-hCD45-APC</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD3-PE</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-C19-PE</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>DAKO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD33-PE</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>BD Pharmingen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-CD38-FITC</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FcR blocking reagent, human</td>
<td>FC</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>Miltenyi Biotec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FC, flow cytometry; ELISA, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.
### 2.1.5 Oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5' – 3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GFP-for</td>
<td>GCTACCCCGACCACATGAAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFP-rev</td>
<td>GTCCATGCGAGAGTGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>luc2-for</td>
<td>TTCGGCTGGCAGAGCTATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>luc2-rev</td>
<td>GCTCGCGCTGTTGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>luc3-fw</td>
<td>GTGGTGTCAGCGAGAATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>luc3-rv</td>
<td>CGCTCGTTGTAGATGTCGTTAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>luc3 probe</td>
<td>[6FAM]TTGCAGTTCTTCATGCCCCGTGTTG[BHQ1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ivtr_GFP fw</td>
<td>CGTGACCACCGCTAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ivtr_GFP rv</td>
<td>GCCGTCGCTCTGAAGAGATG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ivtr_luc fw</td>
<td>CTTCGAGATGAGCGTGGCTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ivtr_luc rv</td>
<td>CCATGCTGTTCCAGCAGCTCG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.1.6 Plasmids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pCMVΔR8.91</td>
<td>HIV-1 packaging plasmid</td>
<td>U. Blömer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(Zufferey et al, 1998)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSEW</td>
<td>HIV-1 transfer vector encoding GFP under control of the SFFV promoter</td>
<td>M. Grez (Demaison et al, 2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pSEW-BFP</td>
<td>HIV-1 transfer vector encoding BFP under control of the SFFV promoter</td>
<td>T. Abel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pS-luc2-W</td>
<td>HIV-1 transfer vector encoding luciferase under control of the SFFV promoter</td>
<td>(Abel et al, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pMD2.G</td>
<td>Encodes the VSV glycoprotein</td>
<td>D. Trono</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plasmid/Vector</td>
<td>Description</td>
<td>Reference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCG-Hmut-αCD105</td>
<td>Encodes MV Hmut18 fused to the αCD105-scAb</td>
<td>(Anliker et al. 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCG-FΔ30</td>
<td>Encodes MV F with a truncated cytoplasmic tail of 30 aa under control of the CMV promoter</td>
<td>(Funke et al, 2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pXX6-80</td>
<td>Adenoviral helper plasmid encoding E2A, E4 and VA</td>
<td>H. Büning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pscGFP-SFFV</td>
<td>Self-complementary AAV transfer vector encoding GFP under control of the SFFV promoter</td>
<td>(Münch et al, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pscluc2-SFFV</td>
<td>Self-complementary AAV transfer vector encoding luciferase under control of the SFFV promoter</td>
<td>(Münch et al, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pRC-VP2KO-HSGPmut</td>
<td>Encodes the AAV2 rep and cap proteins with mutated VP2 start codon and the point mutations R585A and R588A</td>
<td>H. Büning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pDARPin-9.29-VP2</td>
<td>Encodes the Her2/neu-specific DARPin 9.29 fused to the N-terminus of the AAV2 VP2 protein containing the point mutations R585A and R588A</td>
<td>(Münch et al, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-T7-GFP</td>
<td>Encodes part of the egfp sequence under the control of the T7 promoter</td>
<td>This thesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pGEM-T7-luc</td>
<td>Encodes part of the luc sequence under the control of the T7 promoter</td>
<td>This thesis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 2.1.7 Bacterial strains and mammalian cells

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Chemically competent bacterial strain</td>
<td>Life Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. coli</td>
<td>Chemically competent bacterial strain (Stop Unwanted Rearrangement Events)</td>
<td>Stratagene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SURE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEK-293T</td>
<td>Human embryonic kidney cell line, genetically engineered to express the SV40 T antigen</td>
<td>ATCC CRL-11268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-OV-3</td>
<td>Human ovarian carcinoma cell line</td>
<td>ATCC HTB-77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.1.8 Culture media

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luria-Bertani (LB) broth</td>
<td>1% tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 1% NaCl in H$_2$O pH 7.2</td>
<td>Paul-Ehrlich-Institut</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.O.C. medium</td>
<td>2% Tryptone, 0.5% yeast extract, 10 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 10 mM MgCl$_2$, 10 mM MgSO$_4$, 20 mM glucose in H$_2$O</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM)</td>
<td>Supplemented with 10% FCS and 2 mM L-glutamine as culture medium for HEK-293T</td>
<td>Lonza</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCoy's medium</td>
<td>Supplemented with 10% FCS and 2 mM L-glutamine as culture medium for SK-OV-3 cells</td>
<td>Sigma-Aldrich</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>StemSpan™ Serum-Free Expansion Medium (SFEM)</td>
<td>Supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine and 0.5% Penicillin-Streptomycin-Fungizone mix as culture medium for human CD34+ cells</td>
<td>Stem cell technologies</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MATERIAL

| MethoCult GF H4434 medium | Supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine and 0.5% Penicillin-Streptomycin-Fungizone mix as culture medium for human CD34⁺ cells in colony forming assays |

2.2 Methods of molecular biology

2.2.1 Transformation of chemically competent bacteria

Chemically competent E. coli Top10 or SURE bacteria were thawed on ice and 50 ng DNA or 2 µl of ligation reaction were added. After 30 min incubation on ice, bacteria were heated to 42°C for 45 sec and then immediately cooled on ice. 500 µl S.O.C medium was added. Bacteria were incubated for 30 min at 37°C and 600 rpm. Subsequently, bacteria were plated onto LB agar plates supplemented with the corresponding antibiotic and incubated overnight at 37°C or for 3 days at 25°C.

2.2.2 Plasmid preparation

Plasmid DNA from transformed bacteria was purified using the GeneJET Plasmid Miniprep Kit, EndoFree Plasmid Maxi Kit or JETStar NoEndo Jetfilter Giga Kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol. 4 ml, 250 ml or 2400 ml LB medium supplemented with the corresponding antibiotics were inoculated with a single bacterial clone and incubated overnight at 37°C. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000 rpm (Miniprep, Multifuge 3) or 6,000 rpm (Maxiprep, Sorvall Rc26 plus) for 15 min or at 4,500 rpm for 1 hour (Gigaprep, Multifuge 3). The concentration of purified DNA was measured photometrically by NanoDrop 2000c. Plasmid preparations were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis (2.2.4) after enzymatic control digest (2.2.3).
2.2.3 Restriction of DNA

All DNA restrictions were performed using restriction endonucleases from New England Biolabs according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 10 µg DNA were mixed with 10 U of the respective restriction enzyme under the required buffer conditions and incubated for 3 hours. For double digestions with enzymes requiring different buffer conditions, the optimal buffer for both enzymes was chosen. For digestions with different incubation temperatures, the restriction reactions were performed sequentially. Restriction samples were applied to agarose gel electrophoresis. If required, the desired DNA fragment was purified from the gel using the GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific).

2.2.4 Agarose gel electrophoresis

Agarose gel electrophoresis allows the separation of DNA or RNA fragments according to their size. For the analysis of DNA, agarose powder was dissolved in TAE buffer by heating. 50 µg/ml ethidium bromide that intercalates into DNA strands was added to the agarose solution. After polymerization on a gel tray, DNA samples were mixed with 6x loading buffer and transferred into the gel pockets. Electrophoresis was performed at 80-100 V in a Bio-Rad WIDE MINI-SUB chamber. Separation of DNA fragments was analyzed under UV light and compared to a 2-log ladder (New England Biolabs).

For visualization of RNA, agarose powder was dissolved in BTPE to a final concentration of 1.5%. Polymerization took place on a gel try. 2 µl of RNA were mixed with 10 µl of glyoxal mix containing ethidium bromide. RNA samples as well as the RibuRuler High Range RNA ladder (Life Technologies) were incubated at 55°C for 30 min and then cooled on ice for 5 min. 5x loading buffer was added and the samples loaded into the gel pockets. Electrophoresis was performed at 120 V for 2 hours in a Owl Separation Systems chamber with buffer recirculation. RNA fragments were visualized under UV light.
2.2.5 Isolation of DNA from agarose gels

Gel pieces containing the DNA fragments of interest were cut out of the agarose gel and DNA was isolated using the GeneJET Gel Extraction Kit (Thermo Scientific) according to the company’s protocol.

2.2.6 Isolation of genomic DNA

Genomic DNA from AAV vector stocks was isolated using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen), total DNA from tissue was purified using the 96-DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. For quantification of AAV genomic titers 10 µl of the AAV vector stocks were diluted with 190 µl PBS and applied to isolation followed by qPCR. 10 mg of spleen and 20 mg of other tissue was used for DNA isolation. Purification of total DNA included treatment of the samples with RNase.

2.2.7 Isolation of total RNA

RNA from lentiviral vector stocks and tissue was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the company’s protocol. 10 µl of LV were used for subsequent quantification of genomic titers. 10 mg of homogenized spleen and 20 mg of other homogenized tissue were applied to RNA purification. An on-column DNase I digest was included into both isolation procedures.

2.2.8 Generation of RNA standard

For the generation of the RNA standard for qPCR, sequences of the transgenes luc or egfp were amplified by polymerase chain reaction and ligated into the pGEM®-T Easy vector (Promega) to introduce a T7 promoter upstream of the gene of interest according to the manufacturer’s instruction. The resulting plasmids were linearized by a single cut after the gene of interest. The linearized plasmid served as template for the in vitro transcription of RNA. RNA was generated using the MAXIscript Kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions including a DNase I digestion. Then, RNA was purified by two rounds of phenol-chloroform extraction and one
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chloroform extraction, followed by two sodium acetate/ethanol precipitations. The purified RNA pellet was resuspended in DPEC H₂O and analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis. The RNA concentration was determined photometrically by NanoDrop 2000c and adjusted to 1x10¹¹ RNA molecules/µl.

2.2.9 Reverse transcription

*In vitro* transcribed RNA and RNA from lentiviral vector stocks or tissue was reverse transcribed using the Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. 1 µl of RNA standard, 3 µl of LV RNA and maximum amounts (up to 5 µg) of total RNA from tissue were incubated with specific primers for 10 min at 65°C to denature secondary RNA structures. The samples were cooled on ice, before reverse transcriptase buffer, enzymes and deoxynucleotide mix were added. After 30 min at 55°C, the reverse transcriptase was inactivated by heating to 85°C for 5 min. The samples were placed on ice and applied to qPCR within 2 hours or stored at -20°C.

2.2.10 Quantitative real-time PCR

Quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) allows the amplification and quantification of a specific DNA sequence by the use of a fluorescence dye. qPCR was used to determine genomic titers of lentiviral and AAV vector stocks as well as vector amounts in tissue. For the quantification of genomic titers of lentiviral and AAV vector stocks, the SYBR Green containing PCR mix FastStart DNA MasterPLUS SYBR Green I (Roche) was used. SYBR Green intercalates into double stranded DNA fragments. For quantification of vector genomes in tissues, a primer/probe set specific for the transgene was used in combination with the ABsolute QPCR ROX Mix (Thermo Scientific) to enhance the signal specificity. At the end of each amplification cycle the fluorescence was measured, whereby an increased fluorescence signal correlated with an increase of the PCR product. Comparison of the crossing points obtained from unknown samples with that of a standard of known concentration, allowed absolute quantification of vector genome content in the samples. As standard for quantification of AAV genomes, a 10-fold serial dilution of the AAV transfer plasmid was used. 10-fold serial dilutions of the RNA standard which was obtained by *in vitro*
transcription were reverse transcribed. The cDNA served as standard to determine the amount of lentiviral RNA in samples. qPCR was performed in either the LightCycler 1.2 or the LightCycler 480 using the conditions specified below.

Table 1: qPCR reaction using SYBR Green

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O, nuclease free</td>
<td>14 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer for (20 pmol/μl)</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer rev (20 pmol/μl)</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FastStart DNA MasterPLUS SYBR Green I (1a+1b)</td>
<td>4 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template DNA or cDNA (isolated from AAV or lentiviral vectors)</td>
<td>1 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: qPCR program using SYBR Green

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial denaturation</th>
<th>95°C</th>
<th>10 min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quantification (40 cycles)</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>10 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64°C</td>
<td>4 s*, 5 s#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>7 s*, 10 s#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting curve</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>0 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>67°C</td>
<td>10 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>0 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*egfp transferring vectors, # luc transferring vectors
Table 3: qPCR reaction using primer/probe set

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Volume</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₂O, nuclease free</td>
<td>6-10 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer for (20 pmol/μl)</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primer rev (20 pmol/μl)</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe</td>
<td>0.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute QPCR ROX Mix (2x)</td>
<td>12.5 μl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template DNA or cDNA</td>
<td>1-5 μl</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: qPCR program using primer/probe set

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial denaturation</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>15 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantification (40 cycles)</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>15 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>64°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>72°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.11 Polymerase chain reaction

To amplify parts of the sequences of either gfp or luc and introduce specific restriction sites to the corresponding DNA fragments, PCR was performed using the primers ivtr_GFP fw and ivtr_GFP rv or ivtr_luc fw and ivtr_luc rv and the Taq DNA polymerase (5 Prime) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Thereby, adenine overhangs at the 3’ ends of the PCR products are introduced for efficient ligation into the pGEM®-T Easy (Promega) vector. The cycling details are specified below.
Table 5: PCR program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial denaturation</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>2 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amplification (20 cycles)</td>
<td>95°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>62°C</td>
<td>30 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>12 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final extension time</td>
<td>68°C</td>
<td>10 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.12 Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)

Numbers of physical particles within lentiviral and AAV vectors stocks were determined by ELISA. Quantification of LV particle numbers was based on the amount of p24 protein in lentiviral vector stocks. p24 protein was quantified using the RETROtek HIV p24 antigen ELISA kit (Zeptometrix) according to the company’s protocol.

For AAV capsid quantification, Maxisorp immunoplates were coated with serial dilutions of AAV vector stocks overnight at 4°C. The following day, plates were washed three times with ELISA washing buffer and blocked with ELISA blocking buffer for 2 hours at room temperature. The α-AAV2-capsid antibody was diluted 1:4 in blocking buffer and added to the bound vector particles for one hour. After three washing steps, the α-mouse Biotin-conjugated secondary antibody was incubated on the samples for one hour at room temperature. The plate was washed again and then incubated with streptavidin-HRP diluted in ELISA blocking buffer. The plate was washed three times with ELISA washing buffer and three times with water. For detection of coated capsids, the TMB liquid substrate system and a microplate reader were used according to the manufactures’ instructions. A previously analyzed vector preparation served as standard.
2.3 Cell culture and virological methods

2.3.1 Cultivation of cell lines

Cells were cultivated in the adequate medium (2.1.8) in a cell culture incubator at 37°C, 5% CO2 and 90% humidity. Cells were split twice a week. Adherent cells were washed with PBS and detached using 0.25% trypsin solution. The adequate fraction of the cell suspension was transferred to a new cell culture flask and fresh medium was added. Cell lines were checked for mycoplasma contamination by PCR regularly.

2.3.2 Freezing and thawing of cultured cells

Adherent cell lines were detached as described in 2.3.1, resuspended in cell culture medium and centrifuged at 800 rpm, 4°C for 4 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in cold freezing medium, aliquoted and frozen at -80°C using a 5100 Cryo Freezing container (Nalgene). The following day, cells were transferred to the gas phase of liquid nitrogen for long-term storage.

Frozen cells were thawed in a 37°C water bath and transferred into pre-warmed culture medium in a fresh cell culture flask. The next day, medium was refreshed.

2.3.3 Production and purification of vector particles

Lentiviral and AAV vector particles were produced by transient transfection of HEK-293T cells by polyethylenimine (PEI). DNA and PEI form positively-charged complexes that bind to the cell surface. DNA/PEI is endocytosed and released into the cell cytoplasm. 1.4x10^5 HEK-293T cells per cm^2 cell culture vessels were seeded. The following day, medium was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 15% FCS and 3.2 mM glutamine. 0.2 mg DNA/cm^2 and 0.8 ml PEI/cm^2 were diluted in DMEM and vortexed. Both solutions were combined and vortexed thoroughly. The DNA/PEI mixture was incubated for 20 min at room temperature and then added to the cells. After 24 hours, medium was refreshed.

For the production of LVs, lentiviral vector particles were harvested from the supernatant 48 hours post transfection. The supernatant was collected and filtered
through a 0.45 µm PTFE filter. Vector particles were purified and concentrated by centrifugation either at 100,000 x g for 3 hours at 4°C or at 4,400 x g for 24 hours at 4 °C both through a 20% sucrose cushion. LVs were resuspended in PBS, aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

AAV vector producer cells were scraped off the cell culture dish and spun down at 1,000 rpm for 7 min. Cell pellets were resuspended in AAV lysis buffer. After three freeze/thaw cycles, cell lysate was incubated with benzonase at 37°C for 30 min. Cell debris were removed by centrifugation for 20 min at 3700 x g and 4°C. For purification by density centrifugation, vector containing supernatant was diluted with PBS M/K and transferred to a Quick-Seal® ultracentrifugation tube (Beckman Coulter). The supernatant was underlain consecutively with 9 ml 15%, 6 ml 25%, 5 ml 40% and 6 ml 60% OptiPrep™. After 2 hours centrifugation at 63,000 and 4°C, AAV vectors were recovered from the 40% OptiPrep™ phase, aliquoted and stored at -80°C.

2.3.4 Transduction of adherent cell lines and titration of vectors

The day before transduction, 8x10³ cells were seeded into a single well of a 96-well plate. For titration, vector stocks were diluted in medium (2-fold serial dilutions of AAV vectors, 10-fold serial dilutions of LVs) and added to the cells. The percentage of GFP expressing cells was determined by flow cytometry 72 hours after transduction with LVs and 96 hours after transduction with AAV vectors. For titer calculations fractions between 2 and 20% GFP+ cells were considered. In addition, for the quantification of functional AAV titers, the cell proliferation rate was taken into account. For the transduction of cell lines, the required amount of vectors was diluted in medium and added to the cells. Analysis of the percentage of GFP+ cells was performed 72, respectively, 96 hours post transduction.

2.3.5 Isolation of human CD34⁺, respectively, CD105⁺ cells from mobilized peripheral blood

G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood was obtained from stem cell donations with written consent of the donors and in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (IRB permit 329/19). CD34⁺ cells
were isolated by positive selection using \( \alpha \)-CD34 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) according to manufacturer’s instructions. CD34 specific antibodies conjugated to magnetic beads were incubated with the blood at 4°C for 30 min, labeling CD34+ cells magnetically. Then, the cells were applied to a column in a magnetic field, retaining the magnetically labeled CD34+ cells while unlabeled cells were washed off the column. After releasing the column from the magnetic field, CD34+ cells were eluted. Magnetically labeled antibodies remained bound to the cell surface. Cells were pelleted at 1,200 rpm for 5 min and transferred into stem cell culture medium (2.1.8). CD105+ cells were purified from mobilized peripheral blood using CD105 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) as described for CD34+ cells, however, the incubation time at 4°C was 15 min.

For isolation of CD34+ cells with subsequent purification of CD105+ cells, the CD34 MultiSort Kit (Miltenyi Biotec) was used according to the company’s protocol. The principal is the same as for the isolation of CD34+ cells from mobilized peripheral blood only, but includes a step for the release of the magnetic beads from the primary antibodies and a second magnetic labeling of the eluted cells from the first purification round. The \( \alpha \)-CD34 antibodies that were bound to the cells during the first labeling remained bound to the cells, but only the magnetic beads were released from the cell. The microbeads conjugated to the \( \alpha \)-CD105 antibodies of the second magnetic labeling remained bound to the cell surface as well.

2.3.6 Cultivation and stimulation of HSPCs

Unstimulated CD34+ cells were cultured in StemSpan serum free expansion medium (Stemcell Technologies) supplemented with 0.5% Penicillin-Streptomycin-Fungizone Mix (PromoCell) and 2 mM glutamine. 5x10⁴ cells were seeded per well of a 96-well plate. For stimulation of the cells the medium was supplemented with StemSpan CC100 cytokine cocktail (Stemcell Technologies) and 2 µg/mL thrombopoietin (TPO) (Peprotech). After 24 hours, the cytokine-free medium of unstimulated cells was replaced by cytokine containing medium. CD105+ cells from mobilized peripheral blood were cultivated in the same way.
2.3.7 Transduction of HSPCs

5x10⁴ CD34⁺ cells/well were transduced in 96-well plates by spinfection at 1,200 rpm and 32°C for 90 min. CD34⁺ cells for animal experiments were transduced in 24- or 12-well plates in the correlating cell density. Transduction of cells took place either immediately after isolation (unstimulated) or after overnight incubation in cytokine containing medium (stimulated). LVs were diluted in the corresponding medium and added to the cells. The final transduction volume was filled up to 250µl/well of a 96-well plate. Seventy-two hours post transduction, GFP expression was analyzed by flow cytometry.

2.3.8 Colony forming assay

The colony forming assay (CFA) is used to enumerate and assess colonies derived from myeloid multipotential progenitors and committed progenitors of the erythroid, monocyte and granulocyte lineages. Hematopoietic progenitor cells are applied to semi-solid methylcellulose medium supplemented with growth factors and cytokines that allows the progenitors to proliferate and differentiate into colonies of mature cells (Pereira et al., 2007). 1.5x10³ transduced or untransduced primary human CD34⁺ cells were transferred into 3 ml MethoCult GF H4434 medium (Stemcell Technologies, Cologne, Germany) and plated in triplicates. After 10 days at 37°C and 95°C humidity, total and GFP⁺ colonies were counted and morphologically classified by fluorescence microscopy.

2.3.9 Analysis of cells by flow cytometry and fluorescence activated cell sorting

Flow cytometry is a laser-based technique that allows, based on scattered and emitted light, the characterization of cells on the basis of their size, morphology and fluorescence intensity. Additionally, expression of specific proteins can be assessed by binding of fluorophore labeled antibodies. Adherent cells were detached by incubation with PBS-Trypsin (PBS, 100mM EDTA, 0.25% Trypsin-Melnick). Cells were washed once with FACS washing buffer by centrifugation at 800 rpm for 4 min. Then, cells were either fixed immediately using FACS fix buffer or stained with
antibodies (2.1.4). After antibody staining, cells were washed once and fixed using FACS fix buffer. Primary human or murine cells were washed once with FACS washing buffer by centrifugation at 1,200 rpm for 4 min. Afterwards, cells were stained (2.1.4) or fixed with FACS fix buffer. Samples were measured using either the BD LSR II SORP (Becton-Dickinson) or the MACSQuant® Analyzer 10 (Miltenyi Biotec) and analyzed using the FCS Express V4 software (De Novo software).

For fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) of CD105⁺ cells, cells from mobilized peripheral blood were washed with PBS, stained with α-CD105-APC antibody and washed again. CD105 high expressing cells were collected in sorting buffer, washed once and resuspended in StemSpan serum free expansion medium supplemented with 0.5% Penicillin-Streptomycin-Fungizone Mix and 2 mM glutamine.

**2.3.10 Annexin V/propidium iodide staining**

For determination of apoptotic and necrotic cells, cells were stained with annexin V and propidium iodide (PI). Annexin V binds to phosphatidylserine that translocates to the extracellular cell membrane upon apoptosis induction. The cell membrane of late apoptotic and necrotic cells becomes permeable which enables PI to intercalate into the DNA. Thereby, early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells can be distinguished. CD34⁺ cells transduced with either CD105-LV<sup>GFP</sup> or VSVG-LV<sup>GFP</sup> were washed once with annexin binding buffer and then resuspended in annexin binding buffer. Cells were mixed with annexin V-APC (BD Bioscience) and incubated for 15 min at room temperature in the dark. After another washing step, cells were resuspended in annexin binding buffer. PI (Miltenyi Biotec) was added to the samples immediately before cells were analyzed by flow cytometry.

**2.3.11 Competition assay**

For the competition of CD105-LV with soluble CD105 protein, vector particles were incubated with increasing amounts of the extracellular domain of CD105 protein (sCD105) at 37°C for 30 min. Then, CD34⁺ cells were transduced with the vector-protein mixtures by spinfection. Seventy-two hours post transduction, GFP expression was determined by flow cytometry.
2.3.12 Serum stability assay

Serum from mice was collected and pooled. One part of the serum was heat-inactivated by incubation at 56°C for 30 min and then cooled on ice briefly. Heat-inactivated and non-heat-inactivated serum was added to Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} and Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} in increasing concentrations and incubated at 37°C for 30 min. Afterwards, SK-OV-3 cells were transduced with the serum-vector mixtures. Ninety-six hours post transduction, GFP expression was determined by flow cytometry.

2.3.13 Expression of soluble CD105 protein

Soluble CD105 (sCD105) protein was used for a competition assay with CD105-LV. The recombinant protein was expressed in HEK-293T cells, following PEI transfection of cells with the plasmid encoding the extracellular domain of CD105, fused to a Fc-tag (kindly provided by Irene Schneider and Gundula Braun). After 24 hours, the transfection medium was replaced with Panserin A medium. Forty-eight and 72 hours post transfection sCD105 containing supernatant was collected, filtered through a 0.45 mm filter and stored at 4°C. sCD105 was purified from the supernatant by HPLC purification by binding of the Fc-tag to protein A. Purified protein was dialyzed against PBS at 4°C for 8 hours and additional 12 hours.

2.3.14 Isolation of blood, BM and spleen cells from mice

For the isolation of BM cells, tissue was removed from femur and tibia. The bones were disinfected with 70% ethanol and the joints on both ends were cut off. The BM was flushed out of the bones using PBS, a 5 ml syringe and a 27G cannula. BM cells were resuspended in PBS and passed through a 40 µm cell strainer. After centrifugation for 10 min at 1,200 rpm, remaining red blood cells were removed using the BD Pharm Lyse™ lysing solution (BD Bioscience) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Cells were washed once with PBS, resuspendend in FACS washing buffer and stained with antibodies for flow cytometry analysis.

For the isolation of splenocytes, the spleen was transferred into a cell culture dish containing PBS and mashed using the plunger of a 5 ml syringe. The cell suspension
was passed through a 40 μm cell strainer. Afterwards, it was continued as for the preparation of BM cells.

Blood collected from mice was washed once with PBS. BD Pharm Lyse™ lysing solution (BD Bioscience) was used for erythrocyte lysis according to the company’s protocol. Cells were washed with PBS, resuspended in FACS wash buffer and then stained with antibodies for flow cytometry analysis.

2.4 Experimental mouse work

Animal experiments were performed according to the German animal protection law and are approved by the responsible institutional ethical committee.

2.4.1 Repopulation of NSG mice with human CD34⁺ cells

5-6 week old NOD-scid IL2Rγ⁻/⁻ mice were sublethally irradiated at 1.8 or 2 Gy. After 4 hours, 0.5-1.7x10⁶ transduced or untransduced CD34⁺ cells purified from mobilized peripheral blood were injected via the tail vein using a 30G cannula. Seven days before to 7 days after the cell transplantation, mice received 2.5% bytril in their drinking water.

2.4.2 Subcutaneous injection of SK-OV-3 cells

SK-OV-3 cells were cultured and detached as described above and centrifuged at 1,200 rpm for 7 min. Afterwards, cells were washed once with PBS. 1x10⁷ cells were resuspended in 100 µl PBS and aliquoted. 6-7 week old athymic nude Fox mice (Harlan) were anesthetized with 2.5% isofluran using a XGI-8 Gas Anestesia System (Caliper Life Sciences). Tumor cells were gently vortex before they were injected subcutaneously into the right flank using a 23G cannula. During the procedure mice were kept on a heating plate.
2.4.3 Administration of vector particles

Concentrated and purified lentiviral and AAV vectors were diluted in PBS to the anticipated vector concentration. Vector suspensions were administered by intravenously injection using a 30G cannula.

2.4.4 In vivo imaging

In vivo imaging allows visualization of bioluminescence signals in a non-invasive way. Mice were injected intraperitoneally with 150 mg D-Luciferin/kg body weight (Perkin Elmer) using a 30G cannula. After 5 minutes, mice were anesthetized with 2.5% isofloran using a XGI-8 Gas Anesthesia System (Caliper Life Sciences). Then, mice were transferred to the IVIS® Imaging System 200 (Caliper Life Sciences) and imaging signals were analyzed 9 minutes after substrate injection. Analysis of the data was performed using the Living Image 4.2 software (Caliper Life Sciences).
3. RESULTS

This thesis describes in its first part the side by side comparison of Her2/neu-targeted lentiviral and AAV vectors. Quantification of genomic, physical and functional titers of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV revealed that approximately 10-fold more functional particles than genomes are present in the analyzed Her2-AAV vector stocks which was also confirmed in vitro and in a xenograft tumor mouse model.

Making use of the lentiviral vector’s capability to integrate into the host cell genome, the second part of this thesis describes an approach for targeted gene transfer into human hematopoietic stem cells. Once surface expression of CD105 on HSCs was confirmed, transduction of CD34+ cells using the CD105-targeted LV was investigated. Transduced cells showed long-term stable gene expression and superior engraftment potential in NSG mice compared to VSVG-LV transduced cells. Thereby, CD105 was confirmed to serve as phenotypic marker on early HSCs.

3.1 Side by side comparison of receptor targeted lentiviral and AAV vector stocks

Gene delivery by receptor targeted viral vectors restricts gene transfer to specific cell populations. For gene delivery into Her2/neu positive cells, receptor targeted AAV and lentiviral vectors were generated and well characterized (Münch et al., 2011; Münch et al., 2013). Both vectors display the DARPin 9.29, directed against the tumor antigen Her2/neu. Her2/neu is a type 1 receptor tyrosine kinase belonging to the epidermal growth factor family and is over-expressed on various cancer cells such as pancreatic, ovarian and breast cancer cells (Slamon et al., 1987; Slamon et al., 1989; Hall et al., 1990). Since both vectors mediate gene transfer via the same targeting ligand, in particular the DARPin 9.29, Her2-LV and Her2-AAV were compared side by side in regard to titers, transduction efficiencies and biodistribution in vivo.
3.1.1 Quantification of titers of lentiviral and AAV vector stocks

First, genomic, physical and functional titers of Her2-LV and Her-AAV were quantified.

3.1.1.1 Genomic titers

To quantify genome copies within vector stocks a suitable quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR) method was established. Sequences within the transgenes, egfp or luciferase (luc), were selected as amplification target. This allows determination of genomic titers of LV and AAV vector stocks within one single qPCR run, avoiding run-to-run variabilities. Absolute quantification by qPCR requires internal standards. For determination of AAV vector titers, the DNA standard was generated by serial dilution of the transfer plasmid (Münch et al., 2013) as described previously (Rohr et al., 2002). Genomic titers of LVs were quantified by use of a RNA standard that was generated by in vitro transcription and reverse transcribed in parallel with the lentiviral RNA.

3.1.1.1.1 Establishing the qPCR

For quantification of genomic titers of LVs by qPCR, a RNA standard was generated by in vitro transcription. As target sequence for amplification by qPCR, sequences within the transgene (egfp or luc) were chosen since these are present in both Her2-LV and Her2-AAV vectors. By cloning of the adequate DNA fragment from either pSEW (egfp) or pS-luc-W (luc) into the pGEM-T easy plasmid (Promega), a T7 promoter was introduced upstream of the target sequence that was then used for the generation of the RNA standard by in vitro transcription using the MAXIscript Kit (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer’s instructions. In a first approach, the generated RNA was purified by DNase I digest only, followed by two rounds of sodium acetate/ethanol precipitation. In the next approach, two rounds of phenol-chloroform and one additional chloroform extraction were included after the DNase I digest. The purity of RNA was confirmed by agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 4A). The concentration of the RNA standard was adjusted to $10^{11}$ RNA molecules/µl. Serial 10-fold dilutions of the RNA standard were applied to reverse transcription
using specific primers. As a control, RNA from each dilution step was applied to the reaction missing the reverse transcriptase (-RT). Afterwards, cDNA of the RNA standard samples +/- RT were amplified by qPCR using SYBR green (Figure 4B).

![Figure 4: RNA standard for quantification of genomic lentiviral titers generated by in vitro transcription.](image)

(A) RNA standards after purification on glyoxal-agarose gel. (B) Agarose gel of PCR products of reverse transcribed luc RNA standard. G⁺, GFP RNA standard; L⁺, luc RNA standard; G⁻, L⁻, RNA standard negative controls: no enzyme present in in vitro transcription reaction.

cDNA from $10^7$ to $10^{11}$ reverse transcribed RNA molecules showed fluorescence signals in a linear range, whereas less than $10^7$ RNA molecules, which is equivalent to approximately 1pg RNA, led to amplification of unspecific sequences (Figure 5). In addition, only serial 10-fold dilutions from $10^{11}$ to $10^7$ molecules led to differences between two crossing points that were close by the theoretically expected difference of 3.32, assuming the efficiency of the qPCR is 100%. Furthermore, -RT-samples showed differences to the crossing points of their corresponding +RT-sample from 15 to 19 cycles, when the RNA standard was phenol-chloroform extracted after in vitro transcription (Figure 5). This correlates to a negligible residual DNA contamination of $10^{4.5}$ to $10^8$ DNA molecules, originating from the preparation of the RNA standard. In contrast, without additional phenol-chloroform extraction the differences between +RT and –RT-samples were only about 5 to 11 cycles. Therefore, the linear range from $10^{11}$ to $10^7$ RNA molecules allowed reliable quantification for LV RNA using the phenol-chloroform extracted RNA standard.
RESULTS

Figure 5: Quantitative real-time PCR of RNA standard. RNA standard was generated by in vitro transcription. A serial dilution of the RNA standard molecules was reverse transcribed and analyzed by qPCR. (A) RNA standard which was additionally purified by phenol-chloroform extraction. Reverse transcription took place in the presence (black) or absence (grey) of the reverse transcriptase. (B) RNA standard generated without phenol-chloroform extraction.

3.1.1.1.2 Quantification of genomic titers of by qPCR

Quantification of vector genome copies (gc) within a vector stock does not require transduction of cells, but is assessed by qPCR. cDNA, that was reverse transcribed from LV RNA, as well as DNA, isolated from AAV stocks, were applied to qPCR. As amplification sequence, a sequence which is identical in both vector types is required. Therefore, sequences within the transgene, namely egfp or luc, were chosen. Using a RNA standard and DNA standard as described in chapter 3.1.1.1 allowed absolute quantification of genomic titers of LV and AAV vector stocks in parallel (Figure 6).
RESULTS

Figure 6: Schematic procedure of quantification of genomic titers. DNA was isolated from AAV, RNA from LV vector stocks. While the standard for the quantification of AAV titers was generated by serial 10-fold dilution of the transfer plasmid, the RNA standard was generated by in vitro transcription. The in vitro transcribed RNA fragments were reverse transcribed into cDNA in parallel to the isolated RNA from LV stocks. Purified AAV DNA as well as cDNA from LV RNA and the RNA standard were applied to qPCR. Genomic titers of lentiviral and AAV vector stocks were determined by comparison with the respective standards.

On average, Her2-AAV<sup>GFP</sup> stocks contained 1.46x10<sup>11</sup> gc/ml (± 8.6x10<sup>10</sup> gc/ml; N=4), whereas genomic titers of Her2-LV<sup>GFP</sup> stocks were about 10-fold higher showing on average 1.35x10<sup>12</sup> gc/ml (± 1x10<sup>12</sup> gc/ml; N=3) assuming that each lentiviral particle contains two RNA genome copies. The same 10-fold difference was detected in vector stocks encoding luciferase with 2.25x10<sup>11</sup> gc/ml (± 3x10<sup>10</sup>; N=2) in Her2-AAV<sup>luc</sup> stocks and 2.2x10<sup>12</sup> gc/ml (± 2x10<sup>12</sup>; N=4) in Her2-LV<sup>luc</sup> stocks.

Table 6: Genomic titers of Her2-LV or Her2-AAV vector stocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Her2-LV&lt;sup&gt;GFP&lt;/sup&gt; [gc/ml]</th>
<th>Her2-AAV&lt;sup&gt;GFP&lt;/sup&gt; [gc/ml]</th>
<th>Her2-LV&lt;sup&gt;luc&lt;/sup&gt; [gc/ml]</th>
<th>Her2-AAV&lt;sup&gt;luc&lt;/sup&gt; [gc/ml]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 1</td>
<td>7.3x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.9x10&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.8x10&lt;sup&gt;10&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.5x10&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 2</td>
<td>8.1x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.7x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.6x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.0x10&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 3</td>
<td>2.5x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.5x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.5x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 4</td>
<td>7.3x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.0x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.1.2 Quantification of physical titers

Physical titers define the amount of physically intact particles within a vector stock. Physical titers include functional and non-functional or empty particles without transgene. LV particles were assessed by p24 ELISA which determines the amount of p24 protein per vector stock. Assuming that approximately 2000 p24 proteins are contained within one LV particle (Wilk et al., 2001), the number of particles within a vector stock was calculated. Particles in AAV vector stocks were assessed by capsid ELISA. Comparison with a standard vector with known particle concentration allowed calculation of particle numbers. On average, Her2-LV<sup>GFP</sup> vector stocks contained 7.0x10<sup>12</sup> particles/ml (± 6x10<sup>12</sup>; N=3), accounting for approximately 10-fold more particles than Her2-AAV<sup>GFP</sup> vector stocks which comprise 7.8x10<sup>11</sup> particles/ml (± 6.9x10<sup>11</sup>; N=4). No significant difference was observed between GFP or luciferase transgene containing particles (p=0.1932 (LV), p=0.8752 (AAV)). 2.4x10<sup>12</sup> particles/ml (± 2x10<sup>12</sup>; N=4) were detected in Her2-LV<sup>Luc</sup> and 8.8x10<sup>11</sup> (± 5e11; N=2) within Her2-AAV<sup>Luc</sup> vector stocks.

**Table 7: Physical titers of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV vector stocks**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Her2-LV&lt;sup&gt;GFP&lt;/sup&gt; particles/ml</th>
<th>Her2-AAV&lt;sup&gt;GFP&lt;/sup&gt; particles/ml</th>
<th>Her2-LV&lt;sup&gt;Luc&lt;/sup&gt; particles/ml</th>
<th>Her2-AAV&lt;sup&gt;Luc&lt;/sup&gt; particles/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 1</td>
<td>3.7x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.3x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.6x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.2x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 2</td>
<td>3.5x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.8x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.6x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.2x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 3</td>
<td>1.4x10&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.1x10&lt;sup&gt;11&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>4.9x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 4</td>
<td>1.8x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.4x10&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.1.3 Quantification of functional titers

Genomic and physical titers include functional and non-functional vector particles. Vector particles encoding fluorescent transgenes allow the determination of functional titers by assessing the fluorescence of transduced cells. 10 to 0.01 µl of concentrated Her2-LV<sup>GFP</sup> and Her2-AAV<sup>GFP</sup> vector stocks were added to Her2-positive SK-OV-3 cells. This cell line was selected since it was previously shown to be susceptible to Her2-LV and Her2-AAV in vitro as well as in vivo (Münch et al.,
RESULTS

2011; Münch et al., 2013) and also to form subcutaneous tumors in nude mice (Goyeneche et al., 2007). Four days post transduction, GFP expression was determined by flow cytometry. On average, the functional titer of Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} was $7.8 \times 10^6$ tu/ml (N=3). Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} stocks contained approximately 2.5 more functional particles resulting in $2 \times 10^7$ tu/ml (N=4). As expected, the mean fluorescence intensity for cells transduced with AAV was in general lower than for LV transduced cells (see also Figure 8).

Table 8: Functional titers of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV vector stocks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} [tu/ml]</th>
<th>Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} [tu/ml]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 1</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$2.3 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 2</td>
<td>$4.7 \times 10^6$</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 3</td>
<td>$1.4 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>$9.8 \times 10^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vector stock 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^7$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.1.4 Comparison of functional, genomic and physical titers

Having quantified genomic, physical and functional titers of Her2-targeted LV and AAV vector stocks, these titers were compared. This revealed that physical titers of both vector types are higher than functional and genomic titers. Between 2- and 20-fold more AAV capsids than genomes were detected indicating the presence of 50 to 95% empty particles in the individual vector preparations. LV vector stocks contained approximately 5-fold more physical particles than genomes. Genomic and physical titers were about 10-fold higher in LV vector stocks, compared to AAV vector stocks. In contrast, the number of tu/ml was increased in AAV vectors approximately 2.5-fold (Figure 7 A). Therefore, AAV vector stocks that were analyzed here, consist of about 10,000-fold more genomes than transducing units, whereas this excess of non-functional particles in LV vector stocks was even more than 100,000-fold (Figure 7 B).
Figure 7: Comparison of functional, genomic and physical titers of Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} and Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP}. Functional titers were determined by transduction of SK-OV-3 cells with Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} and subsequent analysis of GFP expressing cells by flow cytometry. Genomic titers were assessed by qPCR of reverse transcribed LV RNA and AAV DNA. Particle numbers per ml were measured by either p24-ELISA or capsid ELISA. (A) Titers of Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} (N=3) and Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} (N=4) vector stocks. (B) The fold excess of non-functional, but genomes within Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} and Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} vector stocks. LV N=3; AAV N=4; mean±SD.

3.1.2 Transduction of Her2\textsuperscript{+} SK-OV-3 cells with Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} and Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} \textit{in vitro}

After quantification of genomic and functional titers, transduction efficiencies of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV were compared \textit{in vitro}. SK-OV-3 cells were transduced with either Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} or Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} at identical multiplicity of infection (MOI) or genomic particles per cell (GOI). Ninety-six hours post transduction the expression levels of GFP were determined by flow cytometry. Transduction of cells by Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} at a MOI of 1 resulted in 7.3% (±1.5%; N=3) GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells. In contrast, a transduction efficiency of 6.9% (± 0.04; N=2) was achieved by transduction of SK-OV-3 cells with Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} at a MOI of 0.1 (Figure 9). As described in chapter 3.1.1.3, the mean fluorescence intensity of AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} vector transduced cells was lower than that of LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced cells (Figure 8).
Figure 8: Representative flow cytometry plots of SK-OV-3 cells transduced with Her2-LV	extsubscript{GFP} or Her2-AAV	extsubscript{GFP}. SK-OV-3 cells were transduced at matching MOI (A) or GOI (B). Ninety-six hours post transduction; GFP expression was determined by flow cytometry. As it can be seen in the untransduced cells, the GFP signal intensity for cells transduced with Her2-AAV	extsubscript{GFP} was amplified by applying higher voltage compared to cells transduced with Her2-LV	extsubscript{GFP}.

On average, AAV vector stocks contained about 10-fold more functional particles within the same amount of genome copies than LV stocks (Figure 7). Therefore, normalizing both vector types to the same genome copies led to higher transduction efficiency in cells transduced with Her2-AAV	extsubscript{GFP}. Comparing transduction efficiencies of MOI and GOI values, it can be concluded that a MOI of 1 corresponds to 5,000 GOI of Her2-AAV	extsubscript{GFP}. On the contrary, transduction of SK-OV-3 cells by Her2-LV	extsubscript{GFP} at a MOI of 1 correlates to 150,000 GOI (Figure 9). These data demonstrate that approximately 3 times more genome copies of Her2-LV are required to match the number of GFP expressing cells obtained with Her2-AAV. Considering this fact, the theoretical amount of functional particles within the number of genome copies used for transduction by either Her2-LV	extsubscript{GFP} or Her2-AAV	extsubscript{GFP} was determined. This
calculation confirmed that about 10-fold more transducing AAV than functional LV particles are required to obtain comparable transduction efficiencies.

Figure 9: Transduction of SK-OV-3 cells by either Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP}. SK-OV-3 cells were transduced by Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} at different MOI or GOI. Four days post transduction the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for GFP expression. LV N=2; AAV N=3; mean±SD.

3.1.3 Biodistribution of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV in a subcutaneous tumor mouse model

3.1.3.1 Particle distribution at early time points after vector administration

Having analyzed the transduction efficiencies of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV \textit{in vitro}, the particle distribution at early time points after vector administration, when no transgene expression has occurred yet, was studied \textit{in vivo}. Athymic nude mice were injected with the same gc number (2×10\textsuperscript{10}) per mouse for both vector types, one additional group was implemented receiving 2×10\textsuperscript{11} gc Her2-LV\textsuperscript{Luc} (high vector dose). For analysis of the distribution of the vector particles, blood and urine were collected and organs (spleen, liver, lung, heart, kidneys) and tumors were isolated 4, 10 and 24 hours after intravenous vector administration. The distribution of Her2-LV\textsuperscript{Luc} in mice within the high vector dose group was analyzed after 4 hours. Total RNA was
isolated from the homogenized organs of LV injected mice using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen), whereas DNA was isolated using the 96-DNeasy blood and tissue Kit (Qiagen) from tissue of AAV injected mice. RNA was transcribed into cDNA. By qPCR, LV and AAV genomes within the isolated DNA and cDNA were quantified by the use of RNA and DNA standards. In contrast to the determination of AAV and LV titers, quantification of vector genomes from organs was performed by using a luciferase specific, FAM labeled primer/probe set. Since considerable less luciferase DNA was detectable within the organs compared to pure vector stocks, the use of SYBR green resulted in unspecific fluorescence signals as confirmed by melting curve analysis (data not shown). Using the TaqMan-probe-based assay, no false positive signals were measurable.

In tissue isolated from LV injected mice, the highest genome copy number was found in lung at all analyzed time points. In lung and tumor the detectable gc numbers slightly increased after 8 hours, compared to the 4 hour time point, but dropped again after 24 hours. Twenty-four hours post vector administration, the signals in spleen increased, but the gc detectable in heart, kidney and liver decreased below detection limit in the three organs and tumor tissue. Comparable signals were found in tumor and spleen, however, signals in lung were even increased compared to tumor signals. Nevertheless, the differences between the three time points as well as between the various organs remained not significant (p>0.05) comparing mice injected with the same gc numbers. No LV gc were detectable in blood and urine at all analyzed time points. When, 10 times more LV gc were injected, signals were approximately 25-fold higher in lung and even 30-fold higher in tumor tissue than in mice injected with $2\times 10^{10}$ gc (Figure 10).
RESULTS

Figure 10: Biodistribution of vector particles after systemic administration of Her2-LV. Mice transplanted with subcutaneous SK-OV-3 tumors were injected with either 2x10^{10} or 2x10^{11} genome copies of Her2-LV_{luc}. After 4, 8 and 24 hours, the mice were sacrificed and blood and urine collected and the indicated organs isolated. The LV genome copies within the organs were determined by qPCR using a luciferase-specific primer/probe set. Absolute genomic copies per µg tissue are shown. No LV genomes were detectable within blood or urine. N=3; mean±SD. Nd, not detectable.

In mice injected with Her2-AAV_{luc} significantly more vector genome copies were detected in spleen than in the other organs. The signals obtained for different time points did not significantly differ within the organs except for significant lower gc numbers within spleens 24 hours after vector administration (p<0.05). In addition, less vector DNA was recovered in liver 24 hours post vector administration, indicating de-targeting of the natural liver tropism of AAV-2 wild type. While signals from AAV injected mice were mostly comparable with signals from LV injected mice in lung, signals from AAV injected mice were 20-300-fold increased in spleen, kidney or liver. Within tumor tissue approximately 20-fold more AAV DNA was found compared to LV RNA (Figure 10; Figure 11).
Figure 11: Biodistribution of vector particles after systemic administration of Her2-AAV. Mice transplanted with subcutaneous SK-OV-3 tumors were injected with $2 \times 10^{10}$ genome copies of Her2-AAV$luc$. After 4, 8 and 24 hours the mice were sacrificed and blood and urine collected and the indicated organs isolated. The LV genome copies within the organs were determined by qPCR using a luciferase-specific primer probe set. Absolute genome copies per µg tissue are shown. No AAV genomes were detectable within urine. N=3; mean±SD.

3.1.3.2 Monitoring the biodistribution of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV by in vivo imaging

After investigating the distribution of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV at early time points after vector administration, the transgene expression in mice was analyzed over the period of 12 days by in vivo imaging. $2 \times 10^{10}$ or $2 \times 10^{11}$ gc Her2-LV$luc$ and $2 \times 10^{10}$ gc Her2-AAV$luc$ were injected systemically into nude mice bearing subcutaneous SK-OV-3 tumors. Luciferase expression in animals was monitored from day 3 to day 12. On day 12, the strongest luciferase signals were detectable in tumor tissue of two of the three mice that were injected with the high genome copy number ($2 \times 10^{11}$) of LV. Both mice showed some off-target signals in the spleens. In the third mouse of this group, the luciferase signals were weaker compared to the rest of the mice and therefore they are not visible in Figure 12. Nevertheless, tumor signals in this mouse were measurable from day 3 to day 12 and spleen signals starting from day 6. One mouse showed a dominant signal with the highest signal intensity on the right side of the chest (Figure 12; blue arrow) which was present starting day 6. The source of the strong signal could not be identified, since none of the isolated organs or inner skin parts showed signals after sacrificing the mouse. No tumor cells were detectable at this part of the body. By in vivo imaging no luciferase signals were detectable in mice that had received the low genome copy number ($2 \times 10^{10}$) Her2-LV, which is
RESULTS

comparable to the injected AAV genome copy number. Mice injected with Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{luc} showed tumor specific luciferase expression and in one animal some off-targeting effects in the chest region (Figure 12).

![Image of luciferase expression](image1)

**Figure 12: In vivo imaging of SK-OV-3 tumor bearing nude mice.** SK-OV-3 cells were transplanted subcutaneously into athymic nude mice (red arrows). After tumor vascularization, 2x10\textsuperscript{10} or 2x10\textsuperscript{11} genome copies of Her2-LV\textsuperscript{luc} or 2x10\textsuperscript{10} genome copies of Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{luc} were administered systemically. Twelve days after vector injection, luciferase expression in mice was analyzed by in vivo imaging. The luciferase signal intensity is expressed as photons/second/square centimeter/steradian (p/sec/cm\textsuperscript{2}/sr). Blue arrow points out the unidentified signal.

Immediately after in vivo imaging, mice were sacrificed and luciferase signals in the isolated tumors and organs measured. Imaging of the organs confirmed results from in vivo imaging. Strongest luciferase expression was detected in tumors of mice injected with high genome copy number of LV, followed by signals from tumors from AAV injected mice. Increasing the sensitivity for luciferase signals revealed that also in the spleens of mice injected with the low LV dose luciferase was expressed, but to a lower extent than in mice that received the high LV dose. However, in these mice no tumor signals were detectable (Figure 13). Although, in AAV injected mice some off-targeting effects in the chest region were visible during in vivo imaging, hardly any
RESULTS

Luciferase signals in heart or lung were measurable. In addition, the highest luciferase expression was detected in Her2-positive tumor tissue in mice injected with either AAV or the high LV dose. Based on the luciferase expression in athymic nude mice *in vivo*, these results suggest that AAV is more efficient in transduction compared to LV, when the same amount of genome copies for both vector types is injected per mouse.

### Figure 13: Imaging of organs from SK-OV-3 tumor bearing nude mice
SK-OV-3 cells were transplanted subcutaneously into athymic nude mice. After tumor vascularization, 2x10^10 or 2x10^11 genome copies of Her2-LV^luc^ or 2x10^10 genome copies of Her2-AAV^luc^ were administered systemically. Twelve days after vector injection, luciferase expression in mice was determined by *in vivo* imaging. Afterwards, the luciferase signal in isolated tissues was analyzed. The luciferase signal intensity is expressed as photons/second/square centimeter/steradian (p/sec/cm^2/sr). Organs are identified by the capital letter below the tissue. T, tumor; H, heart; S, spleen; L, lung; Li, liver; K, kidney.

#### 3.1.4 Serum stability

To assess, how much the biodistribution of LV or AAV was influenced by sensitivity towards serum, the stability of both vector types was tested. For this purpose, serum from several athymic nude-Foxn1^nu^ mice that had been used for the biodistribution
experiments was collected and pooled. These mice are T-cell deficient but are normal in B-cell function (Harlan Laboratories). The serum was pre-incubated with either Her2-LV\(^{\text{GFP}}\) or Her2-AAV\(^{\text{GFP}}\) in cell culture medium in different concentrations at 37°C for 30 min. Then, the serum-vector mixture was added to SK-OV-3 cells. Transduction efficiency was determined by flow cytometry 96 hours later and normalized to the transduction efficiency of vector without serum addition. Furthermore, part of the serum was heat-inactivated prior to incubation with the vectors. Transduction efficiency was reduced to 70-80% by addition of 2.5% serum to the medium for both vector types. Increasing concentrations of serum reduced the transduction efficiencies to approximately 60% for LV as well as for AAV transduced cells. Nevertheless, this reduction was not significant. In addition, no significant difference between both vector types was observed (Figure 14). Heat-inactivation of serum showed comparable results to transduction of cells as in the presence of not heat-inactivated serum (data not shown). However, addition of more than 5% serum to the medium had a negative effect onto the growth of the cells which might affect the transduction of cells. These results demonstrate that the biodistribution of either LV or AAV particles is not significantly influenced by neutralization within the used mouse model.

![Graph]

Figure 14: Transduction efficiency of either LV or AAV is not significantly impaired by serum of athymic nude mice. Her2-LV\(^{\text{GFP}}\) or Her2-AAV\(^{\text{GFP}}\) were incubated with the indicated concentrations of mouse serum at 37°C for 30 min. Afterwards, the mixtures were added to SK-OV-3. Ninety-six hours later, the number of GFP-positive cells was determined by flow cytometry and transduction efficiencies were calculated in relation to cells transduced in the absence of serum. N=2; mean±SD.
3.2 Targeted gene transfer into human hematopoietic stem cells

Having demonstrated different aspects of targeted gene transfer by lentiviral and AAV vectors the focus of the second part of this thesis is on the selective and stable gene transfer into HSCs by LVs.

Genetic modification of HSCs is commonly achieved by using VSVG-pseudotyped lentiviral or retroviral vectors. Due to its broad tropism while maintaining a low risk for insertional mutagenesis especially VSVG-LV has shown promising results in several clinical studies (Naldini, 2011; Aiuti et al., 2013; Biffi et al., 2013). Nevertheless, efficient transduction of HSCs with VSVG-LV requires extensive stimulation of the cells and high vector dose whereby several days of ex vivo cultivation is necessary. Therefore, we investigated whether targeting a receptor specific for early HSCs may represent an alternative transduction approach.

3.2.1 Expression of CD105 on human HSCs

CD105 is a well-established marker on murine HSCs (Chen et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2003; Roques et al., 2012) and Pierelli et al. report that CD105 is also present on subsets of human HSCs (Pierelli et al., 2000; Pierelli et al., 2001). Therefore, CD105 surface expression on unstimulated and stimulated human HSCs was analyzed since ex vivo expansion and gene modification of HSCs often requires stimulation with cytokines, by which the duration of ex vivo culture is prolonged and which might facilitate proliferation of different HSC subsets. Human CD34⁺ cells were purified using magnetic beads by positive selection from mobilized peripheral blood. CD105 surface expression on unstimulated cells or cells stimulated overnight with cytokines was analyzed by flow cytometry. Donor dependently, CD105 expression varied between 30 – 80% (mean = 60%) in unstimulated cells. The expression levels further increased to 95% after overnight stimulation with cytokines (Figure 15). In addition, frozen BM CD34⁺ cells (Lonza) as well as CD34⁺ isolated from cord blood showed similar results (data not shown). This demonstrates that the common endothelial marker CD105 is also expressed on human HSCs.
3.2.2 Transduction of HSCs using CD105-LV

3.2.2.1 Transduction of CD34⁺ cells by CD105-LV<sub>GFP</sub>

In the host laboratory, the CD105-targeted vector CD105-LV has been generated previously and was shown to selectively transduce CD105⁺ endothelial cells in mixed cultures of CD105⁺ and CD105⁻ cells (Anliker et al., 2010). In addition, exclusive gene transfer into CD105-positive liver sinusoidal endothelial cells in mice reconstituted with human liver cells was demonstrated upon systemic administration of CD105-LV (Abel et al., 2013).

Here, the ability of CD105-LV to mediate gene transfer to HSCs was analyzed. CD34⁺ cells were transduced with CD105-LV transferring the marker gene <i>egfp</i> (CD105-LV<sub>GFP</sub>). The vectors were added to the cells either directly after purification from mobilized peripheral blood or after overnight stimulation with cytokines. Seventy-two hours post transduction the expression levels of GFP were determined by flow cytometry. CD105-LV<sub>GFP</sub> transduced 3 – 5% of unstimulated CD34⁺ cells and up to 20% of stimulated CD34⁺ cells (Figure 16). All of the GFP⁺ cells were also CD105⁺ (Figure 16A) demonstrating specificity of the targeting vector.

Figure 15: Surface expression of CD105 on human CD34⁺ cells. After purification from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood using CD34 MACS beads, CD34⁺ cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for their CD105 surface expression either immediately or after stimulation for 24 hours with Flt-3 ligand, stem cell factor, IL-3, IL-6 and TPO. (A) Representative FACS plots of CD34⁺/CD105⁺ cells before and after stimulation. (B) Mean±SD of CD105 expression on CD34⁺ cells from seven individual donors (Kays et al., 2015).
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Figure 16: Transduction of unstimulated and stimulated human CD105+/CD34+ cells by CD105-LV<sub>GFP</sub>. Unstimulated or stimulated CD34<sup>+</sup> cells isolated from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood were transduced by CD105-LV<sub>GFP</sub> at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. Seventy-two hours after transduction the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry for expression of GFP and CD105. (A) Representative FACS plots of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells transduced with or without previous stimulation of cells. (B) Mean±SD of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells of seven independent experiments (Kays et al., 2015).

To further characterize the transduced cells, the cells were checked for the presence of additional surface markers known to define early HSCs. Approximately 90% of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells were also positive for the HSC marker CD133 and about 60% for the HSC marker CD90. In addition, the majority (approximately 70%) of transduced cells were CD38<sup>-</sup> (Figure 17), a surface marker that is present on more committed progenitor cells. These data demonstrate that CD105 is expressed on a more primitive subset of HSCs.
3.2.2.2 Transduction of CD105 enriched cells by CD105-LV<sub>GFP</sub>

After confirming that CD105 is expressed on early HSCs it was analyzed if isolation of CD105<sup>+</sup> cells from mobilized peripheral blood is as effective as purification of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells.

Enrichment of either CD34<sup>+</sup> or CD105<sup>+</sup> cells from mobilized peripheral blood by magnetic activated cell sorting was compared. The CD34<sup>+</sup> cell population consisted exclusively of cells which showed the typical morphology of HSPCs in subsequent cell culture as determined microscopically. In contrast, the cell population that was only enriched for CD105<sup>+</sup> was also composed of cells that could morphologically not be assigned to HSPCs (Figure 18). This indicates that CD105 as exclusive marker for...
HSC purification from mobilized peripheral blood is not sufficient, but may require additional marker for HSC isolation.

Therefore, enrichment of CD105+ cells within the CD34+ cell population was investigated. CD34+ cells purified from mobilized peripheral blood were either subjected to a second round of purification for CD105 using magnetic activated cell sorting or CD105high expressing cells were isolated from CD34+ cells by fluorescence activated cell sorting. Both methods enriched cells with HSPC morphology, however, purification of CD34+CD105+ cells using the MACS Multisort system led only to marginal enrichment of CD105+ cells within the CD34+ cell population. In addition, these approaches required binding of anti-CD105 antibodies to the cells. Thereby, subsequent transduction of cells by CD105-LV GFP was inhibited (Figure 19). As a consequence, the approach to further enrich CD105+ cells within the CD34+ cell population was not followed up further.
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Figure 19: Transduction of either CD34⁺ or CD105⁺ purified cells. CD34⁺ or CD105⁺ cells were isolated from mobilized peripheral blood by magnetic activated cell sorting. Stimulated cells were transduced by CD105-LV GFP at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1. Seventy-two hours after transduction, GFP expression was determined by fluorescence microscopy. 200-fold magnification.

3.2.2.3 Specificity of CD105 dependent transduction

To confirm the specificity of CD105 dependent transduction of CD34⁺ cells we pre-incubated CD105-LV GFP with soluble CD105 protein (sCD105). The extracellular domain of CD105 was fused to an Fc-Tag (plasmid kindly provided by Irene Schneider and Gundula Braun) and expressed in HEK-293T cells. After HPLC purification sCD105 was added in increasing amounts to either CD105-LV GFP or VSVG-LV GFP. In addition, also an Fc tagged control protein (sCD30) was added to CD105-LV GFP. After pre-incubation of vectors and proteins the vector-protein mixture was used for transduction of CD34⁺ cells isolated from mobilized peripheral blood. Pre-incubation of sCD105 with the targeting vector lead to reduced transduction efficiency correlating with the amount of protein used. Incubation with 2 µg of sCD105 resulted in a decrease of transduction of 95%. In contrast, pre-incubation with the control protein sCD30 did not influence the transduction efficiency significantly. Also pre-incubation of sCD105 with VSVG-LV GFP did not reduce the transduction efficiency (Figure 20). Moreover, binding of magnetic or fluorophore labeled anti-CD105 antibodies to CD34-purified cells as described in 3.2.2.2 inhibited...
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transduction of these cells by CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} (Figure 19). These results clearly demonstrate that binding of CD105-LV to CD105 on the cell surface is necessary for the CD105-LV mediated transduction of CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 20: Transduction of CD34-purified cells by CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} is blocked by soluble CD105.** The Fc-tagged extracellular part of CD105 (sCD105) or that of CD30 were added to CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} in the indicated amounts. After 30 min incubation at 37 °C the vector-protein mixtures were added to primary human CD34-purified cells at a multiplicity of infection (MOI) of 1 for CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} and an MOI of 5 for VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP}. Seventy-two hours later, the number of GFP-positive cells was determined by flow cytometry and the transduction efficiencies were calculated in relation to those obtained in absence of the soluble proteins. N = 2; mean±SD (Kays et al., 2015).

3.2.3 Stable transgene expression in vitro

To achieve long-lasting gene therapy it is essential that transduction of cells is stable and does not influence the self-renewal and differentiation capacity of HSC. Therefore, we analyzed the long-term gene expression and the multi-lineage potential of CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced cells in vitro and in vivo.

The colony forming cell assay (CFA) is a validated tool to determine changes in the proliferation or differentiation capacity of hematopoietic progenitor cells in vitro. Hematopoietic progenitor cells are applied to semi-solid methylcellulose medium supplemented with cytokines and growth factors that enable the progenitors to proliferate and differentiate into colonies of mature cells. Thus, myeloid multipotential progenitors and committed progenitors of the erythroid, monocyte, and granulocyte lineages can be enumerated and assessed according to their morphology (Pereira et
al., 2007). Therefore, CFA with CD34+ cells transduced either with CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} was performed. CD34+ were purified from mobilized peripheral blood and transduced with either CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP}. Seventy-two hours post transduction the fractions of GFP+ cells were determined by flow cytometry and cells applied to the CFA. After 10 days, the numbers of GFP+ colonies and the type of colony were determined microscopically. All hematopoietic lineages that can be assessed with that assay were detected after colony formation. No significant difference was observed in the differentiation of cells derived from mock, VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} and CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced cells indicating that the transduction with none of the vectors led to an altered differentiation capacity \textit{in vitro} (Figure 21).

![Figure 21: Long-term expression of the transgene in CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced human HSCs \textit{in vitro}. A colony forming assay was performed with CD34+ cells purified from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood that were either transduced with CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} (MOI 1), VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} (MOI 5) or medium (mock). After 10 days incubation, the percentages of the indicated hematopoietic lineages were determined by fluorescence microscopy. Mean distribution±SD of all colonies is shown in white, mean distribution ± SD of GFP+ colonies is shown in grey. Also the percentages of GFP+ colonies in relation to the total colonies are indicated. One representative experiment out of three is shown. BFU-E = burst-forming unit-erythroid; CFU-G = colony-forming unit-granulocyte; CFU-M = colony-forming unit-macrophage; CFU-GM = colony-forming unit-granulocyte, macrophage; CFU-GEMM = colony-forming unit-granulocyte, erythroid, macrophage, megakaryocyte; MOI = multiplicity of infection (Kays et al., 2015).]

Interestingly, the percentage of GFP+ colonies was higher than the percentage of GFP+ cells before CFA. This was especially pronounced for cells transduced with
CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} which showed an average increase of 89.7% (N=3; SD ± 14.6) compared to 29.3% (N=3; SD ± 13.5) for cells transduced with VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} (Figure 22).

![Figure 22: GFP expression of CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells and their derived colonies.](image)

These data show that CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} targets a HSC population with extensive proliferative and multipotent colony forming capacities.

### 3.2.4 Stable transduction of CD105-LV transduced cells in repopulated NSG mice

The majority of cells giving rise to colonies in colony forming assays consists of more committed progenitor cells whereby not all hematopoietic lineages as well as primitive hematopoietic stem cells can be assessed in that short-time assay. Long-term replicating hematopoietic stem cells are typically identified by repopulation of conditioned mice with human cells. The murine hematopoietic system is usually destroyed by irradiation followed by transplantation of human HSCs. Then, true HSCs that are capable of self-renewal allow restoring of the hematopoietic system over months.

Therefore, it was next investigated in close collaboration with Kerstin B. Kaufmann and Manuel Grez (Georg-Speyer-Haus, Frankfurt) whether CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells transduced
with CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} are able to repopulate NSG mice. The expression of GFP within transduced cells allows not only the detection of gene modified cells after several months but also detailed analysis of the lineage distribution of transduced cells. Thereby, it can be also checked if transduction with CD105-LV alters the self-renewal as well as differentiation capacity of gene marked cells.

Fresh CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells were isolated from human mobilized peripheral blood. After overnight stimulation with cytokines cells were transduced with CD105-LV at a MOI of 1. The following day, cells were washed with PBS once and injected intravenously into sublethally irradiated NOD-scid IL2R\textsuperscript{γc}/-/- mice. Some of the cells were kept for \textit{in vitro} culture to determine the fraction of GFP\textsuperscript{+} cells by flow cytometry 72 hours post transduction. 7-18 weeks after transplantation, blood, spleen and bone marrow cells were isolated from mice and analyzed by flow cytometry. Engraftment of human cells varied from 13 to 69% in BM and spleen and between 5 and 25% in blood within the individual animals (Figure 23A). Human CD45\textsuperscript{+} cells were further analyzed in regard to lineage distribution and GFP expression. As expected from this humanized mouse model the majority of human cells were CD19\textsuperscript{+}, but also CD3\textsuperscript{+} and CD33\textsuperscript{+} cells were detected in BM (Figure 23B) and spleen (Figure 23C). In addition, 10 – 15% of human cells in the bone marrow expressed the common HSC marker CD34 (Figure 23B) indicating self-renewal capacity of the engrafted cells.

**Figure 23: Stable engraftment and repopulation of CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced human HSCs \textit{in vivo}.** Six weeks old NSG mice (N = 9) were irradiated at 1.8 or 2 Gy. Four hours after conditioning 1.2 - 1.7x10\textsuperscript{6} CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} transduced human CD34\textsuperscript{+} cells that were isolated from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood were transplanted intravenously. 7 - 18 weeks post transplantation cells were isolated from BM, spleen und blood and analyzed by flow cytometry. (A) Percentage of human CD45\textsuperscript{+} cells in relation to all CD45\textsuperscript{+} cells. (B) Lineage distribution of human CD45\textsuperscript{+} cells in BM and (C) spleen (Kays et al., 2015).
The percentages of GFP\(^+\) cells ranged between 5 and 22% in BM, spleen and blood (Figure 24A) and reflected the fractions of GFP expressing cells initially transplanted into mice (Figure 24B). These data demonstrate that the transgene expression remained stable up to 18 weeks and that the transduced cells engrafted efficiently in mice. Moreover, cells from all hematopoietic lineages were detected within the GFP\(^+\) cells from BM (Figure 24C) and spleen (Figure 24D). Unfortunately, the numbers of human cells that were recovered from murine blood were too low for further reliable lineage analysis. Notable, there were no significant differences in the fractions of GFP expressing cells in each hematopoietic lineage in BM (Figure 24C) and spleen (Figure 24D) indicating that transduced cells preserved the ability to differentiate into the different lineages. This argues for the fact that CD105-LV transduces not progenitor cells but indeed HSCs.
Figure 24: Stable engraftment and repopulation of CD105-LV<sup>GFP</sup> transduced human HSCs in vivo. Six weeks old NSG mice (N = 9) were irradiated at 1.8 or 2 Gy. Four hours after conditioning 1.2 - 1.7x10<sup>6</sup> CD105-LV<sup>GFP</sup> transduced human CD34<sup>+</sup> cells that were isolated from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood were transplanted intravenously. 7 - 18 weeks post transplantation cells were isolated from BM, spleen and blood and analyzed by flow cytometry. (A) Percentages of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells within the engrafted human CD45<sup>+</sup> cell population in BM, spleen and blood. (B) GFP expression in human CD34<sup>-</sup> purified cells 72 h post-transduction (pre-transplantation) and in engrafted human CD45<sup>+</sup> cells (7 - 18 weeks post-transplantation) in BM and spleen; ns = not significant. Comparable percentages of GFP<sup>+</sup> cells in each lineage in human CD45<sup>+</sup> engrafted (C) BM and (D) spleen cells; differences not significant according to 1-way ANOVA analysis: p=0.2752 (BM), p=0.1254 (spleen). BM = bone marrow; h = human (Kays et al., 2015).

3.2.5 Competitive repopulation of CD105-LV or VSVG-LV transduced HSCs in NSG mice

Next, the repopulation capacities of CD34<sup>+</sup> cells transduced with either CD105-LV or VSVG-LV were compared in a competitive setting in vitro and in vivo. CD105 or VSVG pseudotyped vector particles transferring either GFP or BFP were generated, resulting in four different vector types: CD105-LV<sup>GFP</sup>, CD105-LV<sup>BFP</sup>, VSVG-LV<sup>GFP</sup> and
RESULTS

VSVG-LV_{BFP}. By having both pseudotypes with both transgenes one can exclude that expression of one of the transgene causes more cell stress than the other. Then, CD34^+ cells were transduced with one of the vectors without pre-stimulation but in the presence of cytokines aiming to obtain matching transduction efficiencies of around 5% transduced cells. On the next day, CD105-LV_{GFP} and VSVG-LV_{BFP} as well as CD105-LV_{BFP} and VSVG-LV_{GFP} transduced cells were mixed in a 1:1 ratio resulting in two different cell pools each consisting of GFP^+, BFP^+ and untransduced cell populations. From these cell pools aliquots were taken for a prolonged in vitro culture, whereas the majority of cells were transplanted into sublethally irradiated NOD-scid IL2R_{γc}^-/- mice (0.5 to 1.0x10^6 mobilized CD34^+ cells per mouse, N = 7). 48 h post transduction the marker gene expression was analyzed by flow cytometry (Figure 25).

![Figure 25: Experimental setting of competitive repopulation experiment.](image)

CD34^+ cells were isolated from mobilized peripheral blood and transduced with either CD105-LV_{BFP} or VSVG-LV_{GFP} (or vice versa) in the presence of cytokines. On the next day, cells were pooled in a 1:1 ratio and either cultured further in vitro or transplanted into sublethally irradiated NSG mice. Levels of marker gene expression in cells of the prolonged in vitro culture were determined by flow cytometry. Expression of marker genes as well as various cells surface markers from cells isolated from engrafted mice were analyzed by flow cytometry 8 weeks post transplantation.

Corresponding with the anticipated transduction efficiency of 5% we detected for both vectors approximately 2.5 – 3% of marker positive cells (Figure 26 A). In both combinations a slightly higher percentage of gene marking was observed for cells transduced by VSVG-LV as indicated by ratios of 1.3 and 1.1 (%VSVG-LV transduced cells/%CD105-LV transduced cells). From day 2 to day 10 every other day samples from the pooled cell populations were analyzed for marker gene
expression by flow cytometry. GFP/BFP expression mediated by CD105-LV was maintained to a similar percentage throughout the prolonged cell culture, whereas a loss of 60% of marker gene positive cells was detected for VSVG-LV transduced cells (Figure 26B). This demonstrates that CD105-LV provides transduction of cells that are maintained and/or proliferate throughout prolonged cell culture.

![Figure 26: Competitive repopulating capacity of CD105-LV vs. VSVG-LV transduced HSCs in vitro](image)

CD34+ cells purified from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood were transduced either with CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP}, CD105-LV\textsuperscript{BFP}, VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} or VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{BFP}. The next day VSVG-LV\textsuperscript{BFP} and CD105-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} and vice versa transduced cells were mixed to equal parts. (A) Representative FACS plot after pooling of the cells 48 h post transduction. (B) \textit{In vitro} monitoring of transgene expression in triplicates of both pseudotype and transgene combinations normalized to the percentage of transduced cells 48 h post transduction; mean±SD; differences are significant on day 6 (p=0.004), day 8 (p=0.0024) and day 10 (p=0.0004). GFP = green fluorescent protein; BFP = blue fluorescent protein (Kays et al., 2015).

Eight weeks after transplantation bone marrow and spleen cells were isolated from the humanized mice. All animals showed moderate to high levels of human cell engraftment (%humanCD45\textsuperscript{+}/%totalCD45\textsuperscript{+}: 41.1±11.8% (BM); 30.0±6.6% (spleen)) (Figure 27A). Further analysis revealed that similar to the repopulation experiment described in 3.2.4 the majority of human CD45\textsuperscript{+} cells were CD19\textsuperscript{+} (Figure 27B, C). Cells transduced with CD105-LV showed transgene expression in all hematopoietic lineages without significant differences (mean values of 2-4%) correlating or even exceeding the initial percentage of marker positive cells that were transplanted. In contrast, cells transduced with VSVG-LV showed less than 2% of marker gene expression cells meaning a loss compared to the initial input. The only exception was CD33\textsuperscript{+} splenocytes maintaining the fraction of marker gene positive cells (Figure 27D - F).
Figure 27: Engraftment and lineage distribution of human cells in NSG mice in competitive repopulation experiments. CD34+ cells purified from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood were transduced either with CD105-LV\(_{\text{GFP}}\), CD105-LV\(_{\text{BFP}}\), VSVG-LV\(_{\text{GFP}}\) or VSVG-LV\(_{\text{BFP}}\). The next day VSVG-LV\(_{\text{BFP}}\) and CD105-LV\(_{\text{GFP}}\) and vice versa transduced cells were mixed to equal parts. 0.5 - 0.6 x 10^6 cells/mouse were injected intravenously. Eight weeks post transplantation cells were isolated from BM and spleen and analyzed by flow cytometry for human and murine CD45 expression as well as for expression of human lineage markers and transgene expression (GFP+ or BFP+ = marker+) in the hCD45+ population; filled circles = CD105-LV; open circles = VSVG-LV. (A) Engraftment of human cells in BM and spleen. Lineage distribution of human CD45+ engrafted BM (B) and spleen (C) cells. (D) Engraftment of CD105-LV transduced cells is significantly enhanced in spleen (p=0.009) compared to VSVG-LV transduced cells; no significant differences in the distribution of marker-positive cells in each lineage of human CD45+ engrafted BM (D) and spleen (F) cells (one-way ANOVA analysis: p=0.3326 (BM, CD105-LV), 0.5484 (BM, VSVG-LV), p=0.0816 (spleen, CD105-LV), p=0.0871 (spleen, VSVG-LV)). GFP = green fluorescent protein; BFP = blue fluorescent protein; BM = bone marrow; h = human (Kays et al., 2015).

Comparing the ratios of gene-marking retrieved \textit{in vivo} (post-transplantation) to marker positive cells pre-transplantation resulted in ratios of approximately 1 in BM and spleen upon transduction with CD105-LV while ratios for VSVG-LV were significantly lower (Figure 28A). To further demonstrate the difference in performance between both vector types the ratio of CD105-LV to VSVG-LV transduced cells within each individual animal was determined and set in proportion to the corresponding input cell population. Thus, values above 1 indicate a repopulation advantage of cells.
transduced by CD105-LV. This revealed in BM a 29-fold and in spleen a 9-fold superior engraftment of cells transduced by CD105-LV compared to cells transduced with VSVG-LV demonstrating that CD105-LV facilitates transduction of unstimulated CD34+ HSCs that are able to repopulate NSG mice at sustained levels (Figure 28B). These results suggest CD34+/CD105+ cells have a high repopulating capacity in NSG mice and confirm that CD105 can serve as a phenotypic marker of early human HSCs.

Figure 28: Competitive repopulating capacity of CD105-LV vs. VSVG-LV transduced HSCs in vivo. (A) Cells transduced with CD105-LV showed stable expression of the transgene reflected by the ratio of gene-marked cells at final analysis compared to the initial transduction efficiency. The respective ratios for VSVG-LV were significantly lower as analyzed with the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test; * = p<0.05 [p=0.0313 (BM); p=0.0156 (spleen)]. (B) The relative ratio was obtained by dividing the ratio of the different pseudotype transduced cells (CD105-LV/VSVG-LV) within one individual animal (in vivo) by the corresponding ratio obtained 48 h post transduction (in vitro). Values above 1 indicate superior performance of CD105-LV compared to VSVG-LV. Mean fold change in BM and spleen are specified. Significance according to Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test; * = p<0.05 [p=0.0313 (BM); p=0.0156 (spleen)] is shown. BM = bone marrow; tx = transplantation; h = human (Kays et al., 2015).

3.2.6 Vector mediated toxicity on CD34+ cells

Lentiviral transduction might lead to some cell stress or toxicity. To address this issue vector mediated cell toxicity was investigated. CD34+ cells were transduced with either CD105-LV\(^{GFP}\) or VSVG-LV\(^{GFP}\) aiming to obtain the same transduction efficiencies with both vectors. Forty-eight hours after transduction we analyzed the fractions of early apoptotic and late apoptotic/necrotic cells by annexin V/PI staining. Annexin V binds to phosphatidylserine that translocates to the extracellular cell
membrane upon apoptosis induction. Cells undergoing early apoptosis are only stained with annexin V, whereas late apoptotic or necrotic cells are stained for both annexin V and PI. Cells transduced with CD105-LV showed significantly more apoptotic cells (mean: 11.2 ± 1.9%) than the mock transduced cells (mean: 5.3 ± 1.4%) (Figure 29). However, more than 80% of the CD105-LV transduced apoptotic cells were in the early apoptotic phase and some of these might recover and not enter the late apoptotic status. No significant differences were detected between VSVG-LV and mock transduced cells as well as between CD105-LV and VSVG-LV transduced cells. Therefore, it can be excluded that the superior engraftment potential of CD105-LV transduced cells that was observed in chapter 3.2.6 was due to a more toxic effect of VSVG-LV on the cells, but rather that CD105-LV transduces a more primitive subset of CD34⁺ cells.

Figure 29: Apoptosis induction upon transduction. CD34⁺ cells purified from G-CSF mobilized peripheral blood were transduced either with CD105-LV^GFP or VSVG-LV^GFP resulting in similar transduction efficiencies of 11-18%. After 48 h the fractions of early and late apoptotic/necrotic cells were determined by annexin V/PI staining. Early and late apoptotic cells as well as necrotic cells were included into analysis of vector mediated toxicity. According to one-way ANOVA no significant differences were observed between both mock and VSVG-LV transduced cells and between CD105-LV and VSVG-LV transduced cells. CD105-LV transduced cells showed significantly more apoptotic cells (p<0.05) compared to the mock control (Kays et al., 2015).
4. DISCUSSION

4.1 Side by side comparison of lentiviral and AAV vectors

The comparison between two different vector types for their gene transfer activities is not at all trivial, since lentiviral and AAV vector constructs differ in many aspects such as their structural organization, mode of gene expression and entry receptors used. Additionally, LVs integrate the transgene into the host cell’s genome allowing stable transgene expression, while AAV vectors are present in the cell episomal. Therefore, the normalization of lentiviral and AAV vector particles for a convincing side by side comparison is critical. Normalization can be based on physical, genomic or functional titers. Genomic titers include vector particles containing vector genomes, but comprise also non-functional particles that contain genetic information, but are not able to transduce target cells or lead to transgene expression. Quantification of genomic titers is performed by quantitative real-time PCR. While the DNA from AAV vectors can be isolated and used for qPCR directly, LV RNA has to be reverse transcribed into cDNA for qPCR first. Physical titers can be determined by ELISA and include empty and non-functional particles. Functional titers are determined by transduction of cells expressing the entry receptor of the respective vector. Thus, comparing different vectors that do not use the identical entry receptor bias the quantification of functional titers. While VSVG-pseudotyped LVs can transduce a variety of cells, since the VSV receptor is expressed on most of the mammalian cells, the transduction ability of recombinant AAV vectors depends on the natural tropism of the AAV serotype used for capsid formation. Therefore, comparison of different AAV vector serotypes is already challenging. Comparing different vector types, such as lentiviral and AAV vectors, is even more difficult. Nevertheless, several studies previously compared the performance of lentiviral and AAV vectors in various gene transfer approaches in terms of efficacy, safety and biodistribution.

One of these studies describes the in vitro identification of the best vector for the transduction of various lung cancer cells for a potential anti-cancer treatment. Five recombinant AAV vectors generated from the AAV2 backbone combined with the capsid proteins of the AAV1, AAV4, AAV5 or AAV8 serotypes were compared to VSVG-LV and normalized to identical MOIs. The AAV vectors transduced 6 out of 11
cell lines, while VSVG-LV was able to transduce 10 different cell lines efficiently at low vector doses. This was attributed to the natural tropism of the AAV serotypes. In addition, transduction with AAV vectors required the use of higher vector doses. The vector doses were adjusted to functional particles, however, the functional titers for both vector types were determined by the transduction of different cell lines (Chen et al., 2013). Thereby, normalization based on functional titers is precarious. Altering the natural tropism of vectors circumvents the titer variability based on different cell tropism. This can be achieved by using receptor-targeted vectors that bind to the same cell entry receptor. Quantification of functional titers of lentiviral and AAV vectors by transduction of the same cell line was demonstrated in this thesis.

In another study, aiming at identifying the best vector for gene therapy of hemophilia B, Vandendriessche et al. generated AAV8, AAV9 and lentiviral vectors transferring factor IX (FIX) from the same hepatocyte-specific expression cassette. Normalized to genome copies, lentiviral gene transfer into FIX-deficient mice resulted in stable transgene expression at low therapeutic levels, while the use of AAV serotypes 8 and 9 led to supraphysiological FIX expression levels. LV-based FIX expression did evoke a short-term proinflammatory cytokine induction, while this was not observed in AAV vector injected mice. However, AAV9 vector resulted in unexpectedly high transgene expression in the heart, emphasizing the adequate choice of capsid serotype of AAV vectors (Vandendriessche et al., 2007). By the use of receptor-targeted vectors using the same entry receptor, the natural tropism of vectors is altered and gene transfer is restricted to specific cell types. In vivo application is therefore not biased by different cell tropism of vectors. This allows the comparison of different vector types in terms of distribution, transgene expression or potential immune responses.

So far, studies comparing lentiviral and AAV vectors have focused mainly on the comparison of the performance of lentiviral and AAV vectors in specific gene therapeutic applications (Harvey et al., 2002; Ahmed et al., 2004; Vandendriessche et al., 2007; Vande Velde et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2013; Joyeux et al., 2014). All of the LVs included in these studies were pseudotyped with VSVG, whereas binding of the AAV vectors to cells was achieved by the natural tropism of the capsid AAV serotypes. In this thesis, receptor-targeted lentiviral and AAV vectors presenting the same targeting ligand, namely the DARPin 9.29, on the particle


### 4.2 Side by side comparison of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV

Lentiviral and AAV vectors are the preferred tools in current gene therapeutic approaches. Depending on the desired application, one system may be preferable over the other. In this thesis, receptor-targeted lentiviral and AAVs using the same receptor for cell entry were compared side by side for the first time. Lentiviral and AAV vectors expressing the same targeting ligand on the particle surface, namely the DARPin 9.29, which mediates gene delivery into Her2/neu-positive cells, had been generated and characterized before (Münch et al., 2011; Münch et al., 2013). In this thesis, genomic, physical and functional titers of several Her2-LV and Her2-AAV vector stocks were analyzed and both vector types compared *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

The quantification of genomic titers was based on a qPCR method using an amplification target sequence within the transgene to allow titer determination of both Her2-LV and Her2-AAV within one single qPCR run. On average, Her2-AAV\(^{GFP}\) vector stocks contained \(1.46 \times 10^{11}\) gc/ml. Hence, the genomic titers are similar to that of AAV2 wild-type vectors and correspond to those of previous Her2-AAV vector preparations (Münch, 2013). The average genomic titer of Her2-LV\(^{GFP}\) vector stocks was \(1.35 \times 10^{12}\) gc/ml, thus, approximately 10-fold more genomes were present than in Her2-AAV\(^{GFP}\) vector stocks. However, an amplification target within the transgene was selected for the qPCR, so, it cannot be excluded that contaminating mRNA transcripts from the producer cells had been packaged into the vector particles or co-concentrated, resulting in an overestimation of the true genomic titers of Her2-LV.
vector stocks. One can circumvent that by selecting an amplification target within the LTRs (Geraerts et al., 2006). Since the LTR sequences are not present within the AAV vector genome, it was decided against this option. As an alternative amplification sequence during qPCR, sequences within the promoter gene are conceivable, since, no mRNA transcripts would influence the quantification of genomic titers. While the genomic titers of Her2-LV vector stocks might have been overestimated, it is very unlikely that mRNA transcripts or contaminating plasmid DNA from the AAV vector production contributed to the genomic titers of Her2-AAV vector stocks. The purification of AAV vector stocks included benzonase treatment and density gradient centrifugation, while the produced LVs were purified only by centrifugation through a sucrose cushion. Additionally, RNase digestion was implemented during DNA isolation of AAV genomes. Potential contaminating plasmid DNA was removed from LV particles by DNase I digest. However, total RNA was purified from LV vector stocks, which might have led to the co-isolation of lentiviral RNA and mRNA transcripts.

Physical particles of Her2-LV and Her2-AAV vector stocks were determined by ELISA. Based on the assumption that one lentiviral particle contains approximately 2000 p24 proteins (Wilk et al., 2001), the number of physical particles within Her2-LV<sup>GFP</sup> vector stocks was calculated and accounted on average for 7.0x10<sup>12</sup> particles/ml. This is consistent with previous data on stocks of receptor-targeted LVs (Anliker et al., 2010). Her2-AAV<sup>GFP</sup> vector stocks contained 7.8x10<sup>11</sup> particles/ml which corresponds to previously obtained particle numbers (Münch et al., 2013).

The particle numbers of both Her2-LV and Her2-AAV exceeded the number of genomes (Figure 7A). Comparing physical particles to numbers of genomes revealed that both LV and AAV vector stocks comprised approximately 80% empty particles. The ratios of total to genome containing particles within Her2-AAV vector stocks were similar to previous observations (Münch et al., 2013). In addition, a ratio equal or below 50 had been determined to be wild-type AAV vector phenotype (Grimm et al., 1999). With a ratio of approximately 5, Her2-AAV<sup>GFP</sup> was clearly within the expected range. It was also previously shown that physical particle numbers of VSVG-LV usually exceed the numbers of genomes (Geraerts et al., 2006).

For the quantification of functional titers, SK-OV-3 cells were transduced with serial dilutions of Her2-LV<sup>GFP</sup> and Her2-AAV<sup>GFP</sup> and the transduction efficiencies were
determined by flow cytometry. On average, the functional titer of Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} accounted for 7.8x10\textsuperscript{6} tu/ml, while Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} vector stocks contained about 2x10\textsuperscript{7} tu/ml. Comparing the numbers of functional particles and genomes revealed that genomic titers exceeded the functional particles of Her2-AAV about 10,000-fold and that of Her2-LV by about 100,000-fold (Figure 7B). While it cannot be excluded that the true genome copies within LV vector stocks were overestimated, it was demonstrated by several groups that RNA-based titration methods led to 10-10,000-fold more genomes than functional VSVG-LV particles (Scherr et al., 2001; Sastry et al., 2002; Lizée et al., 2003; Geraerts et al., 2006). These data are in line with the results obtained here, considering that the yield of functional particles of receptor-targeted LVs is about 35-fold decreased compared to VSVG-LVs (Anliker et al., 2010).

The amount of functional particles within the Her2-AAV vector stocks was about 10-fold higher than in lentiviral vector stocks, but Her2-LV vector stocks contained about 10-fold more genomes (Figure 7A). Thus, Her2-LV compensates for the lower amount of functional particles. However, normalizing vectors to the same genome copy number resulted in higher transduction efficiencies in AAV-transduced cells, since more functional particles were present in the transduction mix. In contrast, normalizing to functional particles required an approximately 10-fold higher MOI of Her2-AAV than Her2-LV (Figure 9). This was attributed to the ability of LVs to integrate their transgenes into the host’s genome, while AAV vectors remain episomally in the target cells. As a result, AAV vectors are diluted out and finally lost during proliferation of the cells.

SK-OV-3 cells transduced with Her2-LV\textsuperscript{GFP} resulted in higher mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of GFP expression than in cells transduced with Her2-AAV\textsuperscript{GFP} (Figure 8). This indicates that the protein expression per cell is higher in LV transduced cells compared to AAV transduced cells. This was also previously observed in a study comparing VSVG-LV, a non-integrating lentiviral vector (NILV) and AAV vector in terms of transgene expression. The three vectors contained the identical expression cassette consisting of the SFFV promoter, \textit{egfp} and the \textit{wPRE} sequence. The MFIs of the GFP expression of episomal NILV and AAV vectors were lower than that of VSVG-LV. It was further determined that the expression per copy of DNA delivered by VSVG-LV was 3-5 fold higher compared to DILV and AAV vector. Apolonia
suggested that transgene expression mediated by VSVG-LV is higher than that of episomally present NILV, because LVs tend to integrate their genome into transcriptionally active genome sites (Schröder et al., 2002; Mitchell et al., 2004). Another explanation could be that episomally present genomic information is not as easily accessed by the transcription machinery than that of the integrated LV (Apolonia, 2009).

As Her2/neu is overexpressed in several tumor cells, a subcutaneous tumor mouse model was used to analyze the distribution of the Her2-targeted vectors \textit{in vivo}. The same amount of genome copies of Her2-LV$^{\text{Luc}}$ and Her2-AAV$^{\text{Luc}}$ were administered via the tail vein. Shortly after vector administration (4, 8 and 24 hours) LV RNA, respectively, AAV vector DNA was isolated from different tissues. In mice injected with Her2-LV, RNA was detectable in spleen up to 24 hours post vector administration. In all other organs, the LV RNA levels decreased 4 hours after vector injection and were not detectable anymore 24 hours post vector administration (Figure 10). This was confirmed by the results obtained from \textit{in vivo} imaging that showed only minor luciferase signals in the spleens of mice injected with Her2-LV (Figure 12; Figure 13). By isolation of RNA from tissue followed by transgene specific qPCR, it was not possible to distinguish between RNA derived from LV particles and \textit{luc} mRNA produced by transcription of integrated LV genomes. However, the data obtained here are consistent with observations demonstrating that the amount of RNA detectable in organs decreased during the first 24 hours after VSVG-LV injection in mice. The RNA levels then increased 72 hours after vector administration indicating vector mediated transcription (Brown et al., 2007). Others showed that DNA integration of HIV-based vectors is detectable earliest 12 hours post transduction (p.t.) and reaches a plateau around 48 hours p.t. (Butler et al., 2001; van Maele et al., 2003).

The fact that no luciferase expression was detected 12 days after LV administration \textit{in vivo} was attributed to the lower amount of functional particles injected into mice compared to Her2-AAV. Corresponding to the data obtained \textit{in vitro}, a 10-fold higher Her2-LV vector dose led to detectable luciferase expression in the tumor and some off-target signals in the spleen (Figure 12; Figure 13). This amount of receptor-targeted LV corresponds to vector doses that were administered systemically before (Münch et al., 2011; Abel et al., 2013).
Analysis of AAV DNA isolated from organs shortly after vector administration revealed that during the first 24 hours, the distribution of Her2-AAV did not significantly differ between the organs except for a reduced spleen signal 24 hours post vector injection (Figure 11). While the AAV vector DNA was not predominant in tumor tissue 24 hours post vector administration, the highest luciferase expression signals were detected in tumors by in vivo imaging (Figure 12; Figure 13). Therefore, it can be concluded that vector particle accumulation in tumor tissue by Her2-AAV does not occur within the first 24 hours after vector administration. Organs were not perfused prior to isolation of DNA to remove remaining blood cells. It is therefore possible that Her2-AAV was not present in tissue but was rather still present in the blood of the organs. Perfusion of the organs prior to DNA isolation should then result in lower vector amounts within the tissues. Additionally, time points later than 24 hours post vector administration are required to confirm that Her2-AAV circulates stably for a prolonged time period in vivo compared to Her2-LV.

Based on the ratios of functional to genomic titers as well as luciferase expression in athymic nude mice in vivo, these results suggest that AAV is more efficient in transduction compared to LV, when the same amount of genome copies for both vector types is injected per mouse.

### 4.3 Hematopoietic stem cell-targeted lentiviral vectors

Today's standard procedure for gene delivery into HSCs is ex vivo transduction using VSVG-pseudotyped LVs. Since quiescent HSCs lack the VSV entry receptor LDL-R (Amirache et al., 2014), efficient transduction of CD34+ cells by VSVG-LV requires cytokine stimulation of the cells. This method has been successful in clinical applications (Cartier et al., 2009; Aiuti et al., 2013; Biffi et al., 2013). While unstimulated cells show higher long-term engraftment potential, stimulation facilitates cell cycle transit from quiescent cells resulting in impaired long-term repopulation capacities (Kittler et al., 1997; Glimm et al., 2000). Therefore, several additional LV-based approaches for efficient transduction of primitive HSCs have been investigated.

This thesis investigates the use of receptor-targeted LVs. For the rational design of LVs targeted to primitive HSCs, receptors expressed on long-term repopulating cells
have to be identified. Pierelli et al. showed that human CD34^+CD105^+ sorted cells represent an immature cell population containing primitive hematopoietic precursor cells that are significantly more enriched in long-term culture-initiating cells (LTC-IC) compared to CD34^+CD105^- cells (Pierelli et al., 2000). However, the time period for this *in vitro* analysis was limited to 5 weeks and *in vivo* experiments that allow an assessment of the long-term repopulation capacity of cells were missing. By oligonucleotide microarray analysis of the mouse BM side-population (SP) enriched in HSC activity, mouse CD105 was identified as functional marker of long-term repopulating murine HSCs. These findings were confirmed by a competitive repopulation experiment. Transplantation of SP CD105^+ cells resulted in an increase of donor cells whereas a decreased reconstitution contribution of SP CD105^- donor cells was observed (Chen et al., 2002).

CD105 is a component of the TGF-β receptor complex (St-Jacques et al., 1994; Warrington et al., 2005). The role of CD105 in maintaining the primitive state of HSCs is still not fully understood. It is suggested that CD105 as well as CD34 are positively regulated by TGF-β1 in hematopoietic cells and that TGF-β1 is the main soluble factor that inhibits the cell cycle progression of primitive precursor cells, thereby preserving their proliferative capacity (Hatzfeld et al., 1991; Pierelli et al., 2001). In this thesis, the long-term repopulating capacity of human CD105^+ cells was evaluated using receptor-targeted LV.

CD34-purified cells were transduced with CD105-LV^GFP^ and then engrafted into NSG mice. After 7-18 weeks, similar fractions of gene marked human cells were detected in blood, BM and spleen compared to the percentages of GFP^+^ cells that were initially transplanted (Figure 24). This demonstrates the long-term stability of transgene expression and efficient engraftment of transduced cells into mice. Interestingly, similar percentages of gene marked cells in the analyzed lineages were obtained suggesting that multipotent HSCs, rather than more differentiated and hence lineage-restricted progenitors, had been transduced. This is in accordance with results found *in vitro* by CFA (Figure 21). The specificity of CD105-LV was proven by blocking the transduction of CD34^+^ cells through incubation of CD105-LV with soluble CD105 protein (Figure 20). In addition, off-target transduction was not observed in mixed cultures of CD105^+^ endothelial cells and CD105^-^ peripheral blood mononuclear cells, or after systemic vector administration in mice transplanted with human CD105^+^ cells.
DISCUSSION

(Anliker et al., 2010; Abel et al., 2013). Therefore, it can be concluded that the gene marked cells in mice or colonies must have been derived from CD105+ HSCs. Additionally, the long-term repopulation potential of either CD105-LV or VSVG-LV transduced cells was compared in vivo. VSVG-LV and CD105-LV were labeled with different fluorescent reporter genes and CD34+ cells were transduced with one of the vector types. This allowed transplantation and tracking of either CD105-LV or VSVG-LV transduced cells within the same animal. The fractions of CD105-LV transduced cells remained stable or even increased in vitro as well as in vivo whereas the percentage of VSVG-LV transduced cells decreased over time (Figure 26A; Figure 28A). This resulted in a 29-fold superior engraftment of CD105-LV transduced cells in BM and 9-fold superior engraftment in spleen than cells transduced with VSVG-LV (Figure 28B) demonstrating that CD105-LV is capable of transducing long-term repopulating cells.

Certainly, the transduction conditions that were used for the competition assay favor CD105-LV, since cells were transduced with a low vector dose to gain comparable transduction efficiencies. The high amount of VSVG-LV that is usually used for transduction of CD34+ cells in clinical settings most likely facilitates the transduction of the relatively small HSC population capable of self-renewal. In these experiments, VSVG-LV probably transduced the vast excess of multilineage progenitor cells with short-term engraftment properties as well as more differentiated lineage-restricted progenitors with low or no engraftment potential. In addition, cells were transduced without pre-stimulation. While quiescent CD34+ cells lack the VSV entry receptor LDL-R, CD105-LV transduced unstimulated CD34+ cells. This resulted in sustained transduction levels in repopulated NSG mice. These data suggest that CD34+CD105+ cells represent an early stem cell population with high repopulating capacity and confirm that CD105 is a marker on primitive human HSCs.

Further evidence for this could be obtained by mixing limiting dilutions of either CD105-LV or VSVG-LV transduced cells with non-gene marked cells and transplant these cells into mice. The lowest number of gene-marked cells necessary for successful contribution for reconstitution of a human hematopoietic system could therefore be determined. Then, the frequency of long-term repopulating cells within the gene marked cell population could be calculated. According to the results presented in this thesis, one can assume that the frequency of long-term
repopulating HSCs is higher in the CD105-LV transduced cell population than in the VSVG-LV gene marked cell population.

As demonstrated in this thesis, CD105-LV is able to transduce unstimulated CD34+ cells more efficiently than VSVG-LV. Also other approaches have been investigated to improve transduction of quiescent cells. Verhoeyen et al. generated VSVG-pseudotyped LVs displaying “early-acting-cytokines”, namely thrombopoietin (TPO) and stem cell factor (SCF). CD34+ cells were not pre-stimulated by adding cytokines to the medium, but cells were only stimulated during vector particle entry. Using this transient stimulation, the transduction efficiency was enhanced while maintaining the stem cell capabilities of the transduced cells. This resulted in selective transduction of long-term repopulating cells (Verhoeyen et al., 2005). This cytokine displaying vector was improved by replacing the VSVG with RDTR, a chimeric envelope protein consisting of the extracellular and transmembrane domains of the feline leukemia virus RD114 and the cytoplasmic tail of the murine leukemia virus amphotropic envelope (MLV-A) (Di Nunzio et al., 2007). This newly generated vector RDTR/SCFHA-LV was able to obtain higher transduction rates of CD34+ cells in unfractioned blood of patients suffering from Fanconia anemia, compared to RDTR-LV, VSVG/SCFHA-LV or VSVG-LV. In addition, the vector preferentially transduced CD34+ cells in mice reconstituted with human HSCs after intrafemoral vector administration (Frecha et al., 2012).

Another vector that was rationally generated to transduce primitive and unstimulated HSCs is the CD133-targeted LV. CD34 and CD133 expression on HSCs correlates well, but HSCs include a subpopulation of CD34−CD133+ cells that can be converted to CD34+CD133+ cells, indicating that CD34−CD133+ cells represent a more primitive HSC population, and that CD133 is a marker for long-term repopulating HSCs (Gallacher et al., 2000). Brendel et al. produced CD133-targeted LV (CD133-LV) using vectors pseudotyped with the measles H and F protein. After transduction of unstimulated CD34+ cells, CD133-LV outperformed VSVG-LV in repopulation experiments in first and second transplantation of gene marked CD34+ cells in NSG mice (Brendel et al., 2015). Recently, Girard-Gagnepain et al. showed up to 30% of GFP+ cells following gene transfer into unstimulated CD34+ cells with BaEVgp-LVs (Girard-Gagnepain et al., 2014). These LVs were pseudotyped with a chimeric glycoprotein consisting of the cytoplasmic tail of MLV-A and the glycoprotein of the
baboon endogenous retrovirus (BaEV). BaEV recognizes the receptors neutral amino acid transporter 1 (ASCT-1) and ASCT-2 (Rasko et al., 1999; Marin et al., 2000) that are present on CD34+ cells. In contrast to these observations, transduction efficiencies of unstimulated CD34+ cells did not exceed 10% using CD133-LV (Brendel et al., 2015). However, a 10-fold higher vector dose (MOI 10) was used for BaEVgp-LV mediated gene delivery. In addition, these high transduction efficiencies were only achieved in the presence of the transduction enhancer retronectin (Girard-Gagnepain et al., 2014), whereas transduction of CD34+ cells by CD133-LV was performed in uncoated cell culture plates (Brendel et al., 2015).

While the transduction of CD34+ cells with the LVs discussed above in the absence of a transduction enhancer results in relatively low transduction efficiencies, all of the vectors show stable transduction into long-term repopulating cells. Gene transfer into few of these cells, can reconstitute the entire hematopoietic system with cells carrying the corrected gene compensating for initially low transduction events. Others showed that CD133 is expressed on these primitive HSCs (Gallacher et al., 2000), and it could be confirmed for CD105 in this thesis. In contrast, the HSPC subpopulation transduced by BaEVgp-LV or RDTR/SCFHA-LV has not been fully characterized. Depending on the application, it might be favorable to transduce defined subpopulations by receptor-targeted LVs or to deliver a transgene into a broader HSPC population expressing the receptors of RDTR/SCFHA-LV or BaEVgp-LV. To date, only CD105-LV and CD133-LV have been compared with the state of the art vector (VSVG-LV) side by side within the same animal. Thereby, the variability in repopulation experiments, influenced by the culture conditions, donor cells and individual mouse variability to engraft human cells, can be circumvented. Thus, final conclusions about the performance of all the HSC-targeted vectors discussed above should ideally be based on competitive repopulation experiments in vivo.

4.4 Potential of receptor-targeted lentiviral vectors

VSVG-LVs have been successfully used in clinical trials for the transduction of HSCs providing benefit to the patients (Cartier et al., 2009; Aiuti et al., 2013; Biffi et al., 2013). The broad tropism of VSVG-LVs enables gene transfer into all mammalian cells including HSPCs, although this requires pre-stimulation of quiescent CD34+
cells. In this thesis, selective and efficient gene transfer of the marker gene egfp into unstimulated long-term repopulating cells using CD105-LV was demonstrated. The therapeutic applicability of receptor-targeted LVs was shown by Brendel et al. using CD133-LV. Transduction of CD34\(^+\) cells from a X-CGD patient with CD133-LV transferring \(pg91^{phox}\) resulted in the expression of the therapeutic gene in human cells for at least 10 weeks after transplantation of the modified cells into NSG mice (Brendel et al., 2015), which demonstrates the potential of receptor-targeted LVs for the use in clinical applications. However, for broad application the production of receptor-targeted LVs, such as CD105-LV, needs to be optimized. Currently, the yield of CD105-LV is 35-fold lower than that of VSVG-LV (Anliker et al., 2010). Nevertheless, a lower vector dose of CD105-LV is required for efficient transduction of long-term repopulating HSCs. Due to the selective transduction of CD105\(^+\) cells by CD105-LV, the cell population that is genetically modified is smaller compared to VSVG-LV transduced cells that include also CD105\(^-\) cells. In combination with the lower amount of CD105-LV that is required for transduction, it is conceivable that the risk of multicopy vector integration and insertional mutagenesis is reduced compared to VSVG-LVs mediated gene therapy. However, the vector copy number within CD105-LV transduced cells needs to be analyzed.

By the use of receptor-targeted LVs even in vivo gene transfer into HSCs is conceivable. The current standard for HSC-based gene therapy is ex vivo modification of CD34\(^+\) cells using VSVG-LVs (Cartier et al., 2009; Aiuti et al., 2013; Biffi et al., 2013). Ultimately, in vivo gene delivery into HSCs is desirable. Thereby, the procedure of harvesting cells from the patients could be prevented. Additionally, primitive CD34\(^-\) cell populations, such as CD133\(^+\)CD34\(^-\) (Gallacher et al., 2000) that are currently lost during the purification of CD34\(^+\) cells from mobilized peripheral blood or BM could be transduced. However, LV-based in vivo gene transfer into HSCs faces several challenges. VSVG-pseudotyped LVs are not suitable for in vivo application, since systemical gene transfer is difficult to control due to the broad tropism. Furthermore, antibody and complement mediated immune response against the glycoprotein could be induced and cytotoxicity was observed when VSVG-LV was administered at high doses in vivo (DePolo et al., 2000; Higashikawa and Chang, 2001; Watson et al., 2002). Moreover, VSVG-LVs poorly transduce unstimulated HSCs (Amirache et al., 2014). Clearly, LVs for in vivo HSC gene therapy should restrict gene transfer into specific target cells and result in efficient gene transfer into
unstimulated HSCs. Furthermore, safety issues concerning insertional mutagenesis, ectopic transgene expression, cytotoxic effects of the transgene or induction of an immune response must be clarified.

By the use of receptor-targeted LVs, gene delivery is restricted to specific cells limiting vector integration and transgene expression to target cells. The high specificity of receptor-targeted LVs has been demonstrated in in vivo applications (Münch et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2012; Abel et al., 2013). CD105 is not only expressed on HSCs, but also on activated endothelial cells, activated macrophages, mesenchymal stem cells and progenitor endothelial cells (Nassiri et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2013). This is important to keep in mind when planning in vivo studies. In vivo selectivity for HSCs could be achieved by local injection into the BM and possible further vector modifications such as cell specific promoters or the integration of microRNA (miRNA) target sequences into the 3'UTR of the transgene. Binding of complementary endogenous miRNA to the transcribed transgene reduces or eliminates the transgene translation, thereby detargeting the transgene expression within specific cell types (Heckl et al., 2011; Chiriaco et al., 2014). Other approaches include the use of integrase-deficient LVs (IDLVs) in combination with a tool allowing site-targeted integration into the host genome such as zinc-finger nucleases (ZFN) (Lombardo et al., 2007; Genovese et al., 2014; Hoban et al., 2015).

Combining the safety mechanisms mentioned above, will enhance the safety of LVs further and will allow gene therapy of HSCs in vivo sometime in the near future. However, the risk associated with in vivo HSC gene therapy must be considered in relation to the severity of the disease.

Receptor-targeted LVs are not only useful tools for gene therapy, but also for tracking and identification of cells, as demonstrated in this thesis. Cells expressing a specific surface protein can be selectively transduced by receptor-targeted LVs transferring reporter genes. Then, all cells derived from the target-receptor positive cell can be identified by the transferred reporter gene and analyzed in detail at various time points, e.g. regarding specific surface markers by flow cytometry and be assigned to specific cell populations. Thereby, the gene-marked cells can be traced, even if the targeted surface protein is not expressed during or after the differentiation process. The flexibility of the targeting system has been demonstrated by replacing the targeting ligand (Anliker et al., 2010). Thus, the approach shown in this thesis can be
easily transferred to other specific surface markers and will allow the identification of other potential markers on stem or progenitor cell populations.

**Figure 30: Tracking of gene-marked cells using receptor-targeted LVs.** HSCs differentiate into multipotent and oligopotent progenitor cells and then into mature cells of the hematopoietic system. The various subpopulations are defined by the expression of specific surface proteins. Receptor targeted LVs can be used to gene mark cells of a specific subpopulation. By expression of the marker gene, the cells can be tracked during the differentiation process. LV with green surface proteins represents LV binding to receptor A (green), LV with pink surface proteins binds to receptor B (pink). Green bar represents reporter gene A, yellow bar reporter gene B. HSC, hematopoietic stem cell; MPP, multipotent progenitor; CLP, common lymphoid progenitor; CMP, common myeloid progenitor; MEP, megakaryocyte/erythrocyte progenitor; GMP, granulocyte/macrophage progenitor; EP, erythrocyte progenitor; MkP, megakaryocyte progenitor; GP, granulocyte progenitor; MacP, macrophage progenitor; Pro-DC, dendritic cell progenitor; Pro-B, B-cell progenitor; Pro-T, T-cell progenitor and Pro-NK, natural killer cell progenitor.
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6. ABBREVIATIONS

α
°C
AAD
ALA
ATCC
AAV
ADA
ALD
BFP
BM
bp
cap
CD
CD
cDNA
CGD
CMV
DARPin
DEPC
DMEM
DMSO
DNA
E. coli
EDTA
EGFP
ELISA
Env
EpCAM
et al.
F
FACS
FCS
for
fw
g
GFP
GM-CSF
GOI
H
HEK
Her2/neu
HIV
HPLC
HRP

anti
degree Celsius
adeno-associated virus
adenosine deaminase
adrenoleukodystrophy
American Type Culture Collection
blue fluorescent protein
bone marrow
base pair
capsid protein
cluster of differentiation
cluster of differentiation
complementary DNA
chronic granulomatous disease
cytomegalovirus
designed ankyrin repeat protein
diethyl dicarbonate
Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium
dimethyl sulfoxide
deoxyribonucleic acid
Escherichia coli
ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
enhanced green fluorescent protein
enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
envelope protein
epithelial cell adhesion molecule
and others
fusion protein
fluorescence-activated cell sorting
fetal calf serum
forward
forward
gram
green fluorescent protein
granulocyte colony stimulating factor
genomes per cell
hemagglutinin
human embryonic kidney
human epidermal growth factor receptor 2
human immunodeficiency virus
high-performance liquid chromatography
horseradish peroxidase
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSC</td>
<td>hematopoietic stem cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSCT</td>
<td>hematopoietic stem cell transplantation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSPG</td>
<td>heparan sulfate proteoglycan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV-TK</td>
<td>herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL2R</td>
<td>Interleukin-2 receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITR</td>
<td>inverted terminal repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>potassium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kDa</td>
<td>kilo Dalton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>kg</td>
<td>kilogram</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l</td>
<td>liter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LB</td>
<td>Luria-Bertani</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-R</td>
<td>low-density lipid receptor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMO2</td>
<td>LIM domain only 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTR</td>
<td>long terminal repeat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>luc</td>
<td>luciferase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LV</td>
<td>lentiviral vector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m</td>
<td>mili</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>Magnesium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLD</td>
<td>metachromatic leukodystrophy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLV</td>
<td>murine leukemia virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOI</td>
<td>multiplicity of infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mRNA</td>
<td>messenger RNA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>measles virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ns</td>
<td>not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSG mice</td>
<td>NOD-scid IL2Rγc−/− mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ORF</td>
<td>open reading frame</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p.t.</td>
<td>post transduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBMC</td>
<td>peripheral blood mononuclear cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS</td>
<td>phosphate buffered saline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PBS M/K</td>
<td>PBS supplemented with Mg/K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>polymerase chain reaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEI</td>
<td>polyethylenimine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PID</td>
<td>Primary immune deficiencies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qPCR</td>
<td>quantitative real-time PCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rep</td>
<td>viral regulatory proteins</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rev</td>
<td>reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RNA</td>
<td>ribonucleic acid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>rounds per minute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT</td>
<td>reverse transcription</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rv</td>
<td>reverse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scAAV</td>
<td>AAV vector with self-complementary genome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCF</td>
<td>stem cell factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scFv</td>
<td>single chain Fragment variable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFFV</td>
<td>spleen focus forming virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIN-LV</td>
<td>self-inactivating LV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ssAAV</td>
<td>single-stranded AAV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T-ALL</td>
<td>T-cell lymphoblastic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPO</td>
<td>thrombopoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tu</td>
<td>transducing unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U</td>
<td>unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>volt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP</td>
<td>viral protein</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSV</td>
<td>vesicular stomatitis virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSVG</td>
<td>envelope glycoprotein of VSV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WAS</td>
<td>Wiskott-Aldrich syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ</td>
<td>delta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ</td>
<td>micro</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ</td>
<td>gamma</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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